```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => []
    | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman

Lists and Options are Datatypes

Recursive datatypes

Datatype bindings can describe recursive structures

- Have seen arithmetic expressions
- Now, linked lists:

Options are datatypes

Options are just a predefined datatype binding

- NONE and SOME are constructors, not just functions
- So use pattern-matching not isSome and valof

```
fun inc_or_zero intoption =
   case intoption of
     NONE => 0
     | SOME i => i+1
```

Lists are datatypes

Do not use hd, tl, or null either

- [] and :: are constructors too
- (strange syntax, particularly *infix*)

```
fun sum_list xs =
    case xs of
       [] => 0
       | x::xs' => x + sum_list xs'

fun append (xs,ys) =
    case xs of
       [] => ys
       | x::xs' => x :: append(xs',ys)
```

Why pattern-matching

- Pattern-matching is better for options and lists for the same reasons as for all datatypes
 - No missing cases, no exceptions for wrong variant, etc.
- We just learned the other way first for pedagogy
 - Do not use isSome, valOf, null, hd, tl on Homework 2
- So why are null, tl, etc. predefined?
 - For passing as arguments to other functions (next week)
 - Because sometimes they are convenient
 - But not a big deal: could define them yourself