

# Friday Quiz 2

## School Data

This synthetic dataset simulates data collected from a high school’s academic enrichment program, designed to explore how various student habits relate to academic success. In particular, we imagine researchers created three survey-based variables: **x1**, representing weekly hours spent in *peer-led study groups*; **x2**, weekly hours dedicated to *quiet individual study time*; and **x3**, weekly hours spent in *school-sponsored extracurricular clubs*. The outcome variable, **y**, represents the student’s final *academic performance index* for the term, scaled from 0 to 100.

The data was generated in such a way that the three predictors are close to statistically independent (i.e., there’s no strong correlation between them), allowing for a clean interpretation of each variable’s unique contribution to academic performance. For example, a student could study independently without necessarily being involved in peer groups or clubs, making these behaviors distinct. In this synthetic model, we assume that **individual study (x2)** has the strongest positive association with performance, **peer groups (x1)** have a moderate positive effect, and **extracurricular clubs (x3)** show a slight negative association—perhaps reflecting a trade-off in time. The goal of this simulation is to help students and teachers analyze how various independent efforts and activities can affect outcomes when not confounded by overlapping behaviors.

## Review of Model Metrics

type	RSS	RSE	R2	Adj_R2	AIC	BIC
Model 1: Performance ~ Study Group	600.84	2.48	0.16	0.15	469.10	476.92
Model 2: Performance ~ Study Group + Self Study	196.11	1.42	0.73	0.72	359.14	369.56
Model 3: Performance ~ Study Group + Self Study + Clubs	106.16	1.05	0.85	0.85	299.76	312.79

## Model Comparisons

### Model Comparison 1

```
anova(school_model_1, school_model_2)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Model 1: performance ~ study\_group

Model 2: performance ~ study\_group + self\_study

	Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
1	98	600.84				
2	97	196.11	1	404.72	200.18	< 2.2e-16 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

### Model Comparison 2

```
anova(school_model_2, school_model_3)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Model 1: performance ~ study\_group + self\_study

Model 2: performance ~ study\_group + self\_study + clubs

	Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
1	97	196.11				
2	96	106.16	1	89.955	81.347	1.903e-14 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

## Review of Selected of Final Model

```
summary(school_model_3)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = performance ~ study_group + self_study + clubs,  
    data = school_df)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.50590	-0.65280	0.05288	0.68192	2.52490

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	63.0830	1.0670	59.121	< 2e-16 ***
study_group	0.9453	0.1122	8.424	3.56e-13 ***
self_study	2.0468	0.1095	18.695	< 2e-16 ***
clubs	-1.0532	0.1168	-9.019	1.90e-14 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.052 on 96 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.8519, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8472

F-statistic: 184 on 3 and 96 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16