# **Mind-Alliance Performance Test Report**

Date: November 04, 2011 **SVN Revision: 3364** 

## Hardware and Software details:

Environment	Parameter	Value	
Channels	Hardware	Processor: Intel C2D@2.66Ghz	
		Memory: DDR2- 3GB Motherboard: DG31G	
		Hard Disk: 250 GB	
	Software	OS – Ubuntu Server 10.10	
Load Machine	Hardware	Processor: Intel Core i3 CPU@3.02Ghz	
Details		Memory: DDR3- 3GB	
		Motherboard: DH55TC	
		Hard Disk: 250 GB	
	Software	OS – Windows 7 Professional	

## **Test Overview:**

Test was conducted using JMeter for following scenarios with load details.

Sr. No	Scenario	Load (Thread)
1	About Plan-Details:-Planner A Updates the	30
	details of the Plan and if Planner B will	
	update the same plan then the lock will be	
	generated for the plan	
2	About Plan-All Events:- Planner A will add	30
	event to the Plan and if Planner B will add	
	event in the same plan then the lock will be	
	generated for the plan	
3	About Plan-All Organizations:- Planner A	30
	will add All Organizations to the Plan and	
	if Planner B will add All Organizations in	
	the same plan then the lock will be	
	generated for the plan	
4	About Plan Segment-Details:- Planner A	30
	Updates the details of the About Plan	
	Segment and if Planner B will update the	
	same Segment then the lock will be	
	generated for the plan	

**Note:** As all the scenarios are running simultaneously hence whenever lock is release from the channel other user can perform its task.

## **E.g.**:

Suppose all 9 scenarios are executing using 10 threads (users) per scenario.

Hence if Planner A of scenario 1 is updating the details of the plan then all other planners which are logged in to the channels will not access the plan till Planner A completes its task. It may happened that Planner A of scenario 1 release the lock when Planner 5 of scenario 2 is start executing, so it will add events for Planner 5 but for Planner 1,2,3 and 4 it will not add the events because of lock. So it is depending upon the Planner when it releases the lock and which one grabs it based on requests.

Similarly sometimes Scenario 3 grabs the lock and so on...

#### **Load Details:**

Total No of Threads (Users): 390

## JVM size (Heap):

Parameter	Ubuntu server	Load machine
		(Increased for Jmeter)
Min	4096m	4096m
Max	4096m	4096m

## **Summary:**

Refer attached files with this report for summary of tests conducted.

## **Observation**:

- During test CPU utilization was between 90%.
- Observed that for some requests Std. deviation was above 2 sec (Refer Test02\_SummaryReportFor390Threads.ods file)
- During load plan name was not updated.

Test area	Actual Result	Expected Result	Comments
Update Plan	Not updated	Updated	On load Plan
Add Event	Not Added	Added	components were not updated
Update Media	Not updated	Updated	upuateu
Update Agent	Not updated	Updated	
Update Places	Not updated	Updated	
Update Organization	Updated	Updated	
Add Goal	Added	Added	
Add Role	Added	Added	
Update Task	Not updated	Updated	
Add Flow	Not Added	Added	
Update Segment	Updated	Updated	
Update Phase	Updated	Updated	

Please refer following files for more statistics.

Sr. No.	File Name	Comments
1	SummaryReportFor390Threads.ods	Contains information about response time, stddev and throughput etc.
2	ResultStatusFor390Threads.ods	Status of each request with respect to thread (user).
3	Channels.log	Channels log
3	PerformanceCounterReportFor390Threads.ods	CPU, Memory and IO etc.
		performance counter.

It is observed that with increase in threads no. (User load) operations (request) were not completed