

# 1 Optimization Problem

Problem is

$$\min_{x \in X} f_0(x), \quad (1)$$

$$f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad (2)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a box:

$$\{x \mid x_j^{\min} \leq x_j \leq x_j^{\max}\}. \quad (3)$$

# 2 Approximate Problem

Suppose  $k$ th iterate is  $x^{(k)}$ . Then, for  $i = 0, \dots, m$ , replace  $f_i(x)$  with

$$g_i(x) = f_i(x_0) + \nabla f_i(x_0) \cdot (x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{\rho_i^2}{2} \left| \frac{x - x^{(k)}}{\sigma} \right|^2, \quad (4)$$

where  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are vectors. And make a trust region  $T$  (actually it's  $T \cup X$ )

$$T = \{x \mid |x_j - x_j^{(k)}| \leq \sigma_j\}. \quad (5)$$

So that the new problem is

$$\min_{x \in T} g_0(x), \quad (6)$$

$$g_i(x) \leq 0. \quad (7)$$

# 3 Overall Scheme

For the  $k$ th iteration:

1. Solve approximate problem to find candidate  $x^{(k+1)}$
2. Check conservative:  $g_i(x^{(k+1)}) < f_i(x^{(k+1)})$ .
  - If no, throw away candidate, double  $\rho_i$  for each non-conservative  $g_i$ , and solve approximate problem again.
3. Halve  $\rho$  (take bigger steps) and update  $\sigma$  (decrease  $\sigma_i$  if  $x_i$  oscillating, increase if monotonic i.e. heading somewhere else).

## 4 Solving approximate problem

### 4.1 Evaluating dual function

Lagrangian relaxation, where  $\lambda_0 = 1$ ,

$$L(x, \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i g_i(x) \quad (8)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i f_i(x_0) + \left( \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i \nabla f_i(x_0) \right) \cdot (x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i \rho_i \right) \left| \frac{x - x^{(k)}}{\sigma} \right|^2 \quad (9)$$

$$= \lambda \cdot f_i(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(x_j - x_j^{(k)}), \quad (10)$$

where

$$h_j(\delta_j) = (\lambda \cdot \nabla f(x_0)_j) \delta_j + \frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2} (\lambda \cdot \rho) \delta_j^2. \quad (11)$$

Define dual function,

$$g(\lambda) = \min_{x \in T} L(x, \lambda) \quad (12)$$

$$= \lambda \cdot f_i(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \min_{|\delta_j| \leq \sigma_j} h_j(\delta_j) \right). \quad (13)$$

Defin for each  $j$

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2\sigma_j^2} (\lambda \cdot \rho) \quad (14)$$

$$b_j = \lambda \cdot \nabla f(x_0)_j \quad (15)$$

Note that we can write

$$b = \nabla f(x_0)^T \lambda, \quad (16)$$

where  $\nabla f(x_0)$  is a matrix. We now have,

$$h_j(\delta_j) = a_j \delta_j^2 + b_j \delta_j. \quad (17)$$

The minimum of  $h_j(\delta_j)$  is found at

$$\delta_j^* = -\frac{b_j}{2a_j} \text{ clamped to } [-\sigma_j, \sigma_j]. \quad (18)$$

And hence we can determine

$$g(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot f_i(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j \delta_j^* + b_j (\delta_j^*)^2). \quad (19)$$

Now let us compute the gradient. Note that,

$$\frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\rho_i}{2\sigma_j^2}, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_j}{\partial \lambda_i} = \nabla f_i(x_0)_j. \quad (21)$$

If we snap to bounds, the minimum of  $h_j(\lambda)$  should have gradient 0 (will have a kink, but oh well?). So let  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$  be the indices where don't snap to bounds. Then,

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda_i} = \sum_{j \in S} \left( -\frac{b_j}{2a_j} \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{b_j^2}{4a_j^2} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) \quad (22)$$

$$= \sum_{j \in S} \left( -\frac{b_j}{2a_j} \nabla f_i(x_0)_j + \frac{b_j^2}{4a_j^2} \frac{\rho_i}{2\sigma_j^2} \right). \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{b_j}{2a_j} \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial \lambda_i} - \frac{b_j^2}{4a_j^2} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial \lambda_i} \quad (24)$$

$$= \frac{b_j}{2a_j} \nabla f_i(x_0)_j - \frac{b_j^2}{4a_j^2} \frac{\rho_i}{2\sigma_j^2} \quad (25)$$

$$= \delta_j \nabla f_i(x_0)_j - g_j(\lambda) \frac{\rho_i}{2\sigma_j^2}. \quad (26)$$

And thus,

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} = \nabla f(x_0)v_j + \rho \sum_{j \in S} \frac{b_j^2}{8a_j^2\sigma_j^2}. \quad (27)$$

where  $v_j$  is a vector satisfying

$$v_j = \begin{cases} \delta_j^* & \text{if } \delta_j^* \in (-\sigma_j, \sigma_j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

## 4.2 Maximizing dual function

The dual problem is,

$$\max_{y \geq 0} g(\lambda). \quad (29)$$

We can provide  $g$  and its gradient function recursively to CCSA, which will solve it for us.

## 5 Main Goals

- Support sparse Jacobians
- Support affine constraints

- Does paper handle these? (First, find where paper handles box constraints.)
- Maybe think of this as a more complicated  $X$ , rather than a simple  $f_i$ .