

This specific type of architecture is appropriate when:

$$\bigcirc$$
  $T_x = T_y$ 

$$\bigcirc T_x < T_y$$


$$\bigcirc T_x > T_y$$

$$\bigcap T_x = 1$$

✓ Correct

It is appropriate when every input should be matched to an output.

1 / 1 point




- Speech recognition (input an audio clip and output a transcript)
- Sentiment classification (input a piece of text and output a 0/1 to denote positive or negative sentiment)



- Image classification (input an image and output a label)
- Gender recognition from speech (input an audio clip and output a label indicating the speaker's gender)






At the  $t^{th}$  time step, what is the RNN doing? Choose the best answer.

- $\bigcirc$  Estimating  $P(y^{<1>},y^{<2>},\ldots,y^{< t-1>})$
- $\bigcirc$  Estimating  $P(y^{< t>})$
- $\bigcirc \quad \text{Estimating } P(y^{< t>} \mid y^{< 1>}, y^{< 2>}, \dots, y^{< t>})$

✓ Correct

Yes, in a language model we try to predict the next step based on the knowledge of all prior steps.



What are you doing at each time step t?

- (i) Use the probabilities output by the RNN to pick the highest probability word for that time-step as  $\hat{y}^{< t>}$ . (ii) Then pass the ground-truth word from the training set to the next time-step.
- (i) Use the probabilities output by the RNN to randomly sample a chosen word for that time-step as  $\hat{y}^{< t>}$ . (ii) Then pass the ground-truth word from the training set to the next time-step.
- (i) Use the probabilities output by the RNN to pick the highest probability word for that time-step as  $\hat{y}^{< t>}$ . (ii) Then pass this selected word to the next time-step.
- (i) Use the probabilities output by the RNN to randomly sample a chosen word for that time-step as  $\hat{y}^{< t>}$ . (ii) Then pass this selected word to the next time-step.

✓ Correct

6.	You are training an RNN, and find that your weights and activations are all taking on the value of NaN ("Not a Number"). Which of these is the most likely cause of this problem?
	Vanishing gradient problem.
	Exploding gradient problem.
	ReLU activation function g(.) used to compute g(z), where z is too large.
	Sigmoid activation function g(.) used to compute g(z), where z is too large.
	✓ Correct
_	
7.	Suppose you are training a LSTM. You have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are using an LSTM with 100-dimensional activations $a^{-t>}$ . What is the dimension of $\Gamma_u$ at each time step?
	O 1
	O 100
	O 300
	10000
	! Incorrect No, $\Gamma_n$ is a vector of dimension equal to the number of hidden units in the LSTM.

## GRU

$$\tilde{c}^{} = \tanh(W_c[\Gamma_r * c^{}, x^{}] + b_c)$$

$$\Gamma_u = \sigma(W_u[c^{}, x^{}] + b_u)$$

$$\Gamma_r = \sigma(W_r[c^{}, x^{}] + b_r)$$

$$c^{} = \Gamma_u * \tilde{c}^{} + (1 - \Gamma_u) * c^{}$$

$$a^{} = c^{}$$

Alice proposes to simplify the GRU by always removing the  $\Gamma_u$ . I.e., setting  $\Gamma_u$  = 1. Betty proposes to simplify the GRU by removing the  $\Gamma_r$ . I. e., setting  $\Gamma_r$  = 1 always. Which of these models is more likely to work without vanishing gradient problems even when trained on very long input sequences?

- $\bigcirc$  Alice's model (removing  $\Gamma_u$ ), because if  $\Gamma_r \approx 0$  for a timestep, the gradient can propagate back through that timestep without much decay.
- O Alice's model (removing  $\Gamma_u$ ), because if  $\Gamma_r \approx 1$  for a timestep, the gradient can propagate back through that timestep without much decay.
- (a) Betty's model (removing  $\Gamma_r$ ), because if  $\Gamma_u \approx 0$  for a timestep, the gradient can propagate back through that timestep without much decay.
- $\bigcirc$  Betty's model (removing  $\Gamma_r$ ), because if  $\Gamma_u pprox 1$  for a timestep, the gradient can propagate back through that timestep without much decay.

## ✓ Correct

Yes. For the signal to backpropagate without vanishing, we need  $e^{< t>}$  to be highly dependant on  $e^{< t-1>}$ .

9. Here are the equations for the GRU and the LSTM:

## GRU

## LSTM

$$\tilde{c}^{< t>} = \tanh(W_c [\Gamma_r * c^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_c)$$
 
$$\tilde{c}^{< t>} = \tanh(W_c [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_c)$$
 
$$\Gamma_u = \sigma(W_u [c^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_u)$$
 
$$\Gamma_u = \sigma(W_u [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_u)$$
 
$$\Gamma_f = \sigma(W_f [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_f)$$
 
$$\Gamma_f = \sigma(W_f [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_f)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_f)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t>}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t}] + b_g)$$
 
$$\Gamma_g = \sigma(W_g [a^{< t-1>}, x^{< t}] + b_g)$$

From these, we can see that the Update Gate and Forget Gate in the LSTM play a role similar to \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_ in the GRU. What should go in the the blanks?

- $\bigcirc$   $\Gamma_u$  and  $1-\Gamma_u$
- $\bigcap$   $\Gamma_u$  and  $\Gamma_r$
- $\bigcirc \ 1 \Gamma_u$  and  $\Gamma_u$
- $\bigcap$   $\Gamma_r$  and  $\Gamma_u$

✓ Correct

Yes, correct!

10. You have a pet dog whose mood is heavily dependent on the current and past few days' weather. You've collected data for the past 355 days on the weather. Which you represent as a sequence as x<sup>-1></sup>,..., x<sup>-265></sup>. You've also collected data on your dog's mood, which you represent as y<sup>-1></sup>,..., y<sup>-055</sup>. You'd like to build a model to map from x → y. Should you use a Unidirectional RNN or Bidirectional RNN, because this allows the prediction of mood on day t to take into account more information.
 Bidirectional RNN, because this allows backpropagation to compute more accurate gradients.
 © Unidirectional RNN, because the value of y<sup>-4></sup> depends only on x<sup>-4></sup>, ..., x<sup>-4></sup>, but not on x<sup>-4+1></sup>,..., x<sup>-265></sup>
 Unidirectional RNN, because the value of y<sup>-4></sup> depends only on x<sup>-4></sup>, and not other days' weather.

1 / 1 point