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# Transcriptome sequencing and assembly

The Illumina HiSeq 1500 equipment (Illumina, San Diego, California, United States) was used for RNA sequencing, with ribosomal RNA reduction (rRNA) reagents and large-scale sequencing. After the sequencing platform generated the sequencing images, the CASAVA software (version 1.8.2) was used to separate samples by identifying the barcodes assigned during the cDNA library preparation step. The final step was the conversion from BCL files to fastq format files that contains only nucleotide sequences and their respective qualities, discarding reads that have not been approved by the Q score base quality control (greater than phred 30). The reads were assembled using Trinity software [[1](#ref-Grabherr2011)] with a minimum contig size of 120 (instead of the default value: 200). Trinity data allowed us to identify the number of isoforms per gene and other features.

# Bioinformatics analyses

## Transcript annotation

Three different methodologies were used to annotate the contigs generated by Trinity:

1. Alignment using BLASTx to several databases, including: (i) UNIPROT (download on July, the 27 th , 2017 UniprotMetazoaToxin), (ii) eukaryotic COGs, (iii) Arachnoserver [[2](#ref-Pineda2018)], and (iv) an subset of UNIPROT including only sequences from the clade Arthropoda. The contigs were compared to these databases BLASTx to provide sequence similarity information. Only the hits an e-value inferior to 1e-5 were considered and we used the best hit against each database to provide a putative annotation for each contig. The contigs were also classified according to a ranking of expression as observed by TPM (transcripts per million transcripts) measures provided by Trinity.

* We also performed a specific ranking containing only the contigs annotated as toxins by Arachnoserver best hits. All mRNA transcripts for the spider genus Latrodectus available at Genbank were downloaded and converted into a BLAST database. Our transcripts were aligned against this database using BLASTn 2.9.0 with an evalue of 10.

1. Submission of sequences to the Trinotate annotation pipeline v3.2.1 [[3](#ref-Bryant2017)] using the autoTrinotate perl script. This pipeline has already been succesfully used to annotate venom transcripts from several taxa, such as cnidarians [[4](#ref-LewisAmes2016), [5](#ref-Mitchell2020)], stingrays [[6](#ref-Junior2016)], lizards [[7](#ref-Lino-Lopez2020)], scorpions [[8](#ref-Romero-Gutierrez2017)–[10](#ref-Valdez-Velazquez2020)] and spiders [[11](#ref-Zobel-Thropp2018)].

In our runs of the Trinotate pipeline, Transdecoder (<https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/wiki>), a companion utility for Trinity [[12](#ref-Haas2013)], was used to extract and translate ORFs from the assembled contigs. Blast 2.9.0 searches were run using the entire transcripts (BlastX) and ORF aminoacid sequences (BlastP) against all Arthropoda sequences from the Swissprot database [[13](#ref-TheUniProtConsortium2019)] (April 2020). HMM searches against the PFAM 32.0 database [[14](#ref-El-Gebali2018)] were performed using Hmmer 3.2.1 (<http://hmmer.org/>) for protein domain identification. Specific annotation was also performed for signal peptides with signalP 4.0 [[15](#ref-Petersen2011)], Transmembrane domains with TMHMM 2.0c [[16](#ref-Krogh2001)] and rRNAs with RNAmmer 1.2 [[17](#ref-Lagesen2007)]. Lastly, Trinotate provides annotation from GO [[18](#ref-GeneOntologyConsortium2004)], eggNOG [[19](#ref-Jensen2008)] and KEGG [[20](#ref-Kanehisa2000)] databases to contigs. Results were integrated into a sqlite database, used to generate a .xls tab-separated report.

Trinotate was run with the default parameters specified in autoTrinotate.pl configuration file, except for Transdecoder, where the -m parameter was used to extract ORFs longer than 30 aminoacids from our assembled contigs:

TransDecoder.LongOrfs -t nt.fasta -m 30 > protein.fasta

This custom parameter was necessary because by default Transdecoder will only keep ORFs longer than 100 aminoacids, and some proteins of interest for this study are below this threshold. Inhibitor cystine-knots (ICKs), for instance, are generally 30 to 50 residues long @Postic2018.

1. After running autoTrinotate, we have also performed a custom Blast searches search against mRNA sequences from *Latrodectus* spp. available at Genbank. These sequences were downloaded in April 2020 and cleaned to avoid redundancy with CD-HIT 4.8.1 [[21](#ref-Fu2012)]. The -c 1 parameter was used to ensure that only duplicate sequences were removed, reducing the total number of sequences from 1447 to 1403. This dataset was used to generate both a nucleotide and aminoacid Blast database. The aminoacid sequences were translated from the mrna dataset using Transdecoder with the same -m 30 specification used in Trinotate. All our Trinity contigs were aligned to the genbank *Latrodectus* transcripts using Blastn, BlastP and BlastX with an e-value of 10e-5. BlastP and BlastX were incorporated into the Trinotate sqlite database as custom results using the following commands:

* Trinotate Trinotate-master.sqlite LOAD\_custom\_blast --outfmt6 Trinity\_vs\_latrodec\_mrna --prog blastx --dbtype MRNA\_LATRODECTUS\_AA
* Trinotate Trinotate-database.sqlite LOAD\_custom\_blast --outfmt6 Trinity\_vs\_latrodec\_mrna --prog blastp --dbtype MRNA\_LATRODECTUS\_AA
* And a new .xls report based on the updated database was generated, including these custom blast hits. --incl\_trans and --incl\_pep parameters were used to respectively add nucleotide and aminoacid sequences data to the final Trinotate table:
* Trinotate Trinotate-database.sqlite report --incl\_trans --incl\_pep > trinotate\_annotation\_report\_full.xls

A custom python script was used to merge the results of these approaches (BlastX against several databases, Trinotate results and Blast against *Latrodectus* mrna sequences) into a single, massive table.

As a complementary analysis, we have run Trinotate against a custom database with all toxins deposited in Swissprot. Aside from this change in the database for Blast searches, the program was run with the same parameters as in the main annotation process. For both Trinotate runs (annotation against arthropoda and toxins sequences from Swissprot), a support script packaged with Trinotate (trinotate\_report\_summary.pl) was used to generate result summaries, both in textual and graphical formats.
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