### Abstract

Some exercises from http://brendanfong.com/programmingcats\_files/ps1.pdf

#### Exercise 2

**a)**  $Ob(\mathcal{C}) = 1, 2$ ; The sets of morphisms:  $\mathcal{C}(1,1), \mathcal{C}(1,2), \mathcal{C}(2,1), \mathcal{C}(2,2)$ . The composition rule  $f \circ id_1 = id_2 \circ f : 1 \to 2$ . The identity morphisms:  $id_1$  and  $id_2$ .

**b)**Unit:  $f \circ id_1 = f$  and  $id_2 \circ f = f$ . Associative:  $f \circ id_1 = id_2 \circ f$ .

### Exercise 3

If there are no identity morphisms  $id_c$  and  $id_d$ , then we can't affirm an isomorphism with  $g = f^{-1}$ , given that we can't prove  $g \circ f = id_c$  and  $f \circ g = id_d$ ?

# Exercise 4

a) Following associative, but not unity law:

$$1 \stackrel{f}{\underset{q}{\longleftrightarrow}} 2$$

Here if  $h = f \circ g$ , we have  $(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h) = f$ , but since there aren't any identity morphisms unity is not followed.

**b)**Following unit, but not associative law: Can build free categories where each object has an identity morphism?

## Exercise 5

- a) The carrier set is  $\mathbb{N}$ , operation or function is + and the identity element 0. Unity is followed as any  $n \in \mathbb{N} = n$ , when e\*n or n\*e, given that n+0=n. Associativity follows given that addition is commutative.
- b) Here  $\text{List}_{\{0,1\}}$  is the carrier set, concatenation the operation, and empty string [] the identity. Unity is followed given that concatenating an empty string to an initial string s will output the s. Associativity isn't followed? Order of concatenation matters?

c) This is because a monoid is a category that only has one homset. Consider the  $\mathbb{N}$  carrier set in a). All morphisms in this monoid are of the type  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ , with the corresponding monoid operation and identity element. This is the case for any monoid independent of the triple  $(M, e, \diamond)$ .

### Exercise 6

- a)  $id_{12} = 1$  given that for x \* 12 = 12 only x = 1 suffices.
- **b)** If  $x:a\to b$  and  $y:b\to c$  then  $y\circ x:a\to c$  implies that if b is divisible by a and c is divisible by b, then c is divisible by a, which follows given that division is transitive.
- c) If  $\mathcal{P}$  would be given by all  $\mathbb{N}$ , then the previous arguments wouldn't work because division by 0 is undefined.