

# THE GOONZETTE

*Digital Culture • Commentary • Analysis*

Daily Edition - Wednesday, December 24, 2025

---

# Purple Rain or Purple Pain? Breaking Down the Vikings' Eternal Mid Energy

**\*K**ia ora, whānau!\* Your boy Tommy back with another NFL breakdown, and today we're diving into the Minnesota Vikings – a franchise that's basically the human embodiment of "almost but not quite" energy. Like, these cats have been serving premium mid content since before TikTok was even a thing.

## **\*\*T**he Eternal Struggle Bus**\*\***

**L**ook, I gotta keep it one hundred with y'all – watching the Vikings is like watching someone try to open a door by pushing when it clearly says "pull." They've got all the tools, all the talent, but somehow always find a way to make you question reality. It's giving major "we have championship at home" vibes, except championship at home is a participation trophy and some leftover pizza.

**T**he Vikings' whole existence is basically that whakatauki: "He aha te mea nui o te ao? He tangata, he tangata, he tangata" – what is the most important thing in the world? It is people, it is people, it is people. But somehow they keep forgetting that football is a *\*team\** sport, not just a collection of individual highlight reels.

## **\*\*Q**uarterback Carousel Goes Brrrr**\*\***

**Y**o, can we talk about their QB situation? It's been more unstable than my wifi during a Zoom meeting. They had Brett Favre come out of retirement looking like someone's uncle at a family barbecue trying to prove he's still got it. Then there was the whole Kirk Cousins era – and don't get me wrong, Cousins can ball, but watching him in primetime games was like watching someone try to parallel park a bus. Technically possible, but you're gonna stress-sweat through your jersey.

**N**ow they're rolling with different looks, and honestly? It's giving me flashbacks to my own playing days when coaches would rotate us linemen like they were playing 4D chess, except the chess pieces were confused and the board was on fire.

## **\*\*Defense: The Good, The Bad, The "Bruh Moment"\*\***

Their defense has always been that friend who shows up clutch sometimes but other times leaves you hanging harder than a broken charger cable. One week they're looking like the Legion of Boom 2.0, next week they're getting carved up by backup quarterbacks like it's a turkey dinner.

The D-line can generate pressure when they feel like it, but consistency is rarer than finding a parking spot at Eden Park during a rugby final. And don't even get me started on their secondary – it's been more boom-or-bust than crypto investments.

## **\*\*Coaching Carousel: Musical Chairs Energy\*\***

The coaching situation has been messier than my teenager's bedroom. They've cycled through more head coaches than I've cycled through streaming subscriptions during lockdown. Each new coach comes in with the energy of someone about to revolutionize the game, then reality hits like a brick wall made of Wisconsin cheese.

It's that classic case of "ka mua, ka muri" – walking backwards into the future. They keep looking at what worked before instead of adapting to what the league is becoming. Modern NFL requires that next-level adaptability, but the Vikings often feel like they're still playing by 2010 rules.

## **\*\*The Culture Question\*\***

Here's the real kōrero though – culture eats strategy for breakfast, lunch, and dinner. You can have all the five-star recruits and fancy playbooks, but if your locker room energy is mid, your results gonna be mid too. The Vikings have had talented rosters that should've been contending, but something about their organizational DNA just hits different – and not in a good way.

## **\*\*Looking Forward: Hope Springs Eternal\*\***

But yo, here's the thing about NFL teams – they're like that mate who keeps saying they're gonna start going to the gym. Eventually, if they stick with it long enough, something's gotta click. The Vikings have

passionate fans, solid infrastructure, and enough talent to compete. They just need to find that secret sauce that turns potential into production.

**\*\*The Verdict\*\***

The Vikings are the ultimate "what if" franchise. What if they figured out their identity? What if they got consistent QB play? What if their defense showed up when it mattered? Until they answer these questions, they'll keep serving us premium mid content with occasional flashes of brilliance.

As we say: "Whāia te iti kahurangi" – pursue excellence. The Vikings got all the pieces scattered around like a 1000-piece puzzle. Time to see if they can actually put it together.

**\*Arohanui, whānau. Keep your heads up and your expectations realistic.\***

**\*\*— Tommy\*\***

# Beyond the Paper Trail: Why Modern Treaty Developments Demand Indigenous Legal Strategy

The landscape of treaty law is shifting beneath our feet, and Indigenous nations need to understand what this means for our sovereignty, our resources, and our future. Recent federal court decisions and legislative developments aren't just academic exercises—they're determining whether our treaty rights will be honored as the supreme law of the land or whittled away by bureaucratic interpretation.

Let's be clear about what we're dealing with. Treaties aren't contracts between equals that got signed and filed away in some dusty archive. They're living documents that represent nation-to-nation agreements, and they carry the full weight of federal law under the Constitution's Supremacy Clause. When courts interpret these treaties today, they're not just making legal determinations—they're deciding whether the United States will honor its foundational commitments to Indigenous peoples.

The recent Supreme Court decision in *\*Oklahoma v. Castro-Huerta\** should have every tribal attorney and leader paying attention. By allowing states to prosecute non-Indians for crimes against Indians in Indian Country, the Court didn't just create a jurisdictional mess—it fundamentally undermined tribal sovereignty. This isn't about criminal law procedure; it's about whether states can insert themselves into tribal territories despite clear treaty language establishing exclusive federal-tribal relationships.

What makes this particularly troubling is how the majority opinion treated tribal sovereignty as something that exists at the sufferance of states, rather than as an inherent attribute of nationhood that predates the Constitution itself. Justice Gorsuch's dissent got it right when he pointed out that this decision "reduces Tribes to little more than private organizations—akin to country clubs or homeowner associations—empowered to exclude non-members from their property."

But here's where strategic thinking becomes crucial. While *\*Castro-Huerta\** represents a setback, it also clarifies the battlefield. The Court's willingness to subordinate tribal sovereignty to state interests tells us

that we can't rely on federal courts to protect treaty rights through benevolent interpretation. We need to develop legal strategies that don't depend on judicial sympathy.

This means getting serious about treaty implementation on our own terms. Instead of waiting for federal agencies to fulfill their trust responsibilities, we need to be proactive about asserting treaty rights in ways that create facts on the ground. When treaty language guarantees hunting and fishing rights, we exercise those rights and defend them vigorously. When treaties establish exclusive jurisdiction, we build the governmental infrastructure to exercise that jurisdiction effectively.

The Biden administration's approach to consultation and co-management offers some opportunities, but we need to be strategic about how we engage. True government-to-government consultation isn't about being invited to comment on decisions that have already been made. It's about being recognized as co-sovereigns with decision-making authority over our territories and resources.

Take the recent developments around the Columbia River Treaty negotiations between the United States and Canada. For decades, these discussions proceeded without meaningful tribal involvement, despite the fact that the original treaty devastated salmon runs that Indigenous peoples had depended on for millennia. Now, with tribal nations asserting their treaty-reserved fishing rights and demanding a seat at the table, the entire framework is being reconsidered.

This is what effective treaty advocacy looks like: combining legal expertise with strategic political pressure and clear articulation of Indigenous interests. It's not enough to point out that our rights are being violated. We need to present concrete proposals for how those rights should be implemented and enforced.

The challenge is that treaty law exists within a broader system of federal Indian law that was largely constructed to manage the dispossession of Indigenous peoples, not to protect Indigenous sovereignty. The doctrines of discovery, plenary power, and trust responsibility all carry the DNA of colonialism, even when they're invoked in support of tribal interests.

This is why we need Indigenous legal theory that goes beyond working within existing frameworks. We need to articulate visions of treaty relationships that center Indigenous law and governance systems, not just federal recognition of limited sovereignty within American legal structures.

The path forward requires building legal and political capacity within our own nations while engaging strategically with federal and state systems. Recent treaty developments remind us that our rights exist not because they're granted by federal law, but because they're reserved by our ancestors' agreements with the United States.

That distinction isn't just legal theory—it's the foundation for asserting genuine self-determination in a system designed to deny it. Treaties matter because they represent our ancestors' diplomatic achievements. Making them work requires the same strategic thinking and political courage today.