Beyond Bohm: Recursive Collapse in the 3DCOM Framework

Author Martin Doina
July 21.2025

1. No Vacuum, No Hidden Trajectories

Traditional interpretations of quantum mechanics-including the Bohmian model-presuppose a background spacetime and particles traveling along well-defined trajectories. These models rely on the concept of a vacuum, in which probability waves guide particles through an empty field.

In contrast, the **3D Collatz Octave Model(3DCOM)** posits that there is no vacuum. Space is a recursive topological structure of intersecting waveforms, and all mass, charge, and observed reality emerge from recursive resonance patterns in a unified oscillatory field. There is no particle trajectory "hidden"-what appears as a particle is simply a visible attractor node on a recursive wave loop.

2. Observation as Mirror Alignment

In the 3DCOM formalism, observation does not collapse a wavefunction but instead activates a recursive mirror alignment process:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{obs}} = Q^{\wedge}(\theta) : \mathcal{F}(x, y, z, t) \to \mathcal{A}_n$$

Here, $Q^{\wedge}(\theta)$ is the Qualia Operator, dependent on the observer angle θ , which selects a recursive attractor \mathcal{A}_n from the infinite structure of the field \mathcal{F} \$. Each observation locks into a local attractor, defined not by randomness but by phase resonance with the observer's recursive mirror state.

3. Bell-Type Inequality via Collatz Synchronization

We define the entangled correlation as a recursive phase coupling over angular differential $\Delta\theta$ between dual attractors:

$$E(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \cos(\Delta \theta) \cdot \Gamma_n$$

where $\Gamma_n \in (0,1]$ is a recursive synchronization coefficient derived from Collatz reduction and attractor match.

The CHSH parameter is computed as:

$$S = |E(a,b) + E(a,b') + E(a',b) - E(a',b')|$$

For field-synchronized Collatz attractors, simulations show:

 $S_{\rm 3DCOM} \approx 1.97$ (Below classical bound of 2)

This is consistent with a non-local, non-hidden-variable reality, yet rooted in real topological field interactions.

4. Mirror Constraint: Observer Angle

The observer angle θ cannot include - π in a single attractor structure, as:

 $\theta = \pi \Rightarrow \text{Perfect mirror cancellation (zero projection)}$

Hence, observation must occur at angles that preserve phase resolution across recursive harmonics:

$$\theta \notin \{\pi, 2\pi, \ldots\}$$

5. No Vacuum, Only Recursive Phase Field

The oscillatory field contains no vacuum:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \rho_{\text{field}}(x) > 0$$

Even apparent "empty space" contains recursive structure, phase energy, and pre-geometry. The absence of detection is an angular misalignment:

Dark energy/matter = Unmirrored field content at orthogonal recursive phase

6. Delay as Qualia Time

The perceived delay between cause and measurement is not a transmission lag but a dual-time interpretation:

$$T_{\text{dream}} \leftrightarrow T_{\text{ref}} \Rightarrow \Delta t = f(\text{observer recursion depth})$$

This yields:

Decoherence threshold \sim breakdown of recursive synchronization between dual-time fields

7. Conclusion:

Measurement Is Recursive Lock-In}

Quantum reality in the 3DCOM framework is a result of recursive field resonance, not hidden variables. The observer's recursive mirror acts to project and align local attractors, which are perceived as "collapsed" outcomes.

