

```
fun append (xs,ys) =  
  if xs=[]  
  then ys  
  else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)  
  
fun map (f,xs) =  
  case xs of  
    [] => []  
  | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))  
  
val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])  
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages

Dan Grossman

A Little Type Inference

A new way to go

- For homework 2:
 - Do not use the `#` character
 - Do not need to write down any explicit types
- These are related
 - Type-checker can use patterns to figure out the types
 - With just `#foo` or `#1` it cannot determine “what other fields”

Why no problem

Easy for type-checker to determine function types:

```
fun sum_triple (x, y, z) =  
    x + y + z  
  
fun full_name {first=x, middle=y, last=z} =  
    x ^ " " ^ y ^ " " ^ z
```

Get error message without explicit type annotation:

```
fun sum_triple (triple : int*int*int) =  
    #1 triple + #2 triple + #3 triple  
  
fun full_name (r : {first:string, middle:string,  
                    last:string}) =  
    #first r ^ " " ^ #middle r ^ " " ^ #last r
```

Unexpected polymorphism

- Sometimes type-checker is “smarter than you expect”
 - Types of some parts might be less constrained than you think
 - Example: If you do not use something it can have any type

```
(* int * 'a * int -> int *)  
fun partial_sum (x, y, z) =  
    x + z  
  
(*{first:string, last:string, middle:'a} -> string*)  
fun partial_name {first=x, middle=y, last=z} =  
    x ^ " " ^ z
```

- This is okay!
 - A more general type than you need is always acceptable
 - Assuming your function is correct, of course
 - More precise definition of “more general type” next segment