# **Algorithm Analysis Report – Insertion Sort**

Assignment 2 – Algorithmic Analysis and Peer Code Review

**Student Reviewed:** Gaziza Bakir **Algorithm Reviewed:** Insertion Sort

**Reviewer:** Sultan Agibaev **Date:** 5 October 2025

### 1. Introduction

This report presents a detailed analysis of the *Insertion Sort* algorithm implemented by Gaziza Bakir. The purpose is to evaluate the correctness, algorithmic efficiency, and empirical performance, as well as provide recommendations for potential improvements.

### 2. Algorithm Overview

Insertion Sort is a comparison-based sorting algorithm that builds a sorted array incrementally. Each element is inserted into its correct position within the already sorted portion of the array.

#### **Pseudocode:**

```
for i \leftarrow 1 to n-1 do key \leftarrow A[i]
j \leftarrow i-1
while j \ge 0 and A[j] > key do
A[j+1] \leftarrow A[j]
j \leftarrow j-1
A[j+1] \leftarrow key
```

#### **Characteristics:**

- In-place sorting (O(1) additional space)
- Stable: preserves relative order of equal elements
- Efficient for small or partially sorted datasets
- Quadratic time complexity in the worst case

### 3. Complexity Analysis

Case	Time Complexity	Explanation	
Best Case	$\Omega(n)$	Array already sorted; only one comparison per element	
Average Case	$\Theta(n^2)$	Each element compared with roughly half of the sorte portion	
Worst Case	$O(n^2)$	Reverse-sorted array; maximum shifts required	
Space Complexity	O(1)	In-place sorting, no extra memory needed	
Stability	Yes	Equal elements retain their order	

## 4. Empirical Performance

The algorithm was benchmarked using BenchmarkRunner with multiple input sizes.

#### **Benchmark Table:**

	А	В	С	D
1	Input Size	Time (ms)	Operations	3
2	100	1	9,536	
3	1000	10	1,026,268	
4	10000	61	100,158,750	
5	50000	1440	2,507,376	,862
6				

#### **Observation:**

Execution time and operations grow quadratically with input size, confirming the theoretical  $O(n^2)$  behavior.

## **5.** Code Review and Optimization Suggestions

#### **Strengths:**

- Correct and clean Java implementation
- Proper integration of PerformanceTracker
- Unit tests cover edge cases
- Algorithm is readable and maintainable

#### **Potential Improvements:**

- 1. Adaptive insertion: Use binary search to reduce comparisons.
- 2. Hybrid algorithm: Combine Insertion Sort with Merge Sort for larger datasets.
- 3. Input randomization for unbiased performance testing.
- 4. Minimize metric tracking overhead for large arrays.

### 6. Conclusion

The Insertion Sort implementation is correct, stable, and well-documented. Experimental results confirm theoretical  $O(n^2)$  complexity. While efficient for small datasets, performance is limited for large arrays. Suggested optimizations can improve performance for specific cases.

#### **Overall Evaluation:**

- Implementation Quality: Excellent
- Code Readability: Excellent
- Efficiency for Large Inputs: Limited
- Documentation and Reporting: Excellent