

Gavin Dennis  
CS3130  
Project 2

When evaluating the algorithms with low values for  $n$  (around 40 and lower), the function durations were more or less the same. The program was easily able to compute the right answer in no more than a millisecond. It's even possible that the efficient algorithm is slightly slower here due to the higher overhead of the BigInteger class compared to standard long integers.

```
Efficient Fibonacci Algorithm Analysis by Gavin Dennis.

Takes command-line arguments for input, then calculates the corresponding fibonacci numbers
using two algorithms:
    * The standard recursive algorithm that is taught in 2000 level CS classes
    * The more efficient dynamic programming approach which removes redundancy when computing

What to input for command-line arguments:
    * arg1: the nth fibonacci number to find for the first algorithm
    * arg2: the nth fibonacci number to find for the second algorithm

Evaluating algorithms: Standard Algorithm
Fibonacci number 20: 6765
Time duration of standard algorithm: 0ms

Evaluating algorithms: Efficient Algorithm
Fibonacci number 20: 6765
Time duration of efficient algorithm: 1ms
```

---

When evaluating with larger values, the standard recursion algorithm struggled much more. This time it took over 42 seconds to compute the answer. However, it was no challenge for the dynamic programming algorithm which computed the same answer in less than a second.

```
> java App 50 50
Efficient Fibonacci Algorithm Analysis by Gavin Dennis.

Takes command-line arguments for input, then calculates the corresponding fibonacci numbers
using two algorithms:
    * The standard recursive algorithm that is taught in 2000 level CS classes
    * The more efficient dynamic programming approach which removes redundancy when computing

What to input for command-line arguments:
    * arg1: the nth fibonacci number to find for the first algorithm
    * arg2: the nth fibonacci number to find for the second algorithm

Evaluating algorithms: Standard Algorithm
Fibonacci number 50: 12586269025
Time duration of standard algorithm: 42091ms

Evaluating algorithms: Efficient Algorithm
Fibonacci number 50: 12586269025
Time duration of efficient algorithm: 0ms
```

I continued to run tests with higher numbers for the second argument to see just how fast the efficient algorithm really is. I kept the first argument at 50 as it was already struggling to compute in a timely manner. With 5000 as the second argument, the function finished in under 5 milliseconds.

```
> java App 50 5000
Efficient Fibonacci Algorithm Analysis by Gavin Dennis.

Takes command-line arguments for input, then calculates the corresponding fibonacci numbers
using two algorithms:
    * The standard recursive algorithm that is taught in 2000 level CS classes
    * The more efficient dynamic programming approach which removes redundancy when computing

What to input for command-line arguments:
    * arg1: the nth fibonacci number to find for the first algorithm
    * arg2: the nth fibonacci number to find for the second algorithm

Evaluating algorithms: Standard Algorithm
Fibonacci number 50: 12586269025
Time duration of standard algorithm: 43900ms

Evaluating algorithms: Efficient Algorithm
Fibonacci number 5000: 38789684543883256337019163083259053120821277146462451061605972148955501390440370
9701082291646221066947929345285888297381348310200895498294036143015691147893836421656394410691021450563
4133706558656238254656700712525929903854933813928836378347518908762970712033337052923107693008518093849
8018038478139967488817655546537882916442689129803846137789690215022930824756663462249230718833248032803
7503913035290330450584270114763524227021093463769910400671417488329842289149127310405432875329804427367
6822977244987749874555691907703880637046832794811358973739993110106219308149018570815397854379195305617
5107610530756887837660336673554452588448862416192105534574936758978490279882343510235998446639348532564
1195222185956306047536464547076033090242080638258492915645287629157575914234380914230291749108898415520
9854432486594079793571316841692868039545309545388698114665082066862897420639323438488465240988742395873
8019769938203171742089322654688793640026307977800587591296713896342142525791168727556003603113705477547
24604639987588046985178408674382863125
Time duration of efficient algorithm: 4ms
```

---

For the final test, I tried setting the second argument to 50,000 which resulted in a stack overflow

[illegible]