### 1 Optimization Problem

Problem is

$$\min_{x \in X} f_0(x), \tag{1}$$

$$f_i(x) \le 0, \tag{2}$$

for i = 1, ..., m, where  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a box:

$$\{x \mid x_j^{\min} \le x_j \le x_j^{\max}\}. \tag{3}$$

# 2 Approximate Problem

Suppose kth iterate is  $x^{(k)}$ . Then, for i = 0, ..., m, replace  $f_i(x)$  with

$$g_i(x) = f_i(x_0) + \nabla f_i(x_0) \cdot (x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{\rho_i^2}{2} \left| \frac{x - x^{(k)}}{\sigma} \right|^2,$$
 (4)

where  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are vectors. And make a trust region T (actually it's  $T \cup X$ )

$$T = \{ x \mid |x_j - x_j^{(k)}| \le \sigma_j \}. \tag{5}$$

So that the new problem is

$$\min_{x \in T} g_0(x), \tag{6}$$

$$g_i(x) \le 0. (7)$$

### 3 Overall Scheme

For the kth iteration:

- 1. Solve approximate problem to find candidate  $\boldsymbol{x}^{(k+1)}$
- 2. Check conservative:  $g_i(x^{(k+1)}) < f_i(x^{(k+1)})$ .
  - If no, throw away candidate, double  $\rho_i$  for each non-conservative  $g_i$ , and solve approximate problem again.
- 3. Halve  $\rho$  (take bigger steps) and update  $\sigma$  (decrease  $\sigma_i$  if  $x_i$  oscillating, increase if monotonic i.e. heading somewhere else).

## 4 Solving approximate problem

### 4.1 Evaluating dual function

Lagrangian relaxation, where  $\lambda_0 = 1$ ,

$$L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \lambda_i g_i(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(x_0) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} \lambda_i \nabla f_i(x_0)\right) \cdot (x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} \lambda_i \rho_i\right) \left|\frac{x - x^{(k)}}{\sigma}\right|^2$$

$$= \lambda \cdot f_i(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_j(x_j - x_j^{(k)}),$$
(10)

where

$$h_j(\delta_j) = (\lambda \cdot \nabla f(x_0)_j) \,\delta_j + \frac{1}{2\sigma_j} (\lambda \cdot \rho) \delta_j^2. \tag{11}$$

Define dual function,

$$g(\lambda) = \min_{x \in T} L(x, \lambda) \tag{12}$$

$$= \lambda \cdot f_i(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \min_{|\delta_j| \le \sigma_j} h_j(\delta_j) \right). \tag{13}$$

Defin for each j

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2} (\lambda \cdot \rho) \tag{14}$$

$$b_j = \lambda \cdot \nabla f(x_0)_j \tag{15}$$

Note that we can write

$$b = \nabla f(x_0)^T \lambda, \tag{16}$$

where  $\nabla f(x_0)$  is a matrix. We now have,

$$h_j(\delta_j) = a_j \delta_j^2 + b_j \delta_j. \tag{17}$$

The minimum of  $h_j(\delta_j)$  is found at

$$\delta_j^* = -\frac{b_j}{2a_j}$$
 clamped to  $[-\sigma_j, \sigma_j]$ . (18)

And hence we can determine

$$g(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot f_i(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( a_j \delta_j^* + b_j (\delta_j^*)^2 \right). \tag{19}$$

Now let us compute the gradient. Note that,

$$\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\rho_i}{2\sigma_j^2},\tag{20}$$

$$\frac{\partial b_j}{\partial \lambda_i} = \nabla f_i(x_0)_j. \tag{21}$$

If we snap to bounds, the minimum of  $h_j(\lambda)$  should have gradient 0 (will have a kink, but oh well?). So let  $S \subseteq \{1, \ldots, m\}$ . be the indices where don't snap to bounds. Then,

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda_i} = \sum_{j \in S} \left( -\frac{b_j}{2a_j} \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{b_j^2}{4a_j^2} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) \tag{22}$$

$$= \sum_{j \in S} \left( -\frac{b_j}{2a_j} \nabla f_i(x_0)_j + \frac{b_j^2}{4a_j^2} \frac{\rho_i}{2\sigma_j^2} \right).$$
 (23)

And thus,

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} = \nabla f(x_0) v_j + \rho \sum_{j \in S} \frac{b_j^2}{8a_j^2 \sigma_j^2}.$$
 (24)

where  $v_j$  is a vector satisfying

$$v_j = \begin{cases} \delta_j^* & \text{if } \delta_j^* \in (-\sigma_j, \sigma_j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (25)

### 4.2 Maximizing dual function

The dual problem is,

$$\max_{y>0} g(\lambda). \tag{26}$$

We can provide g and its gradient function recursively to CCSA, which will solve it for us.

### 5 Main Goals

- Support sparse Jacobians
- Support affine constraints
  - Does paper handle these? (First, find where paper handles box constraints.)
  - Maybe think of this as a more complicated X, rather than a simple  $f_i$ .