Credit Card Fraud Detection System

- Aashish Gawande
- Luke Noah Thammadi
- Mohd Imran

Agenda

- Objective
- Background
- Key Insights
- Cost Benefit Analysis
- Appendix:
 - Data Attributes
 - Data Methodology
 - Attached Files

Objective

- Getting in place a credit card fraud detection system to save on incurred costs incurred
- Huge costs are being incurred due to frauds and a manual detection system

Background

- A machine learning model has been built to detect frauds early and mitigate losses
- A cost benefit analysis has been done for the deployment of the same

Key Insights

- Transaction amount, category and gender are the most important variables
- Gas and transport, grocery and shopping are the top three categories

	Varname	Imp
0	amt	6.741811e-01
8	category_gas_transport	1.293945e-01
10	category_grocery_pos	5.232301e-02
17	category_shopping_net	3.205304e-02
16	category_personal_care	2.711764e-02
13	category_kids_pets	2.518517e-02
15	category_misc_pos	1.750431e-02
1	gender	9.864341e-03
14	category_misc_net	9.285657e-03
19	category_travel	8.042764e-03
7	category_food_dining	4.431430e-03
9	category_grocery_net	4.091956e-03
18	category_shopping_pos	3.208406e-03
2	city_pop	1.781310e-03
12	category_home	1.009814e-03
6	trans_month	4.629258e-04
3	age_at_trans	6.080661e-05
5	long_dist	1.130483e-06
4	lat_dist	6.153246e-07
11	category health fitness	0.000000e+00

Current Incurred Losses

- 77,183 credit card transactions per month
- 402 fraudulent transactions per month
- \$ 530.66 amount per fraud transaction
- Total costs incurred from fraud transactions is \$ 213,392.22

After New Model Deployment

- 1720 fraudulent transactions detected by the model
- \$ 1.5 cost to provide customer support to these transactions that is \$ 2,580.38 in total
- 68 fraudulent transactions not detected by model which amounts to \$ 35,908.09 loss
- Total cost incurred after new model deployment is \$ 38,488.46
- Final savings after new model deployment is \$174,903.76 that is reduction in losses by ~82%

Appendix: Data Attributes

- Snapshot of the data:
 - o index Unique Identifier for each row
 - transdatetrans_time Transaction DateTime
 - cc_num Credit Card Number of Customer
 - merchant Merchant Name
 - o category Category of Merchant
 - o amt Amount of Transaction
 - first First Name of Credit Card Holder
 - last Last Name of Credit Card Holder
 - gender Gender of Credit Card Holder
 - street Street Address of Credit Card Holder
 - o city City of Credit Card Holder
 - state State of Credit Card Holder
 - o zip Zip of Credit Card Holder
 - o lat Latitude Location of Credit Card Holder
 - o long Longitude Location of Credit Card Holder
 - city_pop Credit Card Holder's City Population
 - job Job of Credit Card Holder
 - o dob Date of Birth of Credit Card Holder
 - o trans_num Transaction Number
 - unix_time UNIX Time of transaction
 - merch_lat Latitude Location of Merchant
 - merch_long Longitude Location of Merchant
 - is_fraud Fraud Flag <--- Target Class

Appendix: Data Methodology

- A random forest classifier built on top a Kaggle simulated dataset
- Class imbalance adjusted using Adaptive Synthetic (ADASYN) sampling method
- Manual hyperparameter tuning done due to extensive computational times when using Grid Search Cross Validation

Attached Files

- Cost Benefit Analysis:
 - Cost Benefit Analysis.xlsx
- Random Forest Classifier Model:
 - CC Fraud Analytics Capstone.ipynb