

Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: https://gauravmech25.github.io/islt_7360/unit5/index.html
2. Author of site evaluated: **Gaurav**
3. Evaluator's first name : **Sumeet**

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system


1. Type of computer: SONY VAIO, 8GB RAM Windows 8
2. Browser (Firefox latest version)
3. Internet connection (WI-FI at residence)

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification.** Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Your docs are well sub divided. I think you need to arrange them little better link reposition a bit.					
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Get little more background effect					
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Nothing much to navigate, But seems fine					
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Seems ok.					
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> I hope you can get some more pics, video elements if possible.					
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Your Resume pdf was easy to see and download					
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> -----					
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> You have readable text					
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	4	5


Please explain your feedback No issue for me.


	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	5 

Please explain your feedback Title are appropriate


2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback No links provided yet Just one to resume. Link to Twitter, FB site be provided

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.	1	2	3 	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> You have not given any external links yet but seems fine.					

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.	1	2	3	4 	5
--	---	---	---	--	---


Please explain your feedback Data depth is ok. I don't see it as an issue.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.	1	2	3	4	5 
--	---	---	---	---	---







Please explain your feedback This is personal web page and I can see it has in depth content.

6. Information is accurate and current.	1	2	3	4	5 
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback Seems like information will be accurate as it is your Resume.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.	1	2	3	4 	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your feedback I think it's useful in today's time to approach many people at same time.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CREDIBILITY					
1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4 	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Credibility does not seem issue here.					
2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	4 	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> You have not put it in pilot website but has a tab saying contact info.					
3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	5 
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> There is no bias and point of view is simple since you have given all your information.					
4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	4	5 
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> No issue					
5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	2	3	4 	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Use spell just in-case.					
6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	5 
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Your copyright is seen in home page.					