

Finding common characters of two Strings in alphabetical /lexicographical order :

You are given two strings. You have to find and print all common characters in lexicographical order(alphabetical order). If there are no common letters print -1.

All letters are in lower case.

Let S1 = “**maxinterview**” and S2 = “**internship**”

The output should be “**eiinrt**”

Explanation :

The common alphabets scanning from left to right are **i, n, t, e, r** ;
where i appears twice.

Don't confuse it with LCS(longest common substring).

LCS would return the string “inter**”.**

Method 1 : Brute Force or HIT & TRIAL method

- 1) Choose the smallest of the two strings. Create an array to store the occurrence of the letters alphabetically for the string.
 - 2) Next use two loops. The outer loop runs from 0 to length of longer string and the inner loop runs from 0 to length of shorter string.
 - 3) For each iteration of the outer loop we check all the characters of the smaller string and upon finding a match we increment a local counter.
- Upon exiting from the inner loop we check if this counter value is equal to the value of the array of occurrences.

PSEUDOCODE:

```
//choose smaller string s2
for i = 0 to s2.length
    occ[i] = s2[i] - 'a' //storing occurrences alphabetically
for i = 0 to s1.length
    counter = 0 //local counter
    for j = 0 to s2.length
        if s1[i] = s2[j]
            counter++
    end for
    if occ[i] = counter
```

```

        for k = 1 to counter //printing the common character
            print s1[i]
        end for

```

The time complexity of this program would be $O(mn)$, where m is the length of the string $s2$ and n is the length of the string $s1$.

The space complexity would also be $O(N)$ as we are using an extra array to store the occurrences of the alphabets.

Method 2 : An asymptotically better solution:

Count occurrences of all characters from 'a' to 'z' in first and second strings. Store these counts in two arrays `occ1[]` and `occ2[]`.

Size of both `occ1[]` and `occ2[]` is 26.

Traverse `occ1[]` and `occ2[]`. For every index i , print character 'a' + i number of times equal $\min(\text{occ1}[i], \text{occ2}[i])$.

Explanation : Why $\min(\text{occ1}[i], \text{occ2}[i])$?

As we are storing the occurrences of all the alphabets of both the strings in two arrays. The maximum number of common occurrences of a particular character would be the corresponding minimum of the two array values `occ1[i]` and `occ2[i]`.

Suppose, for the iteration $i = 3$,

`occ1[3] = 3` and `occ2[3] = 2`.

Then, $\min(\text{occ1}[3], \text{occ2}[3]) = 2$, which is true as `occ1[3] = 3` means that the character occurs thrice in string $s1$ and `occ2[3] = 2` means that the character occurs twice in string $s2$. Thus the common occurrences would be two times.

PSEUDOCODE:

```
length1 = s1.length()
```

```
length2 = s2.length()
```

```
for i = 0 to s1.length
```

```
    occ[i] = s1[i] - 'a' //storing occurrences of string s1 alphabetically
```

```
for i = 0 to s2.length
```

```
    occ[i] = s2[i] - 'a' //storing occurrences of string s2 alphabetically
```

```

for i = 0 to occ1[].size      //occ1[].size = occ2[].size = 26
  if occ1[i] != 0 and occ2[i] != 0
    //find the min of the occurrences and print that many times
    for j = 0 to min(occ1[i], occ2[i])
      print (i + 'a')
    end for
  end if
end for

```

The space complexity of this code would be $O(N)$ as we are using extra arrays to store the occurrences, but the time complexity would be $O(n)$, where n is the length of the larger string. This is **asymptotically much better** than the previous method where the running time was of the order of product of lengths of both the strings.