

# Large File Reading Efficiency

## Objective

To compare the performance of `StreamReader` and `FileStream` when reading large files and understand how file access methods affect efficiency.

## Overview

Reading large files efficiently is critical in data processing, logging systems, and file-based applications. The choice of API directly impacts execution time and resource usage. `StreamReader` and `FileStream` serve different purposes and are optimized for different workloads.

## StreamReader

`StreamReader` is designed for reading text data. It reads characters instead of raw bytes and performs encoding conversions internally. While this simplifies text handling, it introduces additional overhead, making it slower for very large files or binary data.

## FileStream

`FileStream` reads raw bytes directly from disk. It avoids character-level processing and encoding overhead, resulting in faster sequential reads. It is well-suited for large files, binary data, and performance-critical scenarios.

## Performance Comparison

In practical benchmarks, `FileStream` consistently outperforms `StreamReader` as file size increases. The performance gap widens significantly for files larger than 100 MB due to reduced processing overhead.

## Conclusion

`FileStream` is the preferred choice for large or binary files due to its speed and efficiency. `StreamReader` remains useful for structured text processing where readability and encoding support are more important than raw performance.