

COMPARISON OF VARIOUS SRS TEMPLATES (IEEE,IBM,Infosys,

IEEE SRS document is almost exhaustive with all possible specification format but Infosys SRS it is compact with some of specifics dropped from IEEE format i.e. it is very developer specific format with high level description dropped and IBM SRS has no interface requirements. Following is the comparison with respect to usefulness of our project:

- Overall Description ,user class characteristics: The high level product descriptions,functions and use cases helps a person with basic developing skills (referring to relief worker users who would use our product for disaster management)/NGO which chooses to use can understand easily and start using the product to their needs. This is absent in Infosys format.
- Architecture and Database design: It gives architecture diagram and database design schema in document and very helpful for the developer to understand database design and be able to insert/interface disaster specific data easily.It is present in IBM and absent in others.
- Interface requirements: User interface specification required to navigate and locate different features,hardware and software requirements needed to understand various tools and libraries used .