

Modules, Linearisation and Retroactivity

Peter Gawthrop (peter.gawthrop@unimelb.edu.au)

January 19, 2020

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Import some python code	2
2	A simple reaction network: $A \rightleftharpoons B \rightleftharpoons C$	3
2.1	Bond graph model	3
2.2	Analysis of the chain of reactions	4
3	Modular bond graph approach	4
3.1	Create and analyse chain for various lengths N	4
3.2	Discussion	8
4	Concatenate linearised subsystem approach	8
4.1	Linearise the subsystem	9
4.2	Create linear C component in control toolbox form	10
4.3	Create chain of linearised modules	10
4.4	Analyse with and without retroactivity	11
4.5	Compute product of transfer functions	17
4.6	Discussion	18

Note: this is the [Retroactivity.ipynb](#) notebook. The PDF version "Modules, Linearisation and Retroactivity" is available [here](#).

1 Introduction

As discussed by (Gawthrop and Crampin, 2016): "Computational modularity is a necessary condition for building physically correct computational models of biomolecular systems. However, computational modularity does not imply that module properties (such as ultrasensitivity) are retained when a module is incorporated into a larger system. In the context of engineering, modules often have buffer amplifiers at the interface so that they have unidirectional connections and may thus be represented and analysed on a block diagram or signal flow graph where the properties of each module are retained. This will be called behavioural modularity in this paper. However, biological networks do not usually have this unidirectional property, but rather display retroactivity; retroactivity modifies the properties of the interacting modules."

The concept of retroactivity is used by, for example: (Saez-Rodriguez et al., 2004), (Saez-Rodriguez et al., 2005), (Del Vecchio and Murray, 2014) and (Del Vecchio et al., 2016).

This note looks at retroactivity in a simple linear case: a chain of reactions. The analysis is based on (Gawthrop and Crampin, 2016). The analysis uses [Bond Graph Tools](#).

1.1 Import some python code

The bond graph analysis uses a number of Python modules:

```
In [1]: ## Some useful imports
import BondGraphTools as bgt
import numpy as np
import sympy as sp
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import IPython.display as disp

## Stoichiometric analysis
import stoich as st

## SVG bg representation conversion
import svgBondGraph as sbg

## Modularity
import modularBondGraph as mbg

## Control systems package
import control as con

## Set quiet=False for verbose output
quiet = True

## Set slycot=True if slycot is installed (see control module)
slycot=True
```

```

## Chemical equation formatting
chemformula = True

import importlib as imp

## Allow output from within functions
from IPython.core.interactiveshell import InteractiveShell
InteractiveShell.ast_node_interactivity = "all"

```

2 A simple reaction network: $A \rightleftharpoons B \rightleftharpoons C$

The simple reaction network $A \rightleftharpoons B \rightleftharpoons C$ can be concatenated to form a chain of N such networks. There are two approaches to this: - the modular bond graph approach using the `chain()` function from `modularBondGraph` and - Concatenate linearised subsystem approach using the [Python Control Systems Library](#).

The species at each end of the chain are chemostats ([Gawthrop and Crampin, 2016](#)).

2.1 Bond graph model


```

In [2]: sbg.model('ABC_abg.svg')
import ABC_abg
disp.SVG('ABC_abg.svg')
s = st.stoich(ABC_abg.model(),quiet=True)
sc = st.statify(s,chemostats=['A','C'])

## Transfer function form (with chemostats)
sys = st.lin(s,sc,outvar = 'port',quiet=quiet)
con.ss2tf(sys)

```

Out [2]:



Out [2]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-s-1}{s+2} & \frac{1}{s+2} \\ \frac{1}{s+2} & \frac{-s-1}{s+2} \end{bmatrix}$$

2.2 Analysis of the chain of reactions

The function `analyse()` performs some basic analysis on the chain: - The poles and dc gain - The interaction loop-gain L from equation (86) of (Gawthrop and Crampin, 2016) - The step responses of the chain transfer function

Note that the chain inputs and outputs are determined by the chemostats at each end of the chain; thus the two inputs are the *potential* at each end and the two outputs are the corresponding flows.

```
In [3]: def analyse(sys, Sys, csys=None):
        """Analyse the linearised systems"""

        print('=====')
        print('Chain length N =', N)
        print('=====')

        ## Properties of the chain
        ## Note that poles are real; np.real removes spurious imag parts.
        print('Chain poles:', np.sort(np.real(con.pole(Sys))))
        print('Chain gain:', con.dcgain(Sys))

        if csys is not None:
            print('Analyse cys')
            csys_tf = con.ss2tf(csys)
            g_ef = csys_tf[0,0]
            g_fe = csys_tf[1,1]
            L = con.negate(con.series(g_ef, g_fe))
            print("L:", L)

        ## Step responses
        k = 0
        for i in range(2):
            for j in range(2):
                k += 1
                T = np.linspace(0, 20, 100)
                tt, yy = con.step_response(Sys, input=j, output=i, T=T)
                plt.subplot(2, 2, k)
                plt.plot(tt, yy)
                plt.title('Step response '+str(i+1)+' '+str(j+1))
                plt.grid()
            plt.tight_layout()
        plt.show()
```

3 Modular bond graph approach

3.1 Create and analyse chain for various lengths N

```
In [4]: help(mbg.chain)
```

Help on function chain in module modularBondGraph:

```
chain(model, inport='in', outport='out', N=2, quiet=False)
    Concatenate N instances of model via ports inport and outport
    The ports are represented in model as Ce components
    Ce:in in the first link of the chain and Ce:out in the last link remain as Ce components
    The method unifies Ce:out of link i and Ce:in of link i+1 by replacing them by ports
    and connecting them to a new Ce component with associated zero junction.
```

```
In [5]: NN = [1,2,5]
        for N in NN:

            ## Create chain
            NABC = mbg.chain(ABC_abg.model(), inport='A', outport='C', N=N, quiet=quiet)
            s = st.stoich(NABC, quiet=True)
            #print(s['reaction'])
            sc = st.statify(s, chemostats=['A', 'C'])
            #disp.Latex(st.sprintrl(sc, chemformula=chemformula))


            ## Set each Re component to have kappa = 2
            parameter = {}
            for r in s['reaction']:
                parameter['kappa_'+r] = 2

            ## Linearise the chain
            Sys = st.lin(s, sc, outvar = 'port', parameter=parameter, quiet=quiet)

            ## Analyse the properties
            analyse(sys, Sys)

            print('Chain transfer function:')
            con.ss2tf(Sys)
```

```
=====
Chain length N = 1
=====
Chain poles: [-4.]
Chain gain: [[-1.  1.]
 [ 1. -1.]]
```



Chain transfer function:

Out [5] :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2s-4}{s+4} & \frac{4}{s+4} \\ \frac{4}{s+4} & \frac{-2s-4}{s+4} \end{bmatrix}$$

=====


Chain length N = 2

=====

Chain poles: [-6.82842712 -4. -1.17157288]

Chain gain: [[-0.5 0.5]

[0.5 -0.5]]



Chain transfer function:

Out [5] :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2s^3-20s^2-48s-16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} & \frac{16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} \\ \frac{16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} & \frac{-2s^3-20s^2-48s-16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} \end{bmatrix}$$

=====


Chain length N = 5

=====

Chain poles: [-7.80422607 -7.23606798 -6.35114101 -5.23606798 -4. -2.76393202
-1.64885899 -0.76393202 -0.19577393]

Chain gain: [[-0.2 0.2]

[0.2 -0.2]]



Chain transfer function:

Out [5] :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2s^9 - 68s^8 - 960s^7 - 7280s^6 - 3.203e+04s^5 - 8.237e+04s^4 - 1.183e+05s^3 - 8.448e+04s^2 - 2.304e+04s - 1024}{s^9 + 36s^8 + 544s^7 + 4480s^6 + 2.184e+04s^5 + 6.406e+04s^4 + 1.098e+05s^3 + 1.014e+05s^2 + 4.224e+04s + 5120} & \frac{s^9 + 36s^8 + 544s^7 + 4480s^6 + 2.184e+04s^5 + 6.406e+04s^4 + 1.098e+05s^3 + 1.014e+05s^2 + 4.224e+04s + 5120}{s^9 + 36s^8 + 544s^7 + 4480s^6 + 2.184e+04s^5 + 6.406e+04s^4 + 1.098e+05s^3 + 1.014e+05s^2 + 4.224e+04s + 5120} \\ \frac{1024}{s^9 + 36s^8 + 544s^7 + 4480s^6 + 2.184e+04s^5 + 6.406e+04s^4 + 1.098e+05s^3 + 1.014e+05s^2 + 4.224e+04s + 5120} & \frac{-2s^9 - 68s^8 - 960s^7 - 7280s^6 - 3.203e+04s^5 - 8.237e+04s^4 - 1.183e+05s^3 - 8.448e+04s^2 - 2.304e+04s - 1024}{s^9 + 36s^8 + 544s^7 + 4480s^6 + 2.184e+04s^5 + 6.406e+04s^4 + 1.098e+05s^3 + 1.014e+05s^2 + 4.224e+04s + 5120} \end{bmatrix}$$

3.2 Discussion

- The overall step response from the start to the end of the chain (step response 2,1 from u_1 to y_2) becomes higher-order and slower as N increases.
- Because of retroactivity, the steady-state (DC) gain of the chain is *not* the product of the gain of each link - it is $1/N$ in this case.
- Because of retroactivity, the poles of the chain are (except for the pole at $s=4$) different for each N

4 Concatenate linearised subsystem approach


As discussed by (Gawthrop and Crampin, 2016), and as outlined in the following figure: a bond graph representing a linear system can be represented as a transfer function *when causality has been assigned*. Thus, in the example in the figure, a bond graph with two impinging bonds and causality assigned as shown, corresponds to a 2×2 transfer function matrix so that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

As in the modular bond-graph approach, a **Ce** component is put between each link in the chain to unify the appropriate **Ce** components: - The system *sys* corresponds to the bond graph ABG_abg above with **Ce:A** and **Ce:C** replaced by ports - The system *csys* is *sys* with a **Ce** component prepended.

In [6]: `disp.SVG('notation.svg')`

Out[6]:



4.1 Linearise the subsystem

```
In [7]: s = st.stoich(ABC_abg.model(),quiet=quiet)
        sc = st.statify(s,chemostats=['A','C'])

        ## Linearise
        parameter = {}
        for r in s['reaction']:
            parameter['kappa_'+r] = 2
        sys = st.lin(s,sc,outvar = 'port',parameter=parameter,quiet=quiet)
        con.ss2tf(sys)
```

Out[7]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2s-4}{s+4} & \frac{4}{s+4} \\ \frac{4}{s+4} & \frac{-2s-4}{s+4} \end{bmatrix}$$

4.2 Create linear C component in control toolbox form

```
In [8]: def makeC(Retroactive=True):
        """Create linear C component in control toolbox form
        Note that retroactivity is removed by setting output 1 to zero
        """

        Ca = 0
        Cb = np.ones((1,2))
        Cc = np.ones((2,1))
        if not Retroactive:
            Cc[0] = 0
        Cd = np.zeros((2,2))
        C = con.ss(Ca,Cb,Cc,Cd)
        return C
```

```
In [9]: con.ss2tf(makeC(Retroactive=True))
```

Out[9]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s} & \frac{1}{s} \\ \frac{1}{s} & \frac{1}{s} \end{bmatrix}$$

```
In [10]: con.ss2tf(makeC(Retroactive=False))
```

Out[10]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{s} & \frac{1}{s} \\ \frac{1}{s} & \frac{1}{s} \end{bmatrix}$$

4.3 Create chain of linearised modules

```
In [11]: def makeChain(sys,C,N=2):
        """Make the chain of modules"""

        ## Prepend C component to sys
        CSYS = con.append(C,sys)
        Q = np.array([[2,3],[3,2]])
        inout = np.array([1,4])
        csys = con.connect(CSYS,Q,inout,inout)

        ## Recursively create chain
        Sys = sys
        for i in range(N-1):
            SYS = con.append(Sys,csys)
            Sys = con.connect(SYS,Q,inout,inout)

        return Sys,csys
```

```
Sys,csys = makeChain(sys,makeC(Retroactive=True),N=2)
```

```
con.ss2tf(csys)
```

```
con.ss2tf(Sys)
```

```
con.pole(Sys)
```

Out[11]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{s+4}{s^2+6s+4} & \frac{4}{s^2+6s+4} \\ \frac{4}{s^2+6s+4} & \frac{-2s^2-8s}{s^2+6s+4} \end{bmatrix}$$

Out[11]:


$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2s^3-20s^2-48s-16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} & \frac{16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} \\ \frac{16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} & \frac{-2s^3-20s^2-48s-16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} \end{bmatrix}$$

Out[11]: array([-6.82842712, -4. , -1.17157288])

4.4 Analyse with and without retroactivity

```
In [12]: for Retroactive in [True,False]:
          for N in NN:
              print('=====')
              print('Retroactive =',Retroactive)
              print('=====')
              C = makeC(Retroactive=Retroactive)
              Sys,csys = makeChain(sys,C,N=N)
              analyse(sys,Sys,csys=csys)
              print('Chain transfer function:')
              con.ss2tf(Sys)
```

```
=====
Retroactive = True
=====
=====
Chain length N = 1
=====
Chain poles: [-4.]
Chain gain: [[-1.  1.]
 [ 1. -1.]]
Analyse cys
L:
      2 s^3 + 16 s^2 + 32 s
-----
s^4 + 12 s^3 + 44 s^2 + 48 s + 16
```



Chain transfer function:

Out[12]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2s-4}{s+4} & \frac{4}{s+4} \\ \frac{4}{s+4} & \frac{-2s-4}{s+4} \end{bmatrix}$$

=====

Retroactive = True

=====

=====

Chain length N = 2

=====

Chain poles: [-6.82842712 -4. -1.17157288]

Chain gain: [[-0.5 0.5]


[0.5 -0.5]]

Analyse cys

L:

$$2 s^3 + 16 s^2 + 32 s$$

$$s^4 + 12 s^3 + 44 s^2 + 48 s + 16$$



Chain transfer function:

Out[12]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2s^3-20s^2-48s-16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} & \frac{16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} \\ \frac{16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} & \frac{-2s^3-20s^2-48s-16}{s^3+12s^2+40s+32} \end{bmatrix}$$

=====

Retroactive = True

=====

=====

Chain length N = 5

=====

Chain poles: [-7.80422607 -7.23606798 -6.35114101 -5.23606798 -4. -2.76393202
-1.64885899 -0.76393202 -0.19577393]


Chain gain: [[-0.2 0.2]
[0.2 -0.2]]

Analyse cys

L:

$$2 s^3 + 16 s^2 + 32 s$$

$$s^4 + 12 s^3 + 44 s^2 + 48 s + 16$$




Chain transfer function:

Out[12]:

$$\frac{\frac{-2s^9 - 68s^8 - 960s^7 - 7280s^6 - 3.203e+04s^5 - 8.237e+04s^4 - 1.183e+05s^3 - 8.448e+04s^2 - 2.304e+04s - 1024}{s^9 + 36s^8 + 544s^7 + 4480s^6 + 2.184e+04s^5 + 6.406e+04s^4 + 1.098e+05s^3 + 1.014e+05s^2 + 4.224e+04s + 5120}}{\frac{s^9 + 36s^8 + 544s^7 + 4480s^6 + 2.184e+04s^5 + 6.406e+04s^4 + 1.098e+05s^3 + 1.014e+05s^2 + 4.224e+04s + 5120}{s^9 + 36s^8 + 544s^7 + 4480s^6 + 2.184e+04s^5 + 6.406e+04s^4 + 1.098e+05s^3 + 1.014e+05s^2 + 4.224e+04s + 5120}}$$

=====
Retroactive = False
=====
=====
Chain length N = 1
=====
Chain poles: [-4.]
Chain gain: [[-1. 1.]
[1. -1.]]
Analyse cys
L:
0
-
1



Chain transfer function:

Out[12]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2s-4}{s+4} & \frac{4}{s+4} \\ \frac{4}{s+4} & \frac{-2s-4}{s+4} \end{bmatrix}$$

=====

Retroactive = False

=====

=====

Chain length N = 2

=====

Chain poles: [-5.23606798 -4. -0.76393202]

Chain gain: [[-1. 0.]

[1. 0.]]


Analyse cys

L:

0

-

1



Chain transfer function:

Out[12]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2s^3-4s^2}{s^3+4s^2} & 0 \\ \frac{16}{s^3+10s^2+28s+16} & \frac{-2s^3-16s^2-32s}{s^3+10s^2+28s+16} \end{bmatrix}$$

=====

Retroactive = False

=====

=====

Chain length N = 5

=====

Chain poles: [-5.23607422 -5.23607422 -5.23606798 -5.23605549 -4. -0.76393527
-0.76393527 -0.76393202 -0.76392553]

Chain gain: [[-1. 0.]

[1. 0.]]


Analyse cys

L:

0

-

1



Chain transfer function:

Out [12] :

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{-2s^9 - 4s^8}{s^9 + 4s^8} \\ \frac{1}{1024} \end{array} \right] \frac{-2s^9 - 52s^8 - 560s^7 - 3216s^6 - 1.056e+04s^5 - 1.978e+04s^4 - 2.01e+04s^3 - 1.638e+04s^2 - 6400s + 1024}{s^9 + 28s^8 + 328s^7 + 2080s^6 + 7728s^5 + 1.709e+04s^4 + 2.214e+04s^3 + 1.638e+04s^2 + 6400s + 1024}$$

4.5 Compute product of transfer functions

```
In [13]: ## Initial value
G21 = con.ss2tf(sys)[1,0]
for i in range(N):
    print('=====')
    print('Chain length N =',i+1)
    print('=====')
    print(G21)
    G21 = con.series(G21,con.ss2tf(csys)[1,0])
```

```
=====
Chain length N = 1
=====
```

$$\frac{4}{s + 4}$$

=====
Chain length N = 2
=====

$$\frac{16}{s^3 + 10 s^2 + 28 s + 16}$$

=====
Chain length N = 3
=====

$$\frac{64}{s^5 + 16 s^4 + 92 s^3 + 224 s^2 + 208 s + 64}$$

=====
Chain length N = 4
=====

$$\frac{256}{s^7 + 22 s^6 + 192 s^5 + 840 s^4 + 1920 s^3 + 2208 s^2 + 1216 s + 256}$$

=====
Chain length N = 5
=====

$$\frac{1024}{s^9 + 28 s^8 + 328 s^7 + 2080 s^6 + 7728 s^5 + 1.709e+04 s^4 + 2.214e+04 s^3 + 1.638e+04 s^2 + 6}$$

4.6 Discussion

- When the linear modules are concatenated using the standard **C** component, the properties of the chain are, as expected, the same as those from the modular BG approach
- When the non-standard **C** component is used to avoid retroactivity, chain shows behavioral modularity
- the steady-state (DC) gain of the chain *is* the product of the gain of each link
- the poles of the chain are *the same* for each N
- this is verified by directly computing the product of transfer functions
- But the use of this non-standard **C** implies the use of an amplifier and therefore consumes

power.

- The *interaction loop gain* L is discussed by (Gawthrop and Crampin, 2016). If $L = 0$ there no retroactive interaction between the links of the chain.

References

- Domitilla Del Vecchio and Richard M Murray. *Biomolecular Feedback Systems*. Princeton University Press, 2014. ISBN 0691161534.
- Domitilla Del Vecchio, Aaron J. Dy, and Yili Qian. Control theory meets synthetic biology. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 13(120), 2016. ISSN 1742-5689. doi:[10.1098/rsif.2016.0380](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2016.0380).
- P. J. Gawthrop and E. J. Crampin. Modular bond-graph modelling and analysis of biomolecular systems. *IET Systems Biology*, 10(5):187–201, October 2016. ISSN 1751-8849. doi:[10.1049/iet-syb.2015.0083](https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-syb.2015.0083). Available at arXiv:1511.06482.
- J. Saez-Rodriguez, Andreas Kremling, H. Conzelmann, K. Bettenbrock, and E.D. Gilles. Modular analysis of signal transduction networks. *Control Systems, IEEE*, 24(4):35–52, Aug 2004. ISSN 1066-033X. doi:[10.1109/MCS.2004.1316652](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCS.2004.1316652).
- J. Saez-Rodriguez, A. Kremling, and E.D. Gilles. Dissecting the puzzle of life: modularization of signal transduction networks. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 29(3):619 – 629, 2005. ISSN 0098-1354. doi:[10.1016/j.compchemeng.2004.08.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2004.08.035). Computational Challenges in Biology.