

Comments on Docket No. FHWA-2020-0001 National Standards for Traffic Control Devices; the *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways*; Revision

Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Add your name or organization name where indicated in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the "Insert Rows" function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled "+" that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF; please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES. Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, "YES," "NO," or "N/A" in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

Proposed Section Number(s)	Agree with concept and text as proposed	Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments	Disagree with concept	Comments <i>Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.</i>
(EXAMPLE) 1D.08	YES	N/A	N/A	Agree - maintains uniformity.
(EXAMPLE) 2E.41, 2E.42	NO	YES	N/A	Agree with the alternate proposal that freeway and expressway Diagrammatic signs should be discontinued, contingent upon the adoption of the Partial-Width Overhead Arrow-per-Lane signs, which should allay concerns over excessively wide and costly signs at non-major interchanges.
(EXAMPLE) 2E.42	NO	YES	N/A	Agree that the proposed Modified or Partial-Width Overhead Arrow-per-Lane sign should be adopted, but do not agree with exception for an existing Exit Direction sign to remain at the theoretical gore (p. 189, Lines 39-44). This exception creates a non-uniform application and violates the expectancy of the road user.
2A.20	NO	NO	N/A	Agree with MDOT Rail Safety in corrective language - Proposed
				Corrective Language: Where used in STOP or YIELD signs,
				flashing LED units shall operate continuously, unless an

engineering study determines that actuation of LED units along

TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER. If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions. **Do not be allowed.**

Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name	Agree with commenter's comments as written	Agree with commenter; with exception(s)	Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter's comments
(EXAMPLE) FHWA-2020-0001-59	YES	N/A	