

<p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>Situation: What is the situation that led to the case?</p> <p>FACTS of the CASE</p>	<p>After a school banned black armbands in its dress code to stop students from protesting the Vietnam War, students continued to wear them and got suspended, starting a first amendment lawsuit.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>Constitutional Question: What is SCOTUS trying to answer?</p>	<p>SCOTUS considered whether banning armbands violated students' free speech rights under the First Amendment.</p>
<p>What are the MAIN Arguments of both sides?</p>	<p>Plaintiff: Tinkers argued armbands were symbolic speech and covered under the first amendment</p> <p>Respondent: the school claimed they disrupted learning.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p>Opinions: What were the opinions that resulted from the Justices?</p>	<p>Majority: Fortas's majority opinion upheld student speech unless it caused substantial disruption.</p> <p>Dissenting: Black and Harlan dissented, citing the school's authority to set a dress code.</p>

<p>T</p> <p>Time: What year did the case occur?</p> <p>Terminology: Are there Important terms related to case?</p>	<p>1969</p> <p>First amendment, Judicial Review, Symbolic speech</p>
<p>U</p> <p>U.S. Constitution: Which amendments or articles does this case apply to?</p>	<p>1st amendment - free speech</p> <p>14th amendment - selective incorporation</p>
<p>S</p> <p>Significance: What is the significance of the court case?</p>	<p>Tinker affirmed students' free speech rights in schools, establishing the "Tinker test" to evaluate permissible limits on student expression while balancing educational order.</p>
<p>!!!</p> <p><i>Describe Comparison Case</i></p>	<p><i>Leave Blank for Now</i></p>