

```
fun append (xs,ys) =  
  if xs=[]  
  then ys  
  else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)  
  
fun map (f,xs) =  
  case xs of  
    [] => []  
  | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))  
  
val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])  
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages

Dan Grossman

Polymorphic Types and Equality Types

An example

- “Write a function that appends two string lists”

```
fun append (xs,ys) =  
  case xs of  
    [] => ys  
  | x::xs' => x :: append(xs',ys)
```

- You expect `string list * string list -> string list`
- Implementation says `'a list * 'a list -> 'a list`
- This is okay [such as on your homework]: why?

More general

The type

```
'a list * 'a list -> 'a list
```

is **more general** than the type

```
string list * string list -> string list
```

- It “can be used” as **any less general type**, such as

```
int list * int list -> int list
```

- But it is **not** more general than the type

```
int list * string list -> int list
```

The “more general” rule

Easy rule you (and the type-checker) can apply without thinking:

A type $t1$ is **more general** than the type $t2$ if you can take $t1$,
replace its type variables consistently, and get $t2$

- Example: Replace each '**a**' with **int * int**
- Example: Replace each '**a**' with **bool** and each '**b**' with **bool**
- Example: Replace each '**a**' with **bool** and each '**b**' with **int**
- Example: Replace each '**b**' with '**a**' and each '**a**' with '**a**'

Other rules

- Can combine the “more general” rule with rules for equivalence
 - Use of type synonyms does not matter
 - Order of field names does not matter

Example, given

```
type foo = int * int
```

the type

```
{quux : 'b, bar : int * 'a, baz : 'b}
```

is more general than

```
{quux : string, bar : foo, baz : string}
```

which is equivalent to

```
{bar : int*int, baz : string, quux : string}
```

Equality types

- You might also see type variables with a second “quote”
 - Example: `' 'a list * ' 'a -> bool`
- These are “equality types” that arise from using the = operator
 - The = operator works on lots of types: `int`, `string`, tuples containing all equality types, ...
 - But not all types: function types, `real`, ...
- The rules for more general are exactly the same except you have to replace an equality-type variable with a type that can be used with =
 - A “strange” feature of ML because = is special

Example

```
(* ''a * ''a -> string *)  
fun same_thing(x, y) =  
    if x=y then "yes" else "no"  
  
(* int -> string *)  
fun is_three x =  
    if x=3 then "yes" else "no"
```

(You can ignore the warning about “calling polyEqual”)