

A

Project Report on

**STUDY, ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION OF MIG WELDING
PROCESS PARAMETERS FOR MINIMIZATION OF HEAT
AFFECTED ZONE (HAZ)**

in partial fulfillment for the award of the degree of

BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING

in

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING



Submitted by

Abhijit Borah (M-08/14)

Anshuman Sarma (M-52/14)

Bhargav Borah (M-54/14)

Gaurav Jyoti Gogoi (M-57/14)

Prandeep Goswami (M-87/14)

Under the Guidance of

Mr. Diganta Kalita

Assistant Professor

Department of Mechanical Engineering

**JORHAT ENGINEERING COLLEGE, JORHAT
DIBRUGARH UNIVERSITY :: DIBRUGARH
2018**

CANDIDATES' DECLARATION

We hereby declare that the work which is being presented in this report entitled “STUDY, ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION OF MIG WELDING PROCESS PARAMETERS FOR MINIMIZATION OF HEAT EFFECTED ZONE (HAZ)” is an authentic record of our own work carried out during the period from August, 2017 to May 2018 under the supervision of Mr. Diganta Kalita, Assistant Professor, Department Of Mechanical Engineering, Jorhat Engineering College, Jorhat, Assam.

The matter presented in this report has not been submitted for the award of any degree of this or any other university.

Abhijit Borah (M-08/14)

Gaurav Jyoti Gogoi (M-57/14)

Anshuman Sarma (M-52/14)

Prandeep Goswami (M-87/14)

Bhargav Borah (M-54/14)

This is certified that the above statement made by the candidates is correct to the best of my knowledge.

Diganta Kalita
Assistant Professor

The viva-voce examination of the above candidates of 8th semester B.E. (Mechanical Engineering) on their project has been held on and found satisfactory.

Supervisor

H.O.D

External Examiner

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We feel privileged in extending our earnest obligation, deep sense of gratitude, appreciation and honour to Mr. Diganta Kalita, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department, Jorhat Engineering College, Jorhat whose benevolent guidance, apt suggestions, generous help and constructive criticism have inspired us in successful completion of the project.

We are indebted to Dr. Parimal Bakul Barua, H.O.D., and Department of Mechanical Engineering for his valuable suggestions and continuous support in the completion of the current work.

We express our appreciation and thanks to all faculty members and staff of department of Mechanical Engineering, Jorhat Engineering College for free exchange of ideas and discussion which proved helpful.

Abhijit Borah(M-08/14)

Anshuman Sarma (M-52/14)

Bhargav Borah(M-54/14)

Gaurav Jyoti Gogoi (M-57/14)

Prandeep Goswami (M-87/14)

ABSTRACT

The MIG welding process parameters are the most important factors affecting the quality, productivity and cost of welding. As there is a great influence of input welding parameters on the behavior of the Heat Affected Zone (HAZ) during welding, this project aims at the optimization of welding process parameters and also to study the microstructure of the heat affected zone (HAZ) of the welded joint during Metal Inert Gas (MIG) welding. GMAW (Gas Metal Arc Welding) is one of the most widely used fusion welding process having wide applications in industry. It was developed during the early 1940's and technology was taken from the TIG welding process that was already around at the time. MIG (Metal Inert Gas) welding, also known as MAG (Metal Active Gas) and in the USA as GMAW is a welding process that is now widely used for welding a variety of materials, ferrous and non-ferrous. MIG (Metal Inert Gas) welding is most widely used for long continuous welds & versatility in nature as spot welds can also be performed by it. The controllable process parameters normally viz. current, voltage and gas flow inherent to machine play a very significant role in determining the quality and mechanical properties of a welded joint. So, proper selection of process parameters is necessary to obtain weld joint properties viz. surface hardness, tensile strength, penetration, etc.

In this research work, the objective is to investigate the effect of three process parameters welding current, voltage and shielding gas flow rate on one important mechanical property, hardness of the welded joints. An approach of the One-factor-At-A-Time experiment is used for finding out the appropriate range of the levels of the factors. In this approach, all the factor is set at a baseline (or starting point) of levels of each factor. One factor is varied accordingly over its range keeping the other factors at constant. After the completion of experiment, a series of graphs are plotted to find the optimal setting of factors.

The levels of the factors are selected from this optimal setting of factors. An experiment has been designed using Taguchi's Orthogonal Array L9, taking welding current, voltage and shielding gas flow rate as factors having three levels each. The selected levels for welding current are 170 A, 190 A, 210 A and for voltage are 22 V, 24 V, 26 V and for shielding gas flow rate(CO₂) are 14 lit/min, 16 lit/min, 18 lit/min.

The optimal set of process parameters for optimal response surface hardness (476.17 N/mm²) found to be are: 200 A welding current, 30 V welding voltage and 12 lit/min. gas flow rate. The welding current and welding voltage are found to be significant whereas gas flow rate is insignificant in determining surface hardness.

The optimal values of individual characteristics has been confirmed by actually welded joints using the optimal levels of process parameters and then comparing the predicted values of quality characteristics with the actual ones. It was found that there was no significant difference between the predicted and actual values of quality characteristics. A study is also made on the microstructure of the parent material and the welded joints to have an idea of the change in grain structure. It is observed that the change in the grain structure of the welded joint from that of the parent material is least for the test piece at the optimized levels of the factors..

CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
CANDIDATE’S DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
NOMENCLATURE	xi
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 Objective of the Project	3
CHAPTER 2	4
LITERATURE REVIEW	5
CHAPTER 3	9
WELDING PROCESS	10
3.1 Classification of Welding Process	10
3.1.1 Gas welding	10
3.1.2 Arc welding	11
3.1.3 Resistance welding	12
3.1.4 Solid state welding	13
3.1.5 Thermochemical welding	14
3.2 MIG Welding Equipment, Operational Technique and Safety	14
3.2.1 Equipment	15
3.2.2 Welding gun and wire feed unit	15

3.2.3 Power supply	16
3.2.4 Electrode	16
3.2.5 Shielding gas	17
3.2.6 Operation	17
3.2.7 Technique	18
3.2.8 Safety	18
CHAPTER 4	19
DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS APPROACH & ANOVA	20
4.1 Tagushi Method	20
4.1.1 Orthogonal Array (OA)	20
4.2 One-Factor-At-a-Time Experiment	23
4.3 Signal to Noise Ratio	23
4.4 Data Analysis	24
4.4.1 Main effect	24
4.4.2 Analysis of variance (ANOVA)	24
4.4.2.a Raw data ANOVA	25
CHAPTER 5	28
METHODOLOGY	29
5.1 Factor and Level selection	29
5.2 Experimental Setup	30
5.3 Electrode Specification	31
5.4 Test Piece Preparation and Testing	31
5.5 Analysis of Experimental Data	32
CHAPTER 6	30
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	31
6.1 Analysis of One-Factor-At-a-Time Experiment Data	3

6.1.1 Results of Experiment 1	3
6.1.2 Results of Experiment 2	3
6.1.3 Results of Experiment 3	3
6.2 Process Parameters	
6.3 Experimental Results	
6.3.1 Main effect plot	
6.3.2 Raw data ANOVA	
6.3.3 S/N data ANOVA	
6.3.4 Factor classification	
6.3.5 Optimum factor combination	
6.3.6 Confirmation experiment	
CHAPTER 7	
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE	
REFERENCES	38
APPENDIX	39

LIST OF TABLES

Table number	Description	Page no.
4.1	Triangular Table of 3 level Orthogonal Array	22
5.1	Factors affecting welding performance	29
5.4(a)	Chemical composition of ER70S-4 welding wire	31
5.4(b)	Welding current Specification	31
6.1	Experimental data for experiment 1	36
6.2	Raw data ANOVA for experiment 1	37
6.3	Experimental data for experiment 2	38
6.4	Raw data ANOVA for experiment 2	39
6.5	Experimental data for experiment 3	39
6.6	Raw data ANOVA for experiment 3	40
6.7	Column Assignment of the Factors	41
6.8	Orthogonal Array Experiment	41
6.9	Raw Data ANOVA of experiment	44
6.10	S/N data table	44
6.11	Confirmation Experiment	44

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure number	Description	Page no.
3.1	MIG circuit diagram	15
3.2	MIG torch	16
4.2	Linear Graph of L8 OA	21
5.1	TORNADO MIG 400	30
5.2	Test specimens for experiments	31
6.1	Main effect plot 1	**
6.2	Main effect plot 2	**
6.3	Main effect plot 3	**

NOMENCLATURE

A*B	Interaction of factor A and B
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CF	Correction Factor
DOE	Design of Experiment
E3.	Error
Exp	Experiment
F	Degree of Freedom
F	Variance Ratio
F _a	The tabulated F ratio at a confidence level of (1- α)
HB	Higher the Best
L	Number of a level of a Factor
LB	Lower the Better
L _N	Number of level of a factor
MIG	Metal Inert Gas
N	Total number of Results
NB	Nominal the Best
OFAT	One-Factor-At-a-Time
S	Sum of square
S/N Ratio	Signal of Noise Ratio
V	Variance
Y	Results measured in terms of quality characteristics