CpSc 2120: Algorithms and Data Structures

Instructor:Dr. Brian DeanFall 2017Webpage:http://www.cs.clemson.edu/~bcdean/TTh 12:30-1:45Handout 9:Midterm Quiz Solutions (In-Class Part)McAdams 119

1. True/False (1 point each).

- T The height of an AVL tree on n elements is always $\Omega(\log n)$.
 - F If an algorithm runs in $\Omega(n)$ time, then it runs in $O(n^2)$ time.
- T If an algorithm runs in $\Theta(n^3)$ time, then it runs in $O(n^3)$ time and also $\Omega(n^3)$ time.
- T A queue can be implemented so that the operations of inserting an element at the front and removing an element from the back both take O(1) time.
 - F In a network with n webpages, each iteration of Pagerank will run in O(n) time (here an "iteration" is what we performed 50 times on homework #1).
- **2.** Running Time (3 points). For each of the code samples below, please write the running time as a function of n using $\Theta()$ notation. Answers: $\Theta(n)$, $\Theta(n^2)$, $\Theta(n \log n)$.

3. Verify (4 points). Given two length-n integer arrays A and B, please describe, in English, a fast algorithm that can verify if B contains the sorted contents of A.

A simple and correct algorithm is to just sort A in $O(n \log n)$ time (say, by inserting A's contents into a balanced binary search tree and doing an in-order traversal) and then compare the result with B element-by-element in O(n) time. However, we can do slightly better, by checking that (i) B is sorted, and (ii) B contains the same elements as A. We can check (i) in O(n) time by simply scanning through B, verifying that each element is no smaller than the element before it. We can check (ii) in O(n) expected time by inserting the elements in A into a (universal) hash table (inserting multiple copies of a value if there are duplicates present), then scanning B and removing its elements from the hash table. If we remove all the elements successfully, then B contained the same elements as A.

4. Missing Element (4 points). Suppose you are given a balanced binary search tree T_1 containing n distinct strings, and another balanced binary search tree T_2 containing all but one of the strings in T_1 . Please describe a fast algorithm for determining the missing element – appearing in T_1 but not T_2 .

There are many nice solutions here. For example, one could find the inorder traversal sequence from T_1 and T_2 in O(n) time and then in O(n) time walk through and compare these element-by-element. For an even faster approach, let x be the root of T_1 . Call find(x) in T_2 ($O(\log n)$ time). If absent, we are done. Otherwise, remove x from T_2 and insert it at the root of T_2 as in lab 4 (also $O(\log n)$ time). Now T_1 and T_2 have the same root, so we can compare subtree sizes. It must either be the case that T_2 's left subtree is one element smaller than T_1 's left subtree (so T_2 's left subtree contains the missing element), or the same for their right subtrees. Recurse on whichever side contains this discrepancy. Since we spend $O(\log n)$ time and then recurse one step down the tree (and the tree is balanced, having height $O(\log n)$), total running time is $O(\log^2 n)$ (in expectation, since our trees are randomly balanced).

5. Tree Copy (4 points). Please fill in the function below so it creates a duplicate copy of an entire balanced binary search tree.

```
Node *copy_tree(Node *root)
  if (root == NULL) return NULL;
  return new Node(root->key, root->size, copy_tree(root->left), copy_tree(root->right));
6. Debugging (5 points). Corrected versions below:
int sum(int n) // Was int &n, but this wouldn't have compiled
int find_max(Node *root)
  if (root->right == NULL) return root->key;
 return find_max(root->right); // only need to recurse right, not left
}
// Without passing S by reference (or with a pointer), it will go out of
// scope twice and therefore its destructor will try to free its hash
// table twice, causing a crash. So we should use
void insert_hello_world(Stringset &S)
void insert_hello_world(Stringset *S)
// A[i][j][k] is of type int, not int *, so assigning it to a new int
// will not compile. Something like this is more appropriate:
A[i][j][k] = 0;
```