

<p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>Situation: What is the situation that led to the case?</p> <p>FACTS of the CASE</p>	<p>Amish parents, Jonas Yoder, Wallace Miller, and Adin Yutzy, were convicted under Wisconsin's compulsory school attendance law requiring education until age 16, refusing post-eighth-grade schooling due to religious beliefs against high school exposure, conflicting with Amish values</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>Constitutional Question: What is SCOTUS trying to answer?</p>	<p>Whether Wisconsin's compulsory attendance law, applied to Amish parents, violated the First Amendment's Free Exercise Clause, balancing state education interests against religious freedom.</p>
<p>What are the MAIN Arguments of both sides?</p>	<p>Plaintiff: Claimed the law burdened their free exercise by mandating high school attendance, contrary to religious beliefs, endangering salvation, with community vocational training sufficient</p> <p>Respondent: Argued a compelling interest in universal education for citizenship and societal integration, with the law neutral and generally applicable, not targeting religion</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p>Opinions: What were the opinions that resulted from the Justices?</p>	<p>Majority: Chief Justice Burger, in a 7-0 decision, held the parents' free exercise rights outweighed state interests, noting high school conflicted with Amish life, with Justices Stewart and White concurring</p> <p>Dissenting: Justice William O. Douglas partially dissented, advocating for considering children's rights, but joined the majority outcome, as</p>

	children weren't parties and shared parents' objections
<p>T</p> <p>Time: What year did the case occur?</p> <p>Terminology: Are there Important terms related to case?</p>	<p>1972</p> <p>Free Exercise Clause, Compelling State Interest, Strict Scrutiny, Fundamental Rights, Parental Rights, and Religious Exemptions</p>
<p>U</p> <p>U.S. Constitution: Which amendments or articles does this case apply to?</p>	<p>First and Fourteenth amendment</p>
<p>S</p> <p>Significance: What is the significance of the court case?</p>	<p>Established that states must accommodate religious practices conflicting with laws, impacting education policy and reinforcing parental religious freedom, with lasting effects on free exercise jurisprudence</p>
<p>!!!</p> <p><i>Describe Comparison Case</i></p>	<p><i>Leave Blank for Now</i></p>