# Protocol for the Examination of Specimens from Pediatric Patients with Hepatoblastoma

Protocol applies to hepatoblastoma. Other malignant primary hepatic tumors are not included.

# No AJCC/UICC TNM Staging System The Children's Oncology Group Staging System is recommended

Protocol web posting date: October 2009

#### **Procedures**

• Hepatectomy, Partial or Complete

#### **Authors**

Milton J. Finegold, MD\*

Department of Pathology, Texas Children's Cancer Center at Baylor College, Houston, Texas

Jay Bowen, MS

Center for Childhood Cancer, Columbus Children's Research Institute, Columbus. Ohio

Dolores Lopez-Terrada, MD, PhD

Department of Pathology, Texas Children's Cancer Center at Baylor College, Houston. Texas

M. Kay Washington, MD, PhD

Department of Pathology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee

D. Ashley Hill, MD†

Department of Pathology, Children's National Medical Center, Washington DC For the Members of the Cancer Committee, College of American Pathologists

Previous lead contributor: Stephen J. Qualman, MD

# **Important Note**

First priority should be given to formalin-fixed tissues for morphologic evaluation. The second priority for tissue processing is snap-freezing up to 1 g (minimum of 100 mg) of tumor from grossly different regions for molecular studies, as well as viable sterile tumor for cytogenetic studies (see Explanatory Note A). Samples from the same foci should be collected for histology, with appropriate identification. Samples of nontumoral liver should be collected for snap-freezing as well.

For more information, contact: The Children's Oncology Group Biopathology Center; Phone: (614) 722-2890 or (800) 347-2486.

<sup>\*</sup>denotes primary author. † denotes senior author. All other contributing authors are listed alphabetically.

© 2009 College of American Pathologists (CAP). All rights reserved.

The College does not permit reproduction of any substantial portion of these protocols without its written authorization. The College hereby authorizes use of these protocols by physicians and other health care providers in reporting on surgical specimens, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for nonprofit purposes. This authorization does not extend to reproduction or other use of any substantial portion of these protocols for commercial purposes without the written consent of the College.

The CAP also authorizes physicians and other health care practitioners to make modified versions of the Protocols solely for their individual use in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, teaching, and carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes.

The CAP further authorizes the following uses by physicians and other health care practitioners, in reporting on surgical specimens for individual patients, in teaching, and in carrying out medical research for non-profit purposes: (1) **Dictation** from the original or modified protocols for the purposes of creating a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (2) **Copying** from the original or modified protocols into a text-based patient record on paper, or in a word processing document; (3) The use of a **computerized system** for items (1) and (2), provided that the Protocol data is stored intact as a single text-based document, and is not stored as multiple discrete data fields.

Other than uses (1), (2), and (3) above, the CAP does not authorize any use of the Protocols in electronic medical records systems, pathology informatics systems, cancer registry computer systems, computerized databases, mappings between coding works, or any computerized system without a written license from CAP. Applications for such a license should be addressed to the SNOMED Terminology Solutions division of the CAP.

Any public dissemination of the original or modified Protocols is prohibited without a written license from the CAP.

The College of American Pathologists offers these protocols to assist pathologists in providing clinically useful and relevant information when reporting results of surgical specimen examinations of surgical specimens. The College regards the reporting elements in the "Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary (Checklist)" portion of the protocols as essential elements of the pathology report. However, the manner in which these elements are reported is at the discretion of each specific pathologist, taking into account clinician preferences, institutional policies, and individual practice.

The College developed these protocols as an educational tool to assist pathologists in the useful reporting of relevant information. It did not issue the protocols for use in litigation, reimbursement, or other contexts. Nevertheless, the College recognizes that the protocols might be used by hospitals, attorneys, payers, and others. Indeed, effective January 1, 2004, the Commission on Cancer of the American College of Surgeons mandated the use of the checklist elements of the protocols as part of its Cancer Program Standards for Approved Cancer Programs. Therefore, it becomes even more important for pathologists to familiarize themselves with these documents. At the same time, the College cautions that use of the protocols other than for their intended educational purpose may involve additional considerations that are beyond the scope of this document.

The inclusion of a product name or service in a CAP publication should not be construed as an endorsement of such product or service, nor is failure to include the name of a product of service to be construed as disapproval.

# **Surgical Pathology Cancer Case Summary (Checklist)**

Protocol web posting date: October 2009

# **HEPATOBLASTOMA (PEDIATRIC LIVER): Resection**

Select a single response unless otherwise indicated.

Procedure (Note A)  Right lobectomy Extended right lobectomy Medial segmentectomy Left lateral segmentectomy Total left lobectomy
Explanted liver
Other (specify): Not specified
•
Tumor Site
Right lobe Left lobe
Right and left lobes
Other (specify):
Not specified
Tumor Size (specify for each nodule)
Greatest dimension: cm
*Additional dimensions: x cm
Cannot be assessed (see Comment)
*Tumor Focality (within liver)
* Unifocal
* Multifocal
* Indeterminate
* Cannot be assessed
Histologic Type (Note B)
Hepatoblastoma, epithelial type, fetal pattern (mitotically inactive)
Hepatoblastoma, epithelial type, fetal pattern (mitotically active)
Hepatoblastoma, epithelial type, fetal and embryonal pattern
Hepatoblastoma, epithelial type, macrotrabecular pattern
Hepatoblastoma, epithelial type, small cell undifferentiated pattern
*Percentage of tumor with this histologic feature:
Hepatoblastoma, mixed epithelial and mesenchymal type without teratoid features
Hepatoblastoma, mixed epithelial and mesenchymal type with teratoid features
— Hepatoblastoma, rhabdoid type
Hepatoblastoma, other (specify):
Other (specify):

<sup>\*</sup> Data elements with asterisks are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Histologic Grade (Note C)
Favorable (purely epithelial, fetal subtype, mitotically inactive with ≤2 mitoses in 10
X40 objective fields; stage I)
Less favorable (all subtypes other than those designated "Favorable" or "Unfavorable")
Unfavorable (small cell undifferentiated or rhabdoid as the predominant or sole
histopathologic subtype; any stage)
metepathetegic easily po, any etage,
Margins (select all that apply) (Note D)
Macroscopic Extent of Tumor at Operation
Tumor extends into adjacent organ(s)
Tumor extends into adjacent soft tissue
Diaphragm
Abdominal wall
Other (specify):
Intraoperative tumor spill
Resection Margin
Cannot be assessed
Uninvolved by invasive tumor
Distance of invasive tumor from closest margin: mm ORcm
Specify margin(s):
Involved by invasive tumor
Specify margin(s)
Capsular Surface
Cannot be assessed
Uninvolved by invasive tumor
Distance of invasive tumor from closest surface: mm ORcm
Specify margin:
Involved by invasive tumor
*Lymph-Vascular Invasion (select all that apply)
* Not identified
* Portal vein invasion present
* Hepatic vein invasion present
* Present within tumor nodules
* Present in vessels of parenchyma outside of tumor nodules
* Indeterminate
Lymph Nodes (Note E)
Cannot be assessed
Regional lymph node metastasis not identified
Regional lymph node metastasis present
Specify location, if known:
Specify: Number of lymph nodes examined:
Number of lymph nodes involved by tumor:

<sup>\*</sup> Data elements with asterisks are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Distant Metas	
Not applic	etastasis present (includes metastasis to lymph nodes in the following
locations	inferior phrenic, distal to hilum, hepatoduodenal ligament, or caval region) fy site(s), if known:
Staging (Chil	dren's Oncology Group) (select all that apply) (Note F)
Stage I	Complete resection, margins grossly and microscopically negative for tumor
Stage II	Microscopic residual tumor present
	<ul> <li>Microscopic residual tumor present at hepatic resection margin</li> <li>Microscopic residual tumor present at extrahepatic resection margin</li> <li>Intraoperative tumor spill</li> </ul>
Stage III	Gross residual tumor present
	Macroscopic tumor visible at resection margin(s)
Stage IV	Lymph node metastasis present
Stage IV	Metastatic disease present Primary tumor completely resected
	Primary tumor not completely resected
*Additional P * None ide	athologic Findings (select all that apply)
* Cirrhosis	
* Iron over	rload
	(specify type):
* Other (sp	pecify):
*Other (speci	fy) (Notes G, H, and I):
*Comment(s)	

<sup>\*</sup> Data elements with asterisks are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

# **Explanatory Notes**

#### A. Submission of Tissue

Use of intraoperative frozen sections should be avoided unless the operative procedure will be altered by the result. Biopsies of pediatric liver tumors present significant potential for diagnostic error, even on permanent sections. First priority should be given to formalin-fixed tissues for morphologic evaluation. For resection specimens, sections should be prepared from each major tumor nodule, with representative sampling of smaller nodules, if macroscopically different in appearance. The total number of sections taken should be equal to or greater than the greatest dimension of the tumor in centimeters, to better assure detection of areas of unfavorable (eg, small cell undifferentiated) histopathologic features. Sections from inked margins of resection and portal vein or hepatic vein—inferior vena cava involvement should also be submitted if this feature is seen grossly. Documentation should include gross vascular invasion versus intravascular growth found only microscopically, and whether it is within the tumor mass or outside of it.

The second priority for tissue processing includes snap-freezing up to 1 g (minimum of 100 mg) of tumor from regions of different appearance for future molecular studies; viable sterile tumor should be submitted for cytogenetic studies whenever possible. Samples of nontumoral liver should be collected for snap-freezing as well.

Primary diagnosis by cytology (fine-needle aspiration) may be misleading because of difficulties in distinguishing well-differentiated hepatocellular malignancy from regenerative changes and benign proliferations, and because of the variability of histologic features in hepatoblastoma.

### B. Histologic Type

Primary malignant tumors of the liver account for approximately 1% of all childhood cancer. The most common type is hepatoblastoma, which has an annual incidence of 0.9 per 1 million children. Not only are hepatoblastomas rare, but their diversity significantly limits the experience of any one center and pathologist. A classification scheme for hepatoblastoma<sup>1</sup> that divides the more frequently or prognostically influential features from infrequent or inconsequential (minor) components is presented in Table 1, based on a study of tumor resection specimens.

#### Table 1. Classification of Hepatoblastoma

#### **Major Categories**

Epithelial

Fetal, well-differentiated (mitotically inactive with minimal mitotic rate of ≤2 mitoses per 10, X40 objective fields)

Fetal, mitotically active (>2 mitoses per 10, X40 objective fields)

Embryonal

Macrotrabecular

Small cell, undifferentiated

Rhabdoid

Mixed stroma having osteoid features; rarely striated muscle, cartilage or minor components as follows:

Cholangioblastic (ductal)

Intestinal glandular epithelium (teratoid)

Neuroid-melanocytic (teratoid)

Rhabdomyoblastic

Chondroid

Blastemal

There is no relationship between the age of the child and the predominant cell type in hepatoblastoma. Of all cases at all ages, 85% to 90% contain both fetal and embryonal derivatives in variable proportions; 20% have stromal derivatives. Because these histologic types tend to be randomly intermingled, both fine-needle aspiration and biopsies may capture a nonrepresentative sample of tumor.

Distinguishing well-differentiated (mitotically inactive) fetal hepatocytic tumor cells from normal liver in an infant can be difficult. The fetal tumor cells are larger than normal fetal hepatocytes and have a higher nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio. The nuclei are regular and round with little discernible mitotic activity (≤2 mitoses per 10 high-power [X40 objective] fields) in the well-differentiated variety. Fetal tumor cells grow in cords, as in normal liver, or in nests or nodules. Clusters of normoblasts (extramedullary hematopoiesis) are commonly seen, as in fetal liver. The cytoplasm of the fetal tumor cells varies from eosinophilic to clear, depending on the amount of glycogen content. Fetal tumor cells may also contain abundant lipid, producing vacuolization. In well-differentiated fetal tumors, bile secretion may be observed.

The embryonal cellular component of hepatoblastoma is less well differentiated than its fetal hepatocytic counterpart, with cells that are small and have a high nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio with ovoid nuclei and that may assume a tubular or rosette-like configuration. Purely embryonal tumors are almost never encountered and invariably show some fetal areas.

A macrotrabecular pattern of hepatoblastoma growth is one in which fetal or embryonal cells number 20 or more within a cord or cluster, as opposed to the usual 2- to 6-cell-thick cords or plates.

Some histopathologic subtypes of hepatoblastoma have prognostic and therapeutic importance. Well-differentiated (mitotically inactive) fetal histology was superior to embryonal differentiation in long-term survival; therefore, the current Children's

Oncology Group (COG) study is treating stage I well-differentiated fetal hepatoblastoma (with low mitotic rate) with surgery alone. An urgent research need is to identify more effective medical therapy for both small cell undifferentiated hepatoblastoma and rhabdoid hepatoblastoma, the most aggressive forms of this malignancy.

When first distinguished from embryonal epithelium, small undifferentiated cells in hepatoblastoma were noted to resemble neuroblastoma, to have a low mitotic rate, and were called anaplastic, consistent with the dictionary definition, characterized by imperfect development. Because anaplastic was redefined by Faria et al<sup>3</sup> for Wilms tumor as nuclear enlargement to 3 times that of typical tumor cells, hyperchromasia, and atypical mitoses, the small cell undifferentiated component is no longer designated as anaplastic. Beckwith-type anaplasia does occur rarely in hepatoblastoma, and its significance is unknown. The small cells have been considered putative hepatic progenitor cells on the basis of immunohistochemical and electron microscopic studies. When present in a significant fraction of the hepatoblastoma (75%), or as the sole cell type, the small cell type is typically found in infants younger than 1 year; they have a poor prognosis, with poor response to current therapy. The prognostic significance of smaller proportions of the small cell undifferentiated type is still undetermined. Rhabdoid tumor cells have the characteristic eccentric pink cytoplasmic inclusions (periodic acid-Schiff/diastase positive, vimentin or cytokeratin positive) with vesicular nuclei and fibrillar inclusion bodies by electron microscopy. They may be associated with the small cell component in otherwise typical hepatoblastomas or as the exclusive cell type, in which case they occur in infancy and are associated with a poor prognosis.

Often, mixed hepatoblastomas contain epithelial membrane antigen (EMA)-positive nests of squamous epithelium. The osteoid component of mixed hepatoblastomas is found to be a matrix of collagen surrounding cells expressing EMA and having ultrastructural features of epithelium rather than osteoblasts. Hepatoblastomas may contain other stromal derivatives, including cartilage and rhabdomyoblasts. There is no prognostic significance to the presence of mixed histologic features.

Several other variant (stromal) histologic patterns in hepatoblastoma are placed into a "minor category" on the basis of infrequency of the cell type or the lack of measurable prognostic consequence. Multinucleated tumor giant cells are found in rare hepatoblastomas, sometimes associated with human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) production and clinical virilization. Teratoid hepatoblastoma was initially depicted as having intestinal, neural, and melanocytic elements. These are distinguished from true teratomas, which can also occur in the livers of children, on the basis of organoid differentiation and even greater diversity of tissue elements in the teratomas.

Postchemotherapy resection specimens often show eradication of the embryonal cells and more prevalent osteoid-like foci. Heifetz et al<sup>4</sup> reported that vascular invasion, amount of mesenchyme, persistence of embryonal epithelium, extent of tumor necrosis, and mitotic activity of the epithelial component have predictive value in this type of specimen. This has yet to be confirmed, but the items should be documented, as should the presence of any small undifferentiated cells, which are known to negatively affect prognosis but may have been missed in the initial biopsies of stage III and IV lesions.

# Typical Hepatoblastoma Histologic Types

Epithelial, fetal, well differentiated (with minimal mitotic rate of ≤2 per 10, X40 objective fields) (7%)

Epithelial, fetal, mitotically active pattern (>2 mitoses per 10, X40 objective fields) (11%)

Epithelial type, fetal and embryonal pattern only (39%)

Epithelial type, macrotrabecular pattern (12%)

Small cell undifferentiated pattern (5.6%)

Mixed epithelial and mesenchymal type without teratoid features (20%)

Mixed epithelial and mesenchymal type with teratoid features (4%)

Immunohistochemistry for hepatocyte antigen,  $\alpha$ -fetoprotein, and, in some instances,  $\beta$ -catenin may be useful in the diagnosis of hepatoblastoma, mostly for tumors with favorable and unfavorable histologies. There is no immunostain to differentiate hepatocellular carcinoma from hepatoblastoma. Possible genetic markers (trisomies for chromosomes 2, 20, and 8, abnormalities of chromosome 1p) are being investigated and may help differentiate these two entities, but only in approximately 35% to 40% of hepatoblastomas that carry the abnormalities. <sup>1</sup>

## C. Histologic Grade: Grading for Hepatoblastoma (see Note B and Table 1)

Tumors with favorable histopathologic features are purely fetal, well-differentiated lesions defined as mitotically inactive with a minimal mitotic rate of 2 or fewer mitoses per 10, X40 objective fields. When these tumors are also stage I they are treated with surgery alone.

Tumors with unfavorable histopathologic features have either undifferentiated small cell or rhabdoid subtypes, or both. When present in a significant fraction of the hepatoblastoma (75%) or as the sole cell type, the small cell undifferentiated subtype is typically found in infants younger than 1 year, with poor prognosis regardless of stage or therapy. When this subtype is present in lesser proportions, the prognostic implications remain undetermined. When the rhabdoid cell type is the exclusive histopathology, it is also found typically in infants and has a poor prognosis.

Less favorable histopathologies include all other tumor subtypes not mentioned above, although they may be associated with favorable prognosis if stage I and are usually treated with multimodal therapy.

# D. Margins

The evaluation of margins for total or partial hepatectomy specimens depends on the method and extent of resection. It is recommended that the surgeon be consulted to determine the critical foci within the margins that require microscopic evaluation. The transection margin of a partial hepatectomy may be large, rendering it impractical for complete examination. In this setting, grossly positive margins should be microscopically confirmed and documented. If the margins are grossly free of tumor, judicious sampling of the cut surface in the region closest to the nearest identified tumor nodule is indicated. In selected cases, adequate random sampling of the cut surface may be sufficient. If the neoplasm is found near the surgical margin, the distance from the margin should be reported. For multiple tumors, the distance from the nearest tumor should be reported.

## E. Lymph Nodes

Histologic examination of a regional lymphadenectomy specimen usually involves examination of 3 or more lymph nodes. The regional lymph nodes of the hepatic region include the hilar, hepatoduodenal ligament, and caval lymph nodes. Nodal involvement of the inferior phrenic lymph nodes or other lymph nodes distal to the hilar, hepatoduodenal ligament, and caval lymph nodes is considered distant metastasis.

## F. Staging of Hepatoblastoma

Staging in the United States combines imaging with surgical judgment about resectability. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging are used exclusively in the SIOPEL (Societé Internationale D'Oncologie Pediatrique Liver Tumor Study Group) protocol to determine the location and extent of hepatic involvement of hepatoblastoma preoperatively; tumors sparing the left medial and right anterior sectors are primarily resected.

Dissemination of hepatic malignancies occurs within portal veins and follows the expected ready access of infiltration into hepatic veins, with frequent lung involvement. Further spread to the brain may occur. Hilar lymph node metastases are relatively infrequent, but capsular rupture of subcapsular masses either before or during surgery can upstage an otherwise resectable malignancy.

The Children's Oncology Group staging system is recommended for hepatoblastomas:5

- Stage I (favorable histologic type) tumors are completely resected and have typical histologic features of a purely fetal well-differentiated histologic pattern (minimal mitotic index of 2 mitoses per 10 high-power [X40 objective] fields).
- Stage I (other histologic type) tumors are completely resected, with a histologic picture other than purely fetal, well-differentiated pattern.
- Stage II tumors are grossly resected with evidence of microscopic residual tumor. Such tumors are rare, and patients with this stage have not fared differently from those with stage I tumors in previous protocols. Resected tumors with preoperative (intraoperative) rupture are classified as stage II.
- Stage III (unresectable) tumors are those that are considered by the attending surgeon not to be resectable without undue risk to the patient. These include partially resected tumors with measurable tumor left behind. They do not include grossly resected tumors with microscopic disease at the margins or resected tumors with preoperative/intraoperative rupture. Lymph node involvement is considered stage III disease and may require evaluation with second laparotomy after an initial 4 courses of chemotherapy.
- Stage IV tumors are those that present with measurable metastatic disease to the lungs or other organ.#

Resectability is the key prognostic feature for all liver malignancies, with the possible exception of rhabdomyosarcoma (see separate College of American Pathologists protocol for rhabdomyosarcoma<sup>8</sup>). Unfortunately 67% of hepatoblastomas were not amenable to primary surgery (48% stage III and 19% stage IV) in the 16 years of Pediatric Oncology Group/Children's Oncology Group accessions.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> Nodal involvement of the inferior phrenic lymph nodes or other lymph nodes distal to the hilar, hepatoduodenal ligament, or caval lymph nodes are considered distant metastases.

#### G. Associated Environmental and Genetic Factors

Hepatoblastoma occurs in association with several well-described environmental factors and cancer genetic syndromes (see Table 2); however, not all of these associations are necessarily of statistical significance. Environmental factors and prenatal exposure to different agents have been implicated in hepatoblastoma.<sup>1</sup>

An increased incidence of hepatoblastoma—from 0.4 to 1.0 per million between 1971 and 1983—has been observed at a Children's Tumour Registry in Manchester, United Kingdom. Data from the US National Cancer Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Result (SEER) program revealed an average annual increase of 5.2% in the incidence of hepatoblastoma from 1973 to 1992. 10 This change might be explained by hepatoblastoma occurring in surviving premature infants. Hepatoblastomas in Japan accounted for 58% of all malignancies in children who weighed less than 1000 g at birth. 11 Further analysis of the Japanese Children's Cancer Registry data revealed that 15 of 303 (5%) hepatoblastomas between 1985 to 1995 occurred in infants with history of prematurity and weight less than 1500 g at birth. 12 This rate was greater than 10 times that for all live births. The relative risk for hepatoblastoma for children who weighed less than 1000 g at birth was 15.64 compared with 2.53 for those 1000 g to 1499 g and 1.21 for children weighing 2000 g to 2499 g at birth. Of 77 children with hepatoblastoma in the German registry, 3 (4%) were premature infants who required parenteral nutrition, a treatment that has been lifesaving for many small premature infants but has been reported to lead to cirrhosis in many survivors. It has not been previously associated with hepatoblastoma. The histologic features of hepatoblastoma after prematurity are indistinguishable from those of other hepatoblastomas.

The Children's Cancer Group has evaluated environmental or drug exposure. Seventy-five sets of parents of children with hepatoblastoma were compared with the parents of age-matched controls. In the group of children with hepatoblastoma, there was a significant excess of maternal exposure, before and during pregnancy, to metals used in welding and soldering, lubricating oils, and protective greases. Paternal exposure to metals was also greater. At 23 weeks, a congenital hepatoblastoma was found in a stillborn fetus whose mother was an artist exposed to volatile hydrocarbons.

# Table 2. Clinical Syndromes, Congenital Malformations, and Other Conditions Associated With Hepatoblastoma

#### **Congenital Malformations**

Absence of left adrenal gland

Bilateral talipes

**Duplicated ureters** 

Dysplasia of ear lobes

Cleft palate

Fetal hydrops

Hemihypertrophy

Heterotopic lung tissue

Horseshoe kidney

Inguinal hernia

Intrathoracic kidney

Macroglossia

Meckel diverticulum

Persistent ductus arteriosus

Renal dysplasia

Right-sided diaphragmatic hernia

Single coronary artery

Umbilical hernia

#### **Syndromes**

Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome

Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome with opsoclonus, myoclonus

Budd-Chiari syndrome

Familial adenomatous polyposis syndrome

Li-Fraumeni cancer syndrome

Polyposis coli families

Schinzel-Geidion syndrome

Trisomy 18

## Metabolic / Pathophysiologic Abnormalities

Cystathioninuria

Glycogen storage disease types Ia, III, and IV

Hypoglycemia

Heterozygous  $\alpha_1$ -antitrypsin deficiency

Isosexual precocity

Prematurity

Total parenteral nutrition

Very low birth weight

# Table 2. Clinical Syndromes, Congenital Malformations, and Other Conditions Associated With Hepatoblastoma

#### **Environmental / Other**

Alcohol embryopathy

Human immunodeficiency virus or hepatitis B virus infection

Maternal clomiphene citrate or Pergonal

Oral contraceptive, mother

Oral contraceptive, patient

Osteoporosis

Synchronous Wilms tumor

Karyotyping of hepatoblastomas has revealed a recurrent pattern of chromosomal abnormalities. 15,16 The most common karyotypic changes are extra copies of entire chromosomes (trisomies), sometimes in conjunction with other complex structural changes and often in association with double-minute chromosomes. Trisomies of chromosomes 2 and 20 have each been reported most commonly, 15,16 and each of these trisomies has been reported as a sole karyotypic event, suggesting that they may represent an early stage of tumor evolution. Trisomy of chromosome 20 and duplication of the long arm of chromosome 20 have also been observed in rhabdomyosarcoma, suggesting a link between these 2 embryonal tumors, both of which are also associated with losses at the Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome locus. 17 Trisomy of chromosome 8 is also common; other trisomies are seen with lesser frequency. Occasional losses of entire chromosomes are seen, and these, too, are not random. The clinical significance of trisomies is unknown at present, although a recent study using comparative genomic hybridization has suggested that chromosomal gains at chromosomes 8 and 20 may be associated with an adverse prognosis. 18 A unique translocation has been reported in undifferentiated small cell hepatoblastoma, <sup>19</sup> a variant associated with a poor prognosis. although this cytogenetic variant has not been reported in other cases.

Numerous recent studies have documented molecular genetic abnormalities in hepatoblastomas (see Table 3) and other hepatic tumors. Several genetic changes are shared with other embryonal tumors, such as loss of heterozygosity at chromosome 11p15, also described in rhabdomyosarcomas and Wilms tumors. Acquired mutations of the *APC* gene and the *B-catenin* gene, both members of the Wnt signaling pathway, have also been reported in hepatoblastoma. <sup>20,21</sup> The high frequency of *B-catenin* mutations in hepatoblastomas and the increased incidence of hepatoblastomas in familial adenomatous polyposis families suggest the important role of an overactivation of wingless/Wnt pathway in the pathogenesis of hepatoblastoma. Collection of fresh or frozen hepatoblastoma tumor material as well as nontumoral liver tissue from these patients will be of great importance to the further investigation of the clinical relevance of these and other molecular genetic abnormalities in predicting the prognosis and clinical behavior of these tumors.

Table 3. Constitutional Genetic Disease Associated With Hepatoblastoma					
Disease	Tumor Type	Chromosomal Locus	Gene		
Familial adenomatous polyposis	Hepatoblastoma, hepatocellular carcinoma or adenoma, biliary adenoma	5q21.22	APC		
Beckwith- Wiedemann syndrome	Hepatoblastoma, hemangioendothelioma	11p15.5	p57KIP2, others		
Li-Fraumeni syndrome	Hepatoblastoma, undifferentiated sarcoma	17p13	TP53		
Trisomy 18	Hepatoblastoma	18	_		
Glycogen storage disease types Ia, III, IV	Hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma, hepatoblastoma	17	Glucose-6- phosphatase; debrancher and brancher enzymes		

#### H. Tumor Markers

Serum  $\alpha$ -fetoprotein ( $\alpha$ FP) is the most useful indicator of hepatocellular neoplasia. Levels of serum  $\alpha$ FP are markedly elevated in 80% to 90% of hepatoblastomas and in 60% to 70% of hepatocellular carcinomas. Lesser degrees of elevation in infants can be due to variations in the rate of decline after birth or to secretion from regenerating hepatocytes adjacent to hemangioendotheliomas or mesenchymal hamartomas. Therefore, it is unacceptable practice to institute chemotherapy for mass lesions of the liver based solely on imaging studies and serum  $\alpha$ FP levels.  $\alpha$ FP also can be elevated in yolk sac tumors, which may occur as primary tumors in the liver or together with hepatoblastoma. On the contrary,  $\alpha$ FP levels will not be increased when hepatoblastomas are primarily composed of the small cell undifferentiated type or in most fibrolamellar carcinomas, but even some typical fetal hepatoblastomas have failed to produce detectable increases in serum  $\alpha$ FP levels. Following the  $\alpha$ FP level in patients with unresectable hepatoblastoma after chemotherapy had prognostic value in a retrospective analysis of 31 patients in a Children's Cancer Group series from 1986 to 1989. Levels of the series of the s

There are many other proposed blood assays for the detection of hepatic malignancies. Other than  $\alpha FP$  and human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG), none is used widely thus far because of relatively low specificity and predictive value. Occasionally, hypercholesterolemia is found in patients with hepatoblastoma, especially in infants with fetal histology, and all those with high levels died. Precocious puberty secondary to HCG or testosterone secretion has been observed in 6% of boys with hepatoblastoma. Thrombocytosis has been present in 25% to 65% of patients with hepatoblastoma.

# I. Clinical Features and Differential Diagnosis

The presenting symptom of virtually all liver tumors in children is abdominal swelling secondary to hepatomegaly. When confronted with this symptom, it is useful to consider the age at which liver tumors tend to occur (see Table 4). Exceptions are frequent, but age can serve as a guide when the presenting symptoms lack specificity. In the Pediatric Oncology Group series from 1986 to 2002, 166% of hepatoblastomas were manifest by the second year, and 11% before 6 months of age. Approximately 50% of those in infants were congenital, given their size when discovered by 2 to 3 months of age: 6% of hepatoblastomas occurred after 5 years of age. Hepatocellular carcinomas have been observed as early as 6 months of age. Seven examples of mixed hepatoblastomas and hepatocellular carcinomas have been observed at a mean age of 8.5 years; perinatally acquired hepatitis B virus was responsible in three instances. Yolk sac tumors are more common in early childhood, but they also occur rarely in older adults. Systemic malignancies and metastatic disease must be considered at all ages because hepatomegaly due to megakaryoblastic leukemia, Langerhans cell histiocytosis, and neuroblastoma are important sources of confusion with hepatoblastoma in infancy, as are intra-abdominal desmoplastic small round cell tumors later in childhood.

Table 4. Tumors of the Liver in Children: Usual Age of Presentation				
Age	Benign	Malignant		
Infancy (0-1 y)	Hemangioendothelioma Mesenchymal hamartoma Teratoma	Hepatoblastoma, especially small cell undifferentiated Rhabdoid tumor Yolk sac tumor Langerhans cell histiocytosis Megakaryoblastic leukemia Disseminated neuroblastoma		
Early childhood (1-3 y)	Hemangioendothelioma Mesenchymal hamartoma	Hepatoblastoma Rhabdomyosarcoma Inflammatory myofibroblastic (pseudo) tumor		
Later childhood (3-10 y)	Perivascular epithelioid cell tumors (PE-Comas), including angiomyolipoma in liver and clear cell tumor of ligamentum teres / falciform ligament	Hepatocellular carcinoma Embryonal (undifferentiated) sarcoma Angiosarcoma Cholangiocarcinoma Endocrine (gastrin) carcinoma		
Adolescence (10-16 y)	Adenoma Focal nodular hyperplasia Biliary cystadenoma	Fibrolamellar hepatocellular carcinoma Hodgkin lymphoma Leiomyosarcoma		

#### References

- Finegold MJ. Hepatic tumors in childhood. In: Russo P, Ruchelli ED, Piccoli D, eds. Pathology of Pediatric Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 2004:300-346.
- 2. Ortega JA, Douglass EC, Feusner JH, et al. Randomized comparison of cisplatin/vincristine/fluorouracil and cisplatin/continuous infusion doxorubicin for treatment of pediatric hepatoblastoma: a report from the Children's Cancer Group and the Pediatric Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18:2665-2675.

- 3. Faria P, Beckwith JB, Mishra K, et al. Focal versus diffuse anaplasia in Wilms tumor—new definitions with prognostic significance: a report from the National Wilms Tumor Study Group. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 1996;20:909-920.
- 4. Heifetz SA, French M, Correa M, et al. Hepatoblastoma: the Indiana experience with preoperative chemotherapy for inoperable tumors—clinicopathological considerations. *Pediatr Pathol Lab Med.* 1997;17:857-874.
- 5. Reynolds M. Pediatric liver tumors. Semin Surg Oncol. 1999;16:159-172.
- Schnater JM, Aronson DC, Plaschkes J, et al. Surgical view of the treatment of patients with hepatoblastoma: results from the first prospective trial of the International Society of Pediatric Oncology Liver Tumor Study Group. Cancer. 2002:94:1111-1120.
- 7. Vos A. Primary liver tumours in children. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 1995;21:101-105.
- 8. Qualman SJ, Bowen J, Parham DM, Branton PA, Meyer WH; for the Members of the Cancer Committee, College of American Pathologists. Protocol for the examination of specimens from patients (children and young adults) with rhabdomyosarcoma. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2003 Oct;127(10):1290-1297.
- 9. Mann JR, Kasthuri N, Raafat F, et al. Malignant hepatic tumours in children: incidence, clinical features and aetiology. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 1990;4:276-289.
- 10. Ross JA, Gurney JG. Hepatoblastoma incidence in the United States from 1973 to 1992. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1998;30:141-142.
- 11. Ikeda H, Matsuyama S, Tanimura M. Association between hepatoblastoma and very low birth weight: a trend or a chance? *J Pediatr.* 1997;130:557-560.
- 12. Ikeda H, Hachitanda Y, Tanimura M, Maruyama K, Koizumi T, Tsuchida Y. Development of unfavorable hepatoblastoma in children of very low birth weight: results of a surgical and pathologic review. *Cancer.* 1998;82:1789-1796.
- 13. Buckley JD, Sather H, Ruccione K, et al. A case-control study of risk factors for hepatoblastoma: a report from the Children's Cancer Study Group. *Cancer*. 1989;64:1169-1176.
- 14. Robinson HB Jr, Bolande RP. Case 3: fetal hepatoblastoma with placental metastases. *Pediatr Pathol.* 1985;4:163-167.
- 15. Fletcher JA, Kozakewich HP, Pavelka K, et al. Consistent cytogenetic aberrations in hepatoblastoma: a common pathway of genetic alterations in embryonal liver and skeletal muscle malignancies? *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 1991;3:37-43.
- 16. Tomlinson GE, Finegold MJ. Tumors of the liver. In: Pizzo PA, Poplack DG, eds. *Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology*. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2002:847-865.
- 17. Steenman M, Tomlinson G, Westerveld A, Mannens M. Comparative genomic hybridization analysis of hepatoblastomas: additional evidence for a genetic link with Wilms tumor and rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cytogenet Cell Genet.* 1999;86:157-161.
- 18. Weber RG, Pietsch T, von Schweinitz D, Lichter P. Characterization of genomic alterations in hepatoblastomas: a role for gains on chromosomes 8q and 20 as predictors of poor outcome. *Am J Pathol.* 2000;157:571-578.
- Hansen K, Bagtas J, Mark HF, Homans A, Singer DB. Undifferentiated small cell hepatoblastoma with a unique chromosomal translocation: a case report. *Pediatr Pathol.* 1992;12:457-462.
- 20. Jeng YM, Wu MZ, Mao TL, Chang MH, Hsu HC. Somatic mutations of beta-catenin play a crucial role in the tumorigenesis of sporadic hepatoblastoma. *Cancer Lett.* 2000;152:45-51.

- 21. Koch A, Denkhaus D, Albrecht S, Leuschner I, von Schweinitz D, Pietsch T. Childhood hepatoblastomas frequently carry a mutated degradation targeting box of the beta-catenin gene. *Cancer Res.* 1999;59:269-273.
- 22. Hanawa Y, Ise T, Hasegawa H, et al. Serum cholesterol in children with hepatoma. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 1971;12:129-136.
- 23. Muraji T, Woolley MM, Sinatra F, Siegel SM, Isaacs H. The prognostic implication of hypercholesterolemia in infants and children with hepatoblastoma. *J Pediatr Surg.* 1985;20:228-230.
- 24. Galifer RB, Sultan C, Margueritte G, Barneon G. Testosterone-producing hepatoblastoma in a 3-year-old boy with precocious puberty. *J Pediatr Surg*. 1985;20:713-714.
- 25. Patterson K. Liver tumors and tumor like masses. In: Parham DM, ed. *Pediatric Neoplasia, Morphology & Biology*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott-Raven; 1996:331-361.