# Solutions to Homework 1

# CSE 551: Foundations of Algorithms

1. For  $O(n^2)$ , use brute force to calculate the absolute sum of all possible pairs of numbers in the array and output the sum with the minimum absolute value.

A better approach would be to sort the array at first and traverse from the left and right till you find a pair that has a sum closest to zero. Note that since we are considering the absolute sum, we cannot have a sum that is lower than zero.

```
ABSOLUTE_SUM (A, beg, end)
begin
            MERGE_SORT (A, beg, end)
            left \leftarrow 1
            right \leftarrow length
            min \leftarrow \infty
            while ( left < right )
            begin
                         sum \leftarrow A_{left} + A_{right}
                         if min > sum
                         then min \leftarrow sum
                         if sum \ge 0
                         then r \leftarrow r - 1
                         else l \leftarrow l + 1
            end while
            return min
end
```

The time complexity of the algorithm is  $O(n \log n)$  for **MERGE\_SORT** and O(n) for the traversal, which gives us a combined running time of  $O(n \log n)$ .

```
2. f_2(n) < f_3(n) < f_6(n) < f_1(n) < f_4(n) < f_5(n)
```

3.  $g_1(n) < g_3(n) < g_4(n) < g_5(n) < g_2(n) < g_7(n) < g_6(n)$ 

## 4. i. False.

Disprove by counterexample.

Assumption: f(n) is O(g(n)), i.e. there exist constants c and N such that  $|f(n)| \le c |g(n)|$  for n > N.

We need to check if  $log_2 f(n)$  is  $O(log_2(g(n)))$ , i.e.  $log_2 f(n) \le c log_2(g(n))$ . Take f(n) = 2 and g(n) = 1. So we get:

```
\begin{array}{ll} log_22 \leq c \ log_21 \\ \Rightarrow & 1 \leq c \ .0 \\ \Rightarrow & 1 \leq 0 \ , \text{which fails for all values of } c > 0 \ . \end{array}
```

### ii. False.

Disprove by counterexample.

Assumption: f(n) is O(g(n)), i.e. there exist constants c and N such that  $|f(n)| \le c |g(n)|$  for n > N.

Take f(n) = 3n and g(n) = n. This holds under the assumption that f(n) is O(g(n)) for all  $n, 3n \le Cn$  for any

constant C > 4.

We need to check if:

```
2^{f(n)} \text{ is } O(2^{g(n)})
\Rightarrow 2^{3n} \le c.2^n, \text{ which fails for all values of } c > 0.
```

#### iii. True.

Assumption: f(n) is O(g(n)), i.e. there exist constants c and N such that  $|f(n)| \le c |g(n)|$  for n > N.

For 
$$n > 0$$
,  $f(n)^2 < (c. g(n))^2$  as  $f(n)^2 \le c^2 g(n)^2$  for all  $c > 0$ .

5. **i.** Since the first two for loops each iterate n times, we have  $n^2$  for both the **for** loops. Now for the inner loop which computes the sum from A[i] to A[j], we can assume that it can make at most n iterations.

So,  $n \times n \times n = n^3$ . All the other operations take constant time.

So, we can choose  $f(n) = O(n^3)$ . We can justify this by saying that the algorithm will never have a running time worse than  $O(n^3)$ .

Not that we can find a function which is always exceed the running time of the algorithm, but the art lies in providing a better bound.

ii. We can exactly count the number of additions taking place.

```
Suppose i = 1.
```

```
When j = 2, we will have 1 addition.
When j = 3, we will have 2 additions.
```

When j = n, we will have n-1 additions.

```
So number of addition operations = 1 + 2 + ... + (n-1) = n(n-1)/2. But we have to iterate over i for n times. So number of operations = \{n (n-1)/2\} * n = (n^3 - n^2)/2 = f(n). Now prove that f(n) is \Omega(n^3) using the definition.
```

iii. We can't really change the two outer loops as all they do is traverse the 2-D array. But what we can do is improve on the portion where we are traversing A[i] to A[j] which adds to the overall time-complexity.

A simple approach would be to pre-compute the values in the outer loops so that we do not have to include an inner loop. Consider the following algorithm:

```
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{MATRIX\_SUM} \; (A,n) \\ \mathbf{begin} \\ \mathbf{do} \; B[i][j] &\leftarrow 0 \; \text{for all} \; i,j \leq n \\ \mathbf{for} \; i \leftarrow 1 \; \text{to} \; n \\ \mathbf{begin} \\ &\quad \sup_{\mathbf{c}} \leftarrow A[i] \\ \mathbf{for} \; j \leftarrow i + 1 \; \text{to} \; n \\ \mathbf{begin} \\ &\quad \sup_{\mathbf{c}} \leftarrow \sup_{\mathbf{c}} + A[j] \\ &\quad B[i][j] \leftarrow \sup_{\mathbf{c}} \\ \mathbf{end} \; \mathbf{for} \end{aligned}
```

Clearly, this omits the repeated traversal of the 1-D array and the precomputations help in improving the running time to  $O(n^2)$ .