

Comments on Docket No. FHWA-2020-0001 National Standards for Traffic Control Devices; the *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways*; Revision

Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. Add your name or organization name where indicated in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the "Insert Rows" function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled "+" that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES. Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, "YES," "NO," or "N/A" in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

Proposed Section Number(s)	Agree with concept and text as proposed	Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments	Disagree with concept	Comments <i>Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.</i>

TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER. If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name	Agree with commenter's comments as written	Agree with commenter; with exception(s)	Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter's comments
National Park Service 2D.58 Fig 2D-35 Fig 2D-36	YES		The BLM supports NPS comments for this section and provide the following BLM NSHT Administration and Management policy manuals for reference during planning and implementation of signage: 6250 - National Scenic and Historic Trail Administration 6280 – Management of National Scenic and Historic Trails and Trails Under Study or Recommended as Suitable for Congressional Designation (Table A6)
National Park Service 2D.58	YES		The BLM supports NPS comments for this section with additional information: In support of the MOU between BLM, USBR, NPS, USFWS, USFS, USACE, and FHWA on the National Trails System, the BLM is open to interagency collaboration to support, facilitate, and implement NTS programs and standards. 6250 - National Scenic and Historic Trail Administration 6280 – Management of National Scenic and Historic Trails and Trails Under Study or Recommended as Suitable for Congressional Designation (Public) BLM would be open to FHWA technical assistance in the development of guidance, standards, and examples of conforming signs for all important congressionally and nationally designated resources in the National Conservation

			<p>Lands System and other recreation sites including but not limited to: Visitor Centers, Campgrounds, Day Use Areas. Request FHWA to encourage state transportation departments to work with all Federal land management agencies (including BLM) to develop MOUs/priorities for signing public access areas/lands.</p> <p>Reference material: BLM National Sign Handbook</p>
National Park Service 2H.06	YES		<p>The BLM supports NPS comments for this section with additional information: The BLM is also open to creating an entirely separate section specifically focused on NWSRS Signs, or a combined section on all nationally designated trails/ivers, that details appropriate use of the NWSRS logo on other sign types (such as guide signs or jurisdictional boundary signs).. BLM policy states that signs should use the National System logo to identify a river as part of the National System and placement of signs should be consistent with classification and direction in the CRMP or related sign plan (see BLM Manual 6400 Chapter 7.5, L.1). Specifically mentioning use of “logos” or “system/identification signs” will help clarify that the use of the NWSRS logo is consistent with MUTCD guidelines.</p>
National Park Service 2M Tab 2M-1 Fig 2M-8	YES		<p>The BLM supports the NPS comments for this section regarding Electric Bicycles (e-bikes) with additional information: As a Department of Interior agency and an eye on consistency among agencies, the BLM has implemented the same e-bike recreational symbol for non-road use in accordance with Chapter 2M of the MUTCD and the NPS UniGuide developed by the NPS. For reference, the BLM issued BLM IB-2021-013 containing information for the field to consider when implementing the BLMs e-bike rule, included the e-bike symbols previously mentioned.</p>