

# There Is No Largest Prime Number

Euclid of Alexandria [euclid@alexandria.edu](mailto:euclid@alexandria.edu)

27th International Symposium of Prime Numbers

# There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

## Theorem

*There is no largest prime number.*

1. Suppose  $p$  were the largest prime number.
- 2.
- 3.
4. But  $q + 1$  is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first  $p$  numbers.

# There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

## Theorem

*There is no largest prime number.*

1. Suppose  $p$  were the largest prime number.
2. Let  $q$  be the product of the first  $p$  numbers.
3.  $q + 1$  is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first  $p$  numbers.
4. But  $q + 1$  is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first  $p$  numbers.

# There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

## Theorem

*There is no largest prime number.*

1. Suppose  $p$  were the largest prime number.
2. Let  $q$  be the product of the first  $p$  numbers.
3. Then  $q + 1$  is not divisible by any of them.
4. But  $q + 1$  is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first  $p$  numbers.

# A longer title

▶ one

▶ two