1 a)

$$\frac{d[R^*]}{dt} = 0 = \frac{1}{\kappa_D} - \frac{\theta_B}{\kappa_D} - \theta_B$$

$$\frac{d[x^*]}{dt} = 0 = \frac{\frac{V_1}{V_2}(1 - x^*)}{\frac{K_1 + 1 - x^*}{t}} - \frac{x^*}{\kappa_2 + x^*}$$

$$\frac{d[y^*]}{dt} = 0 = \frac{\frac{V_2}{V_4}(1 - y^*)}{\frac{K_3 + 1 - y^*}{t}} - \frac{y^*}{\kappa_4 + y^*}$$

b)

$$ln[1]:=$$
 Solve $\left[0 = \frac{V12 (1-x)}{x^2+1-x} - \frac{x}{x^2+x}, x\right] // Full Simplify$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Out} [1] = & \; \Big\{ \left\{ \, X \, \to \, - \, \frac{ \, 1 \, + \, \kappa \, 1 \, + \, V \, 12 \, \, \left(\, - \, 1 \, + \, \kappa \, 2 \, \right) \, + \, \sqrt{ \, \left(\, 1 \, + \, \kappa \, 1 \, + \, V \, 12 \, \, \left(\, - \, 1 \, + \, \kappa \, 2 \, \right) \, \right)^{\, 2} \, + \, 4 \, \, \left(\, - \, 1 \, + \, V \, 12 \, \right) \, \, V \, 12 \, \, \kappa \, 2} \, \, \\ & \; 2 \, \, \left(\, - \, 1 \, + \, V \, 12 \, \right) \\ & \; \left\{ \, X \, \to \, \frac{ \, - \, 1 \, + \, V \, 12 \, \, - \, \kappa \, 1 \, - \, V \, 12 \, \, \kappa \, 2 \, + \, \sqrt{ \, \left(\, 1 \, + \, \kappa \, 1 \, + \, V \, 12 \, \, \left(\, - \, 1 \, + \, \kappa \, 2 \, \right) \, \right)^{\, 2} \, + \, 4 \, \, \left(\, - \, 1 \, + \, V \, 12 \, \right) \, \, V \, 12 \, \, \kappa \, 2} \, \, \right\} \, \Big\} \, \end{aligned}$$

$$ln[2] := Solve \left[0 = \frac{V34 (1-y)}{\kappa 3 + 1 - y} - \frac{y}{\kappa 4 + y}, y \right] // Full Simplify$$

$$\text{Out[2]= } \left\{ \left\{ y \rightarrow -\frac{1 + \varkappa 3 + \mathsf{V34} \, \left(-1 + \varkappa 4 \right) + \sqrt{\left(1 + \varkappa 3 + \mathsf{V34} \, \left(-1 + \varkappa 4 \right) \right)^2 + 4 \, \left(-1 + \mathsf{V34} \right) \, \mathsf{V34} \, \varkappa 4}}{2 \, \left(-1 + \mathsf{V34} \right)} \right\},$$

$$\left\{y \to \frac{-\,1\,+\,V34\,-\,\kappa3\,-\,V34\,\,\kappa4\,+\,\sqrt{\,\left(1\,+\,\kappa3\,+\,V34\,\,\left(-\,1\,+\,\kappa4\,\right)\,\right)^{\,2}\,+\,4\,\,\left(-\,1\,+\,V34\,\right)\,\,V34\,\,\kappa4}}{2\,\,\left(-\,1\,+\,V34\right)}\,\right\}$$

$$ln[3]:= \ThetaB[\kappa Dinv_] = \kappa Dinv * (1 + \kappa Dinv)^{-1};$$

In[4]:= V12 =
$$5 * \theta B[\kappa Dinv]$$
;

$$\ln[5] = \kappa 1 = \kappa 2 = \kappa 3 = \kappa 4 = \kappa;$$

$$\ln[6] := X \left[\kappa \text{Dinv}_{-} \right] = \frac{-1 + \text{V12} - \kappa 1 - \text{V12} \kappa 2 + \sqrt{\left(1 + \kappa 1 + \text{V12} \left(-1 + \kappa 2\right)\right)^{2} + 4 \left(-1 + \text{V12}\right) \text{V12} \kappa 2}}{2 \left(-1 + \text{V12}\right)};$$

 $In[7] := V34 = 10 * x [\kappa Dinv];$

$$\ln[8] := y [\kappa Dinv_] = \frac{-1 + V34 - \kappa 3 - V34 \kappa 4 + \sqrt{(1 + \kappa 3 + V34 (-1 + \kappa 4))^2 + 4 (-1 + V34) V34 \kappa 4}}{2 (-1 + V34)};$$

$$ln[9]:= Plot1 = Plot[\theta B[\kappa Dinv], {\kappa Dinv, .01, 100},$$

PlotRange
$$\rightarrow$$
 All, PlotStyle \rightarrow Black, PlotLegends \rightarrow {" θ_B "}];

 $ln[12]:= Plot4 = Plot[x[\kappa Dinv] / . \kappa \rightarrow 10, {\kappa Dinv, .01, 100},$ PlotRange \rightarrow All, PlotStyle \rightarrow {Blue, Dashed}, PlotLegends \rightarrow {"x*, κ =10"}]; $ln[13]:= Plot5 = Plot[y[\kappa Dinv] / . \kappa \rightarrow 10, {\kappa Dinv, .01, 100},$ PlotRange \rightarrow All, PlotStyle \rightarrow {Red, Dashed}, PlotLegends \rightarrow {"y*, κ =10"}]; ln[14]:= Show[Plot1, Plot2, Plot3, Plot4, Plot5, AxesLabel $\rightarrow \{"\frac{1}{x}", "\theta_B, y^*, x^*"\}]$ $- x^*, \kappa = .1$ y*,κ=.1 ---- *x**,κ=10 $----- v^*, \kappa=10$ 100 ... Solve: Solve was unable to solve the system with inexact coefficients. The answer was obtained by solving a corresponding exact system and numericizing the result. ... Solve: Solve was unable to solve the system with inexact coefficients. The answer was obtained by solving a corresponding exact system and numericizing the result. Out[18]= 1. ln[19]:= Limit[x[κ Dinv] /. $\kappa \rightarrow .1$, κ Dinv $\rightarrow \infty$] // N Out[19]= 0.977834

```
ln[20] := Solve[x[\kappa Dinv] = .9 (0.9778336096873995) / . \kappa \rightarrow .1, \kappa Dinv]
Out[20]= \{ \{ \kappa Dinv \rightarrow 0.491016 \} \}
ln[21] := Solve[x[\kappa Dinv] = .1 (0.9778336096873995) / . \kappa \rightarrow .1, \kappa Dinv]
Out[21]= \{ \{ \kappa Dinv \rightarrow 0.123392 \} \}
\ln[22] = nH_x = \frac{\text{Log}[81]}{\text{Log}[0.4910158410173033/0.12339209680565799]}
Out[22] = 3.18183
In[23]:= Limit[x[\kappaDinv] /. \kappa \rightarrow 10, \kappaDinv \rightarrow \infty] // N
Out[23] = 0.842194
ln[24] = Solve[x[\kappa Dinv] = .9 (0.84219357067906130) / . \kappa \rightarrow 10, \kappa Dinv]
\mathsf{Out}[\mathsf{24}] = \; \left\{ \; \left\{ \; \mathsf{\mathcal{K}Dinv} \; \rightarrow \; 1.4772 \; \right\} \; \right\}
ln[25] = Solve[x[\kappa Dinv] = .1 (0.84219357067906130) / . \kappa \rightarrow 10, \kappa Dinv]
Out[25]= \{ \{ \kappa Dinv \rightarrow 0.0203141 \} \}
ln[26] := nH_x10 = -
                        Log[1.4771993697773982/0.020314065438098716]
Out[26]= 1.02516
In[27]:= Limit[y[\kappaDinv] /. \kappa \rightarrow .1, \kappaDinv \rightarrow \infty] // N
Out[27] = 0.989761
ln[28] = Solve[y[\kappa Dinv] = .9 (0.9897606926690938) / . \kappa \rightarrow .1, \kappa Dinv]
Out[28] = \{ \{ \kappa Dinv \rightarrow 0.165254 \} \}
ln[29] = Solve[y[\kappa Dinv] = .1 (0.9897606926690938) / . \kappa \rightarrow .1, \kappa Dinv]
Out[29]= \{ \{ \kappa Dinv \rightarrow 0.0854483 \} \}
                    Log[0.1652539651084165 / 0.0854483462457909]
Out[30] = 6.66258
ln[31]:= Limit[y[\kappaDinv] /. \kappa \rightarrow 10, \kappaDinv \rightarrow \infty] // N
Out[31] = 0.900898
ln[32] = Solve[y[\kappa Dinv] = .9 (0.9008976309688341) / . \kappa \rightarrow 10, \kappa Dinv]
Out[32]= \{ \{ \kappa Dinv \rightarrow 0.160132 \} \}
In[33]:= Solve[y[\kappaDinv] = .1 (0.9008976309688341) /. \kappa \to 10, \kappaDinv]
Out[33] = \{ \{ KDinv \rightarrow 0.00238147 \} \}
```

e)

Due to the zero-order sensitivity, the signals produced are very switch-like responses as demonstrated above for low values of κ ; when κ =0.1, a small change in input leads to a drastic percent change in output, however, when κ is increased to 10, a small change in input yields a small percent change in output. In agreement, as κ increases, the hill coefficients for both y^* and x^* decrease and the responses for both become less switch-like.