

Website evaluation: Gaurav Sangamnerkar

1. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated <http://www.jenniferlundstrem.com/> (Links to an external site.)
2. Author of site evaluated [Jennifer Lundstrem Hartley](#) (Links to an external site.)
3. Evaluator's first name Gaurav

1. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (DELL Laptop running on Windows 10, Intel I5 4th generation, 8 GB RAM):
2. Browser (Chrome latest version)
3. Internet connection (Institutional WI-FI)

This is a webpage developed to present both academic as well non-academic relevant information in short with appropriate links to all the data that is relevant to the author. Document is subdivided into logically and it offers section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement as the page tab is located at same position on every page. All the pages are having tabs at the same location in the First webpage as a row. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. Multimedia elements are not provided like videos but the webpage does not need it as relevant things like link to pdf article which has multimedia files is appropriately given. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and the background is kept with cool color combination. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background and most importantly same text style and text height is maintained all over.

Site content is widely accessible with appropriate adaptations and explanations. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. The data is either displayed directly or link opens the relevant part in the succeeding part. Information is accurate and current. Site is very good online resume and stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for creating an online profile. Contact person with e-mail address is included that too on each and every page of the webpage Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement and wherever copyright is concerned there has been appropriate comments provided. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail is taken.

1. General Information

2. URL of site evaluated <http://dlsrv1.rnet.missouri.edu/~shangy/> (Links to an external site.)
3. Author of site evaluated [Yi Shang](#) (Links to an external site.)
4. Evaluator's first name Gaurav

1. Web site was evaluated on the following system

- Type of computer (DELL Laptop running on Windows 10, Intel I5 4Th generation, 8 GB RAM):
- Browser (Chrome latest version)
- Internet connection (Institutional WI-FI)

This is a webpage developed to present academic information and data relevant to the author. Document is subdivided. However, the site doesn't display sound design principles, the text sizes vary in different web page and does not correlate well to the content also does not have coordinated colors. Navigation is intuitive up till clicking different webpage tab only, however web page provides flexibility in movement as the page tab is located at same position on every page. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. Multimedia elements are not provided. Text is readable but the contrasts with background is not properly drafted and most importantly same text style and text height is not maintained all over.

The website is actually informative but relevant links on several occasions are missing. The web site has a combination of webpages with different kind of file like Power point presentation is made as webpage and word file is made as another webpage in the website.

Site content is accessible. Pages have appropriate title. Links are not appropriately mentioned on many instances. The data is displayed directly. Information is accurate. Site is basically an academic profile of the professor with lot of useful information and data. However, the information is not skillfully distributed while making webpage. Contact person with e-mail address is included. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement and wherever copyright is concerned there has been appropriate comments provided.

Comparing the two website, the first website is made semi-formal and appropriately displayed its purpose. The second website is exclusively formal but has slight drawbacks that need to improved.

Peer Website Evaluation Feed Back:

Hi Renee, both of these sites are portfolio based and the webpages make it crystal clear the moment you open it. That is a good thing about these sites. Brooke's page is more stylish when we compare the two homepages. It looks like they have bought their domain names. I think there is much of blank space on the general outline of webpage and the font of the links could be larger. The font style and color rendering is maintained same overall.

Both the website owners have kept their social network account links in their webpages. Copyright issues have been skillfully tackled by naming the owner/creator of portfolio pics while displaying it on the webpages. Both the website owners have tried to keep website compact by making 3-4 webpages and giving links wherever required.

Hi Christopher, both websites developed present business relevant information in short with appropriate links to all the data that is relevant. Document is subdivided into logically and it offers section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement as the page tab is located at same position on every page. All the pages are having tabs at the same location in the First webpage as a row. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages Text is easy to read and contrasts with background and most importantly same text style and text height is maintained all over.

Site content is widely accessible with appropriate adaptations and explanations. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. Since both the sites are related to I.T. it was highly likely of them to provide a good quality website and seeing the website and its webpages I found them to be very well drafted.