

The binner package

Jonathan.Paul Cook <jcook@sciops.esa.int>
To: "G. Belanger (ESA)" <gbelanger@sciops.esa.int>

4 October 2018 at 13:43

Hi.

I had a bit of a look and I think it would be better if you were to use composition (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Composition_over_inheritance) in your AbstractIntensityBin, e.g) it has a bin and it can have an intensity then you don't need to duplicate the code all the methods. You can still implement the two interfaces and simply delegate/forward the method calls to the intensity or bin reference that this class contains. I think it is cleaner.

```
So your AbstractIntensityBin would be like this:
public abstract class AbstractIntensityBinJC implements IBin,
IIntensity {
    private IIntensity intensity;
    private IBin bin:
    public AbstractIntensityBinJC(IIntensity intensity, IBin bin) {
        this.intensity = intensity;
        this.bin = bin;
    }
    @Override
    public double getValue() {
        return intensity.getValue();
    }
    @Override
    public double getError() {
        return intensity.getError();
    }
    @Override
    public double getVariance() {
        return intensity.getVariance();
    }
    @override
    public boolean errorIsSet() {
        return intensity.errorIsSet();
    }
    @Override
    public String getUnits() {
        return intensity.getUnits();
    }
```

```
@override
public String getDescription() {
    return intensity.getDescription();
}
@override
public double[] getEdges() {
    return bin.getEdges();
}
@Override
public double getLeftEdge() {
    return bin.getLeftEdge();
}
@Override
public double getRightEdge() {
    return bin.getRightEdge();
}
@Override
public double getWidth() {
    return bin.getWidth();
}
@Override
public double getCentre() {
    return bin.getCentre();
}
@override
public boolean contains(double value) {
    return bin.contains(value);
}
@override
public boolean contains(IBin bin) {
    return bin.contains(bin);
}
@Override
public boolean overlaps(IBin bin) {
    return bin.overlaps(bin);
}
```

But you need to construct the class with the references to an intensity or bin, rather than the values. e.g) change a bit IntensityBinSplitter (I think I did it correctly)

```
// Contruct and return the two new bins
AbsoluteQuantityBin leftBin = new AbsoluteQuantityBin(new
AbsoluteQuantity(whereToSplit), new Bin(thisBin.getLeftEdge(), whereToSplit));
```

}

AbsoluteQuantityBin rightBin = new AbsoluteQuantityBin(new AbsoluteQuantity(intensityPlus), new Bin(whereToSplit, thisBin.getRightEdge()));

You could pass in the values but then you would have to create the bin and intensity objects internally if you see what I mean.

One other thing I would I suggest to make the code a bit more readable is to use @Overrides on the methods that are implementations in subclasses. Then it is easy to see at a glance which ones are inherited from an interface of abstract class.

Does it make sense and help a bit? I am missing some of the dependencies so can't run the testers.

Thanks Jon

From: "G. Belanger (ESA)" <gbelanger@sciops.esa.int>

To: "Jonathan.Paul Cook" <jcook@sciops.esa.int> **Sent:** Thursday, September 27, 2018 5:22:47 PM

Subject: The binner package

[Quoted text hidden]

This message is intended only for the recipient(s) named above. It may contain proprietary information and/or $\,$

protected content. Any unauthorised disclosure, use, retention or dissemination is prohibited. If you have received

this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. ESA applies appropriate organisational measures to protect

personal data, in case of data privacy queries, please contact the ESA Data Protection Officer (dpo@esa.int).