

## **SOLID**

---

S - Single Responsibility Principle  
O - Open/Closed Principle  
L - Liskov Substitution Principle  
I - Interface Segregation Principle  
D - Dependency Inversion

### **Single Responsibility Principle**

---

- class or module should do one thing only
  - counter-example
    - > class that opens a connection to the database, pulls out some table data, and writes the data to a file. This class has multiple reasons to change:
      - adoption of a new database,
      - modified file output format,
      - deciding to use an ORM, etc.
- In terms of the SRP, we'd say that this class is doing too much.

### **Open/Closed Principle**

---

- code entities should be open for extension, but closed for modification.
  - class should be closed for modification, but it can be extended by, for instance, inheriting from it and overriding or extending certain behaviors.
  - Examples
    - switch statement somewhere that you needed to go in and add to every time you wanted to add a menu option to your application.
- Apple, Google, and Microsoft does not provide OS Source code, they make the core phone functionality closed for modification and they open it to an extension.

### **Liskov Substitution Principle**

---

- Any child type of a parent type should be able to stand in for that parent without things blowing up.
  - Child class should have business logic inheriting from Parent class.
  - Example
    - Animal class -> MakeNoise() method,
    - Subclass of Animal should reasonably implement MakeNoise().
    - Cats should meow, dogs should bark, etc.
    - What you wouldn't do is define a MuteMouse class that throws IDontActuallyMakeNoiseException.
- This violates the LSP, that this class has no business inheriting from Animal.

### **Interface Segregation Principle**

---

- states that interfaces (like god classes) should be split into several interfaces.
- Large interfaces makes it harder to extend smaller parts of the system.

- You can create several smaller interfaces instead (depends on the class though).
- A client should never be forced to implement an interface that it doesn't use or clients shouldn't be forced to depend on methods they do not use.

#### - Counter Example

shape interface -> volume method(),  
but we know that squares are flat shapes and that they do not have volumes,  
so this interface force Square class to implement a method that it has no use of.

-instead create another interface called -> SolidShapeInterface -> volume() Method,  
eg. solid shapes like cubes etc. can implement this interface.

### **Dependency Inversion**

- describes that depends upon abstractions(generic class/interface) rather than upon concrete details.
- A. HIGH LEVEL MODULES SHOULD NOT DEPEND UPON LOW LEVEL MODULES. BOTH SHOULD DEPEND UPON ABSTRACTIONS.
- B. ABSTRACTIONS SHOULD NOT DEPEND UPON DETAILS. DETAILS SHOULD DEPEND UPON ABSTRACTIONS.

#### - Counter Example

MySQLConnection - low level module & PasswordReminder -> high level module,  
this violates the principle as the PasswordReminder module -> forced to depend on MySQLConnection module.

change in the database engine, also have to edit the PasswordReminder class

PasswordReminder module -> should not care what database your application uses, to fix this "code to an interface",  
create an interface having a connect() method and  
MySQLConnection class implements this interface, Hence no matter the type of database your application uses.