A New Rule in Vedic Metrics

GAŠPER BEGUŠ HARVARD UNIVERSITY

In this paper I propose a new rule of Vedic meter. The glides v and v are regularly lost before the corresponding high vowels v and v in Vedic. I argue that the word-initial glides v and v before the short vowels v and v still "make position" and that they should be restored for metrical purposes. This means that word-final syllables of the shape v chould be scanned long if the following syllable begins with a v- or v- that goes back to v- or v-. This new rule has consequences for the general metrical shape of the Rigveda, as cadences previously scanned as irregular will be repaired to their canonical shape. The rule can also be employed as etymologically decisive for words that can potentially go back to forms with or without an initial glide.

§1 INTRODUCTION

In Vedic, consonantal *v (or *u) is regularly lost before the high back vowel *u (AiG I: 261–62). There were two main sources of the sequence * $v\bar{u}$ in pre-Vedic: the Proto-Indo-European sequence *(C)uRH, which yields *(C) $v\bar{u}$ r and subsequently (C) $u\bar{u}$ r (e.g., uru- 'wide, broad' <*vuru- < PIE *urHu-), ¹ and the reduplication syllable of roots with the structure vaC (*vuvaC-> vuvaC-, e.g., * $vuv\bar{a}$ ca> $vu\bar{a}$ ca). ² The loss of glides also occurs in external sandhi. When word-final -vuva0 (*vuva1.2.2a vuva2 is followed by word-initial u4-, *vuva3 is lost, resulting in -vuva4 u5. RV 1.2.2a vuva4 uvva4 u6 youthébhiu6. If, on the other hand, any other vowel (except for short u6-) follows word-final -uva6 (*uvva6-) *uvva8 youthébhiuva9. The other hand, any other vowel (except for short u6-) follows word-final -uva6 (*uva6-) *uva6 youthébhiuva6 youthébhiuva6

The few exceptions to the glide loss can easily be explained by analogy. AiG I: 262 lists three such examples: the compound $hot_r - v\tilde{u}rya$ 'election of priest', the 3rd sg. medial form of the optative $vur\bar{\iota}ta$, and 3rd pl. forms with the -ur ending, such as $babh\bar{\iota}uvur$. In the first two cases v was restored on the basis of other forms in the paradigm of the verb $\sqrt{var^i}$

I would like to thank Jay Jasanoff, Jeremy Rau, Kevin Ryan, Janez Orešnik, and Alenka Šivic-Dular, as well as the audiences of ECIEC 33, the Linguistic Circle at the University of Ljubljana, and the GSAS Workshop in Indo-European and Historical Linguistics at Harvard for their useful comments on earlier versions of this paper. Thanks are also due to the editor, Stephanie Jamison, and two anonymous reviewers. All mistakes are, of course, my own responsibility.

- 2. The original reduplication vowel was a, which is confirmed by RV 1.67.8b $vav\bar{a}ca$ (attested once in the Rigveda). Reduplication in Vedic, however, undergoes innovation: the reduplication vowel of verbs with u or i in the zero-grade and of verbs with the structure vaC-/yaC- becomes u or i respectively (Kümmel 2000: 21). Parallel to susvap-, susvap-, the strong and weak forms of the perfect of \sqrt{vac} were *vuvac- / *vu-uc- (yielding uvac- and uc- after the loss of *v and contraction).

'to choose'. In the latter case *v was a hiatus-preventing glide that was inserted in order to avoid the sequence **uu or was analogically transferred from forms with other vowel-initial endings (e.g., $babh\bar{u}v\acute{a}thur$). The same is true for the -v-u- outcome in the weak form of the perfect participle in $-u\bar{s}$ - to roots in -u $/-\bar{u}$, e.g., $su\bar{s}uv-\acute{u}\bar{s}as$.

The glide loss before homorganic vowels targeted not only v but also y (or \dot{z}). The examples of this loss are, however, limited to word-internal position: pre-Vedic \dot{z} and \dot{z} after (< PIE \dot{z} after the loss of \dot{z} before \dot{z} and finally \dot{z} after desyllabification of \dot{z} .

There is one example where *y may have been lost before *i* word-initially in the reduplication syllable: the middle participle $iy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$. However, this participle could go back either to the root present * $iy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ - (< * ih_2 - $mh_1n\acute{o}$ -, as in Ved. $y\acute{a}mi$) or to the reduplicated present * $yiy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ - (< *ii- ih_2 - $mh_1n\acute{o}$ -, as in Grk. δίζημαι, διζήμενος; cf. García-Ramón 1999: 88; LIV²: 310–11). There is no formal way to decide between the two options.

§2 METRICS

The cadences of the tristubh (eleven-syllable verse) and jagatī (twelve-syllable verse) are metrically the most regular features in the Rigveda. According to van Nooten and Holland (1994: xvii), 15,855 of 16,450 (or 96.4%) tristubh cadences have the canonical structure $-\sim$ x. Likewise, 4,863 of 5,011 (or 97.0%) jagatī cadences have the canonical structure $-\sim$ x. This leaves room for only 3.6% or 3.0%, respectively, of cadences that have other structures and are therefore "irregular" or non-canonical.

Openings in the Rigveda are much less regular than cadences. Nevertheless, there exist some tendencies for metrical regularity even in openings. The structures $\times - - -$ and $\times - -$ constitute 72.6% of all openings, with structures such as $\times - -$ and $\times - - -$ occurring

- 3. After the loss of *i, the i in the disyllabic sequence *a-i desyllabified, yielding *-ai- and consequently -e- (cf. Lubotsky 1995: 219). Such sequences, however, scan disyllabically in the Rigveda (cf. van Nooten and Holland 1994: iv), which again indicates that *y was present when the hymns were composed.
- 4. Exceptions to this rule can here too be explained by analogy. As Lubotsky (1995: 219) points out, "all -ayi- sequences actually attested in Vedic must be secondary." The one prominent counterexample, rayi-, is either explained as analogical or non-contracted because of the accented i (Lubotsky 1995: 221–23). For this explanation and a thorough treatment of the loss of *y before i word-internally, see Lubotsky 1995 and Kobayashi 2014.
- 5. The only observation with respect to $* \underline{\psi}$ that I have found in the literature is in Kümmel's treatment of the gen. pl. ending (Kümmel 2010: 4). There he notes that $ur\bar{u}n\bar{d}h$ in RV 7.73.3a $\acute{a}hema$ $yaj\bar{n}\acute{a}m$ $path\hat{a}\bar{a}m$ $ur\bar{u}n\bar{a}h$ goes back to $*\underline{\psi}$ $ar\bar{u}$ and that in pre-Vedic the preceding syllable $-\bar{u}m$ would be long not just because of the long vowel but also by position. However, the strong tendency to avoid the extra-long sequences $-\bar{V}C$ CV- in Vedic metrics renders the example inappropriate for establishing length by position. If anything, we would expect a word after the sequence $-\bar{V}C$ to be vowel-initial. No further examples are discussed there.
- 6. Cadences of the anuṣṭubh and gāyatrī (both eight-syllabic verses) are also regular, but since all relevant examples appear in cadences of triṣṭubh and jagatī, I omit the former two cadence types from the discussion.

at frequencies as low as 2.1% and 0.4%. The most canonical structure for openings is thus x - x - (Arnold 1905: 193–94). More precisely, the second syllable of the tristubh openings is long in 13,823, or 83.9%, of all cases (cf. van Nooten and Holland 1994: xvii–xviii).

The evidence for the proposed rule will only be taken from cadences, since openings are not regular enough to be conclusive. Moreover, lengthening of any syllable in the opening of a verse will automatically yield a better structure. However, if restoration of glides proves to be necessary in cadences, there is no reason not to restore them in openings as well.

§3 THE DATA

Let us now take a look at words that feature an initial u- that goes back to $v\bar{u}$ - and appears after the sequence -VC in cadences of the Rigveda. The most frequently attested such word is the verb urusy- 'to widen', formed from the adjective $ur\bar{u}$ - 'wide, broad'. The adjective goes back to PIE urusy- (EWAia, I, 227), which yields pre-Vedic urusy- The verb urusy-appears eight times in cadences after the sequence -VC. In all eight of these instances, the restoration of urusy- repairs the irregular cadence. In (1), we see that the traditional scansion gives the metrical structure urusy- urusy- which occurs in only 250 (1.5%) tristubh cadences in the Rigveda. Restoring the urusy- urusy-

(1)	RV 1.58.8c	ágne gŗṇántam áṃhasa uruṣya	\sim \sim $ \times$
		ágne grnántam ámhaso *vurusya	$- \sim - \times^8$

On the subject of examples with final -ah, Van Nooten and Holland (1994: 582) remark that "[t]he uncommon cadence . . . can be normalized by reading áṃhaso (with long final vowel) for S. áṃhasa (Pp. áṃhasaḥ) before a following vowel." However, we see that there is no need to invoke a special reading of -ah, 9 because it is the lost *v that has caused the preceding syllable to be heavy (the sandhi outcome was in fact most probably *-ov- or -azv-for *-asv-). ¹⁰ Moreover, as seen in (2) and subsequent examples, the reading with *v should be employed not only after -ah, but also after any other sequence $-\check{V}C$, even -e < *-ai. The other seven examples in which the reading of $ur\acute{u}sy$ - with *v repairs irregular cadences are:

(2)	RV 1.152.6d	āsấvívāsann áditim uruṣyet	~ ~ - ×
(3)	RV 1.158.4a	āsāvívāsann áditiṃ *vuruṣyet úpastutir aucathiyám uruṣyen	x
(3)		úpastutir aucathiyám *vuruşyen	- ~ - ×
(4)	RV 4.2.6d	víśvasmāt sīm aghāyatá uruṣya	
(5)	RV 4.2.11d	víśvasmāt sīm aghāyató *vuruṣya dítiṃ ca rấṣva áditim uruṣya	- · - ×
		dítim ca rấsva áditim *vurusya	$- \sim - \times$

- 7. By "traditional scansion" I mean the analyses of Vedic meter in Oldenberg 1888, Arnold 1905, and van Nooten and Holland 1994.
- 8. The first line in all examples is from the metrically restored text provided in van Nooten and Holland 1994, with the corresponding metrical structure. The second line is my proposed restoration with the lost *v.
 - 9. For a thorough treatment of -aḥ see Malzahn 2001.
- 10. There are three possible scenarios for how the external sandhi sequence *-o vu- (< *-as vu-) yielded the attested -a u- (instead of **-o u-) after the loss of *v-. First, we could assume that word-final -o was analogically repaired to -a, which was the regular sandhi outcome of -as before all vowels including u- (excluding short \check{a} -). Secondly, we could assume that *-as vu- first yielded *-az vu- and that *-as v- yielded *-az v-. Initial *v- would then have been lost and the two sequences merged to *-az v-, further yielding -a v-. Thirdly, we could assume that *-as v-u- (from *-as v-u-) was resolved to *-av u- and that *v was lost again, yielding the attested -au-.

(6)	RV 4.55.5d	mitró mitríyād utá na uruṣyet	\sim \sim $ \times$
		mitró mitríyād utá no *vuruṣyet	$- \smile - \times$
(7)	RV 7.1.15b	sameddhāram áṃhasa uruṣyāt	\sim \sim $ \times$
		sameddhấram áṃhaso *vuruṣyất	$- \smile - \times$
(8)	RV 8.48.5a	imé mā pītā yaśása uruṣyávo	\smile \smile $ \smile$ \times
		imé mā pītā yaśáso *vuruṣyávo	$- \smile - \smile \times$

One example of the jagatī verse is provided in (8). Here, the traditional reading gives the cadence structure $\sim \sim \sim \times$, which accounts for 83 (or 1.7%) of all jagatī cadences. The restoration of * ν , on the other hand, yields the reading $-\sim -\sim \times$, which accounts for 4,863 (or 97.0%) of the jagatī cadences. ¹¹

There are furthermore two examples of the adjective $ur\bar{u}ci$ - 'extending far' from $ur\dot{u}$ - ($< *vur\dot{u}$ - $< PIE *\dot{u}rH$ - \dot{u} -) and one example of a compound $urukram\dot{a}$ - 'far-stepping' with the first member $ur\dot{u}$ -. In all three instances the restoration of *v repairs the irregular cadences.

(9)	RV 3.57.5b	ágne devéșu ucyáta urūcí	\sim \sim \times
		ágne devéșu ucyáte *vurūcį	$- \smile - \times$
(10)	RV 7.45.3c	viśráyamāṇo amátim urūcīm	\sim \sim $ \times$
		viśráyamāņo amátiṃ *vurūcī́m	$- \smile - \times$
(11)	RV 5.87.4a	sá cakrame maható nír urukramáḥ	\sim \sim \sim \times
		sá cakrame maható nír *vurukramáḥ	$- \smile - \smile \times$

The nouns $ulok\acute{a}$ - 'free space' and $ulokak\acute{r}t$ - 'creating free space' also have $ur\acute{u}$ - as their first member. These two words go back to a compound *uru- $lok\acute{a}$ - or *ulu- $lok\acute{a}$ -, in which the second syllable was haplologically lost (for an explanation, see Aufrecht 1888: 152; Leumann 1968: 57–58). They are attested eight times in cadences of the Rigveda. In all examples, the restoration of *v repairs irregular cadences to their canonical structure.

(12)	RV 1.93.6d	urúṃ yajñāya cakrathur ulokám	\sim \sim $ \times$
	= RV 7.99.4a	urúṃ yajñāya cakrathur *vulokám	$- \smile - \times$
(13)	RV 2.30.6d	asmín bhayásthe kṛṇutam ulokám	\sim \sim $ \times$
		asmín bhayásthe kṛṇutaṃ *vulokám	$- \smile - \times$
(14)	RV 6.23.3c	kártā vīrāya súṣvaye ulokáṃ	\sim \sim $ \times$
		kártā vīrāya súṣvaye *vulokáṃ	$- \smile - \times$
(15)	RV 6.23.7d	urúm krdhi tuvāyatá ulokám	\sim \sim $ \times$
		urúṃ kṛdhi tuvāyató *vulokám	$- \smile - \times$
(16)	RV 6.73.2a	jánāya cid yá īvata ulokám	\smile \smile $ \times$
		jánāya cid yá ívate *vulokám	$- \smile - \times$
(17)	RV 7.84.2d	urúm na índrah krnavad ulokám	\smile \smile $ \times$
		urúm na índrah kṛṇavad *vulokám	$- \smile - \times$
(18)	RV 9.86.21b	ayám síndhubhyo abhavad ulokakrt	\smile \smile \sim \times
		ayám síndhubhyo abhavad *vulokakrít	$- \smile - \smile \times$

The restored *v repairs irregular cadences not only in derivatives of $ur\acute{u}$ -, but also in other lexical items. There are four examples of the aorist middle participle $ur\ddot{a}n\acute{a}$ - from the verb $\sqrt{var^i}$ 'to choose' (PIE * \underline{uelh}_I , cf. EWAia, II: 511), which goes back to * $vur\ddot{a}n\acute{a}$ - < $\underline{u}lh_Imh_Ino$ -. In all four examples, the reading with *v repairs the irregular cadence. 12

^{11.} The example in (7) is of the virāṭsthānā type. Such verses have ten syllables and are fairly rare. According to Arnold (1905: 211), they can be restored to the normal triṣṭubh verse by inserting a rest after the fourth syllable. Regardless of how we treat the virāṭsthānā verse, the restoration of *v repairs the irregular cadence.

^{12.} I remove RV 7.73.3a áhema yajñám pathâấm urāṇấḥ from my count because the second vowel in the disyllabic gen. pl. -ām can be either short or long.

(19) RV 3.19.2c	pradakṣiṇíd devátātim urāṇáḥ	\sim \sim $ \times$
= RV 4.6.3b	pradakṣiṇíd devátātiṃ *vurāṇáḥ	- ~ - ×
(20) RV 4.6.4d	trivișțí eti pradíva urāṇáḥ	
	trivișțí eti pradívo *vurāṇáḥ	- ~ - ×
(21) RV 4.7.8c	dūtá īyase pradíva urāņó	
	dūtá īyase pradívo *vurāņó	- ~ - ×

Moreover, the first part of the compound $ur\bar{a}$ - $m\acute{a}thi$ - 'killing sheep' goes back to *vuran-< PIE μrh_1en - 'lamb' (cf. EWAia, I: 225–26). In its only cadence attestation in the Rigveda, the restoration of *v repairs the irregular cadence:

```
(22) RV 8.66.8a výkaś cid asya vāraṇá urāmáthir \sim \sim - \sim \times výkaś cid asya vāraṇó *vurāmáthir - \sim - \sim \times
```

There are also two examples, both from the root \sqrt{vac} 'to speak', where the restored *v is part of the reduplication syllable. The perfect form $uv\bar{a}ca$ goes back to * $vuv\bar{a}ca$ and the lost *v closes the preceding syllable with the structure -VC. The line in RV 7.98.3b (24) is exactly identical to the line AVS 20.87.3b, and in both cases the restoration of *v repairs irregular cadences.

(23)	RV 2.30.2b	prá tám jánitrī vidúṣa uvāca	
		prá tám jánitrī vidúșe *vuvāca	- ~ - ×
(24)	RV 7.98.3b	prá te mātā mahimānam uvāca	\sim \sim $ \times$
	$= AV \hat{S} 20.87.3b$	prá te mātā mahimānaṃ *vuvāca	- ~ - ×

There is only one example in the Rigveda where the restoration of v does not repair an already irregular cadence. In (25), we have the plain adjective $ur\dot{u}$ - 'wide, broad' (v) v) v0. PIE v1.

The hymn RV 6.50 itself has eight irregular cadences (van Nooten and Holland 1994: 624–25) and the cadence in RV 6.50.3a is irregular with or without restoration of *v. For an explanation of this case, see §4 below. In any event, this example is the only one in the Rigveda where the restoration of *v before the short \breve{u} does not repair the irregular cadence to its canonical shape.

From the discussion above, it is clear that v was still present before u, or at least accessible to the poets in this position, at the time when the hymns were being composed; therefore, the glide should be reconstructed for the purpose of metrical scansion in the Rigveda. It would be reasonable to expect the same situation for v before the long vowel u. This is, however, not the case: v before the corresponding long high vowel should not be restored for metrical purposes. In none of the cases of v attested after v does the restoration of v repair an irregular scansion. In fact, the reading with v converts regular cadences into irregular ones.

In (28), for example, the noun $\bar{u}rmi$ - 'wave' goes back to * $v\bar{u}rmi$ - and PIE *ullet Hmi-. This form is attested twenty-seven times in cadences, and in all the examples the reading with *v yields an irregular cadence, whereas the traditional reading yields the canonical structure.

```
(28) RV 2.16.5a vṛṣṇaḥ kóśaḥ pavate mádhva ūrmír - - - × vṛṣṇaḥ kóśaḥ pavate mádhvo *vūrmír - - - ×
```

^{13.} Gippert (1997: 69) repairs this line by assuming that the laryngeal causes the first syllable of $ur\dot{u}$ to be long by position: *uR'V from $*ur_{\mu}Hu$ -. Note, however, that the first syllable of this adjective is nowhere else to be read as long.

Other examples in which the restoration of *v before a long \bar{u} converts regular cadences into irregular ones are (a) feminine forms of the adjective $urv\hat{i}$ - (shortened either by analogy or by sound law ¹⁴ from * $v\bar{u}rv\hat{i}$ - < PIE * $urHuih_2$ -), attested eighteen times, (b) the adjective $\bar{u}rdhv\hat{a}$ - 'raised' (< * $v\bar{u}rdhv\hat{a}$ - < PIE *urHdhu0-; EWAia, I: 244–45, Barnes 2014: 7, Chantraine 1968–1980: 818–19), attested three times, and (c) the noun $\bar{u}rn\bar{a}$ - 'wool' (< * $v\bar{u}rn\bar{a}$ - < PIE * ulh_1neh_2 -; EWAia, I: 243), attested once. ¹⁵

There are generally two possible explanations for the metrical absence of v before the long vowel \bar{u} . First, we could assume that the loss of v before both long and short \bar{u} was an ongoing sound change at the time when the hymns were composed and that there was variation between the forms with and without initial v. The poets could thus optionally drop the initial glide in order to prevent two long syllables (-), which would have occurred if a glide was preceded by VC and followed by vD. Note that two consecutive long syllables are not suitable for any kind of cadence in the Rigveda.

More probably, however, the loss of v before the long high vowel \bar{u} preceded its loss before the short high vowel \bar{u} . The long vowel \bar{u} was most likely higher than its short counterpart, as there exists a tendency across languages for long high vowels to be higher than their short counterparts (Lehiste 1970: 30–32). By virtue of being higher, the long \bar{u} was most likely also more rounded (higher vowels are usually more rounded; see Ladefoged and Maddieson 1996: 293). Precisely this higher degree of roundedness could easily have led to an early dissimilation and loss of u or, even more plausibly, an early complete assimilation of u to the following vowel u. ¹⁶

§4 STATISTICAL TESTS

We know that superheavy syllables are strongly disfavored in cadences of the Rigveda (Kobayashi 2004: 30-31). This fact allows us to apply statistical tests to the data to show that the distribution of words with the initial glides v or v is not simply due to chance or to the fact that irregular short syllables before minor caesura are common. That is, we can test whether the words above behave as consonant-initial or vowel-initial words.

Consonant-initial words (CV-) appear after the minor caesura (ninth syllable) 2,535 times in books 2–8 when the preceding word contains a light final syllable of the form - \check{V} C, and 151 times when the preceding syllable is heavy (- \check{V} C or -VCC). Vowel-initial words (V-), on the other hand, appear 234 times after - \check{V} C and 527 times after - \check{V} C or -VCC in books 2–8. ¹⁷ The distribution is represented in Table 1:

	Table 1	
	-ŬC	-VC
CV-	2,535	151
V-	234	527

- 14. For discussion, see Lubotsky 1997.
- 15. There are, further, three attestations of the noun $\bar{u}rv\acute{a}$ 'container' and one attestation of the imperative form $\bar{u}rnuhi$. Both are probably formed from the root \sqrt{var} 'to enclose', but the etymology here is unclear. Note that \sqrt{var} is an anit root, which means that the noun $\bar{u}rv\acute{a}$ or the verb $\bar{u}rnuhi$ most likely did not have an initial glide at all. The source for the length of the sequence $\bar{u}r$ is obscure and needs further investigation. Because of the uncertainties I exclude the two lexical items from the discussion (for etymology, see EWAia, I: 245; II: 512).
- 16. Note also that v was followed by another v in two of four words ($v\bar{u}rvt'_{-}$, $v\bar{u}rdhva'_{-}$) and by another labial m in additional one word ($v\bar{u}rmt'_{-}$). The early loss could thus be reinforced by dissimilation of v away from another glide in the following syllable.
 - 17. Thanks to Kevin Ryan for providing me with this count and generally for his help with the statistics.

We can compare this distribution to the distribution of the words with initial vu- to test whether they behave like consonant- or vowel-initial words. Table 2 below presents all words beginning with u- that goes back to vu- and that appears after the ninth syllable of trimeter verse in books 2–8 (the first column). The second column shows the number of occurrences of such words after the sequence -VC; the third column shows the number of occurrences after the sequence -VC.

	Table 2	
	-ŬC	-VC
uruṣy- ¹⁸	5	1
urāṇá- ¹⁹	4	1
urūcī́-	2	0
urukramá-	1	0
urāmáthi-	1	0
uvāca	2	1
uloká- ²⁰	6	3

The number of occurrences of particular words in the position after -VC/-VC (Table 2) is compared to the number of occurrences of all words in these positions (Table 1) and the Fisher exact test is used to test for statistical significance. The test shows that the distribution of urusy- and $ur\bar{u}n\dot{a}$ - differs significantly from the overall distribution of vowel-initial words but does not differ significantly from the distribution of consonant-initial words. $ur\bar{u}c\dot{i}$ -, $urukram\dot{a}$ -, $ur\bar{u}m\dot{a}thi$ -, and $uv\bar{u}ca$ are each individually too rare to permit statistical conclusions to be drawn, but taken together, their distributions differ significantly from V- onsets, but not from CV- onsets. We can therefore reconstruct with certainty vurusy-, $vur\bar{u}n\dot{a}$ -, $vurux\bar{u}a$

For the distribution of $ulok\acute{a}$ -, we get slightly different results: the post-minor-caesura distribution of this word is significantly different from that of V-initial words, but also significantly different from that of CV-initial words. This points to a variation between a consonant-initial and vowel-initial form of this word. It would appear, then, that in the time of the composition of the family books, both variants $*vulok\acute{a}$ - and $*ulok\acute{a}$ - were present in the language. The explanation for this variation is quite straightforward. We know that Vedic o goes back to pre-Vedic $*a\acute{\mu}$ (cf. AiG I: 35–36) and that at the time of composition it was probably still a diphthong. Because of the two subsequent glides $*\acute{\mu}$ and two subsequent rounded back vowels *u in $*vurula\acute{\mu}k\acute{a}$ -, the initial *v was probably undergoing an early dissimilation (even before the short u), but the process was not yet complete. Alternatively, we could assume that variants without initial *v were analogically influenced by the plain adjective $ur\acute{u}$ - (see immediately below).

^{18.} There is another attestation of uruxy- after $-\bar{V}C$ in the eighth book (RV 8.101.4c). However, hymn 8.101 is understood to be a later addition, since it violates the ordering (Oldenberg 1888: 228); accordingly, I have removed it from the count.

^{19.} Note that one of the four verses attested after -VC is a repetition, but from two different books.

^{20.} Again, there is another attestation of $ulok\acute{a}$ - after $-\vec{V}C$ in 7.33, but because of the lateness of the hymn (Oldenberg 1888: 200) I took it out of the count.

^{21.} Taken together, they are also significantly different from V-, but not significantly different from CV-.

^{22.} Note that all examples where $ulok\acute{a}$ - is attested after $-\bar{V}C$ occur in the seventh book. This distribution could indicate the starting point of variation.

There is only one word with etymological *v in Vedic that behaves as if it were vowel-initial in the Rigveda: the plain adjective $ur\acute{u}$ -. The following table represents the distribution of $ur\acute{u}$ - in cadences of dimeter verse: the second column shows number of occurrences after -VC; the third column number of occurrences after -VC. The distribution of $ur\acute{u}$ - is significantly different from that of V-.

	Table 3	
	-ŬC	-VC
urú-	0	3(8)

We have thus established that v should be restored for metrical purposes in cadences. There is no reason not to assume the same rule in openings as well. In fact, by reconstructing initial v, a more regular opening is obtained. Consider the example in (29).

As already mentioned, the second syllable of the tristubh openings is long in 14,190, or 86.2%, of all cases (van Nooten and Holland 1994: xvii). The reading with *v in (29) converts the short second syllable into a long one and yields a much more common opening structure. Examples from openings, however, cannot be decisive, because openings are not metrically regular enough to produce conclusive evidence and because any lengthening will automatically yield a better structure. However, now that we have independently established the rule for cadences, we can reasonably reconstruct the lost glides in openings as well.

The statistical tests presented so far have been based on books 2–8 of the Rigveda. ²⁴ We saw that *v at this point was still present in the language and that it should be restored for metrical purposes. However, if we apply these tests to the same words in books 1, 9, and 10, we see that the words with etymological $*v\check{u}$ - become significantly different from those beginning in CV-, but not significantly different from those beginning in V-. This means that on the way to the composition period for the later books, *v was lost and no longer affected the meter. For example, reconstruction of initial *v in the following cadence from book 10 would yield a superheavy syllable, a type that was strongly avoided by the poets.

```
(30) RV 10.40.8b yuvám vidhántam vidhávām uruṣyathaḥ - - - × yuvám vidhántam vidhávām *vuruṣyathaḥ - - - ×
```

^{23.} As already mentioned, RV 6.50 is a highly irregular hymn, but the restoration of v in $ur\dot{u}$ would make the cadence even more irregular by causing the ninth syllable to be long.

²⁴. The distinction between books 2-8 as "old" and books 1, 9, and 10 as "young" is partially superficial, but accurate enough for the purpose of statistical tests.

§5 DIAGNOSTICS

The rule proposed above can also be diagnostic for cases with unclear etymology. As already mentioned, the middle participle $iy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ - can in principle go back to either * $iy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ - (root present) or to * $yiy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ - (reduplicated present). The following table shows the distribution of $iy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ - before -VC (second column) and -VC (third column) in the eighth syllable. If we look at the distribution of the word in books 2–8, we see that the distribution of $iy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ - is significantly different from that of vowel-initial words and not significantly different from that of consonant-initial words. ²⁵

	Table 4	
	-ŬC	-VC
iyāná- ²⁶	5	2

This suggests that $iy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ - was a consonant-initial word and that it must therefore go back to the reduplicated present $*yiy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ -. The restoration of *y in $iy\bar{a}n\acute{a}$ - additionally repairs the following five cadences to their canonical structure:

(31) RV 2.20.4c	sá vásvah kấmam pīparad iyānó	
(==) ========	sá vásvah kấmam pīparad *yiyānó	×
(32) RV 7.17.7b		~ ~ - ×
, ,	mahó no rátnā ví dadho *yiyānáḥ	- ~ - ×
(33) RV 7.25.5b		\sim \sim $ \times$
	índre sáho devájūtam *yiyānāḥ	×
(34) RV 7.38.6b	rátnam devásya savitúr iyānáh	\sim \sim $ \times$
= RV 7.52.	3b rátnam devásya savitúr *yiyānáh	×

§6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, I propose a new rule of Vedic meter: I contend that the lost glides v and v should be restored before the short vowels v and v for metrical purposes. I argue that the glides were still present at the time when books 2–8 were composed and that word-final syllables of the shape -VC should be scanned as long when they are followed by a v- or v-that goes back to v-v- and v-v-.

I also argue that v was lost earlier before the long vowel \bar{u} than it was before the short vowel u and that it therefore does not affect the meter in these cases. This early loss was perhaps due to the long vowel being more rounded than the short vowel and therefore triggering early dissimilation. The paper also sheds some light on the behavior of uru- and uloka-. uru- is shown to have been analogically remodeled as a vowel-initial word on the basis of the feminine forms; uloka- had variant forms with and without the initial glide due to early dissimilation from the following v and two rounded vowels v.

This new rule repairs twenty-six irregular cadences in books 2–8 to their canonical shape; it repairs thirty-two irregular cadences if we count all ten books together. The twenty-six repaired examples represent 11.1% of all cases in books 2–8 where -VC is followed by V- in

^{25.} The p-value here is marginally non-significant (0.0558). Note also that I excluded RV 7.29.1d from the count, although *maghávan*- most likely ended in a single consonant (from the *van*-stem rather than the *vant*-stem; note, however, that some forms show transition from the former to the latter inflection):

⁽i) RV 7.29.1d dádo maghấni maghavann iyānáḥ - - - x

^{26.} Note that one of the five verses attested after -VC is a repetition.

the ninth syllable. Moreover, restoration of v before \ddot{u} repairs 54% of all cases where -VC is followed by u- in the ninth syllable.

REFERENCES

- AiG I = Jakob Wackernagel. 1896. *Altindische Grammatik*, vol. 1: *Lautlehre*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Arnold, E. Vernon. 1905. Vedic Metre in Its Historical Development. Cambridge: Univ. Press.
- Aufrecht, Theodor. 1888. Notizen. Zeitschrift für deutschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft 42: 151–52.
- Barnes, Timothy. 2014. Artemis ∖ζορθασια. Handout: 26th Annual UCLA Indo-European Conference, 24–25 September, 2014. University of California, Los Angeles.
- Chantraine, Pierre. 1968–1980. Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque: Histoire des mots. 4 vols. Paris: Éditions Klincksieck.
- EWAia = Manfred Mayrhofer. 1992–2011. Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen. 3 vols. Heidelberg: Winter.
- García-Ramón, José Luis. 1999. Griechisch Ζητήρ· Ζεὺς ἐν Κύπρφ, Vedisch yātár- "Rächter" und die Vertretung von *į im Griechischen. In *Compositiones Indogermanicae in memoriam Jochem Schindler*, ed. H. Eichner, H. Ch. Luschützky, and V. Sadovski. Pp. 77–96. Praha: Enigma Corporation.
- Gippert, Jost. 1997. Laryngeals and Vedic Metre. In Sound Law and Analogy: Papers in Honor of Robert S. P. Beekes on the Occasion of His 60th Birthday, ed. Alexander Lubotsky. Pp. 63–79. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Kobayashi, Masato. 2004. Historical Phonology of Old Indo-Aryan Consonants. Tokyo: ILCAA.
- ______. 2014. Origin and Development of Sanskrit yy. In *Vedic and Sanskrit Historical Linguistics:*Papers of the 13th World Sanskrit Conference, vol. 3, ed. Jared Klein and Elizabeth Tucker. Pp. 1–23. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Kümmel, Martin. 2000. Das Perfekt im Indoiranischen: Eine Untersuchung der Form und Funktion einer ererbten Kategorie des Verbums und ihrer Weiterentwicklung in den altiranischen Sprachen. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- ______. 2010. Der Genitiv Plural im Indoiranischen: Paper presented at the 31st Deutscher Orientalistentag, Marburg. Handout available at https://www.academia.edu/342153/Der_Genitiv_Plural_im Indoiranischen.
- Ladefoged, Peter, and Ian Maddieson. 1996. *The Sounds of the World's Languages*. Oxford: Blackwell. Lehiste, Ilse. 1970. *Suprasegmentals*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Leumann, Manu. 1968. Zu den lautlichen Fernwirkungen im Altindischen. In *Pratidānam: Indian, Iranian and Indo-European Studies Presented to Franciscus Bernardus Jacobus Kuiper on His Sixtieth Birthday*, ed. G. H. Schokker, J. C. Heesterman, and V. I. Subramoniam. Pp. 53–59. The Hague: Mouton.
- LIV² = Helmut Rix et al. 2001. *Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben: Die Wurzeln und ihre Primärstammbildungen*, 2nd ed. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- Lubotsky, Alexander. 1995. Reflexes of Intervocalic Laryngeals in Sanskrit. In *Kurylowicz Memorial Volume*, pt. 1, ed. W. Smoczyński. Pp. 213–44. Cracow: Universitas.
- ______. 1997. The Indo-Iranian Reflexes of PIE *CRHUV. In Sound Law and Analogy: Papers in Honor of Robert S. P. Beekes on the Occasion of His 60th Birthday, ed. Alexander Lubotsky. Pp. 139–54. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Malzahn, Melanie. 2001. Sandhiphänomene im Rigveda als Reflexe von Archaismen und Dialektismen. PhD diss., University of Vienna.
- van Nooten, Barend A., and Gary B. Holland, eds. 1994. *Rig Veda: A Metrically Restored Text with an Introduction and Notes.* Harvard Oriental Series, vol. 50. Cambridge, Mass.: Dept. of Sanskrit and Indian Studies, Harvard University.
- Oldenberg, Hermann. 1888. Metrische und textgeschichtliche Prolegomena zu einer kritischen Rigveda-Ausgabe. Berlin: Hertz.