

Vegetation Cover Change Detection via NDVI Trend Analysis

Methodology

Gabriele Pizzi

December 2025

1 Abstract

This document details the scientific methodology for detecting multi-decadal vegetation cover change (1985–2025) using harmonized Landsat time series. The classification system employs NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) thresholds derived from USGS standards and linear trend analysis parameters adapted from peer-reviewed literature (e.g., Peng & Gong, 2025).

2 Introduction

Vegetation monitoring requires consistent definition of land cover states. This methodology implements a standardized taxonomy based on biophysical thresholds to classify vegetation density and change dynamics over a 40-year period.

2.1 Applicability Scope

This methodology is calibrated for temperate and Mediterranean ecosystems. Users should note that optimal NDVI thresholds vary by latitude and biome (Pettorelli et al., 2005).

3 Data Processing

3.1 Satellite Imagery

Collection 2, Level-2 Surface Reflectance data from USGS:

- Landsat 5 TM (1984–2012)
- Landsat 7 ETM+ (1999–2025)
- Landsat 8 OLI (2013–2025)
- Landsat 9 OLI-2 (2021–2025)

3.2 Harmonization

3.3 Spectral Harmonization

Landsat 8/9 OLI spectral response differs from Landsat 5/7 TM/ETM+. Following Roy et al. (2016), band values are harmonized to ensure temporal consistency using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression coefficients.

Table 1: OLS Transformation Coefficients (Landsat 8 to 7 equivalent)

Band	Slope (m)	Intercept (c)
Red	0.9785	−0.0095
Near-Infrared (NIR)	0.9548	+0.0068

Harmonization Protocol:

- **Landsat 5 & 7:** Treated as spectrally compatible (no transformation).
- **Landsat 8 & 9:** Transformed using $L7_{eq} = m \cdot L8 + c$.

This correction reduces cross-sensor discontinuities that could otherwise be misinterpreted as vegetation trends.

4 NDVI Classification Thresholds

The core classification relies on absolute NDVI values to define vegetation states.

4.1 Threshold Verification

The selected thresholds align with U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) standards for Remote Sensing Phenology:

Table 2: NDVI Classification Thresholds

Class	NDVI Range	Standard Interpretation
Dense Canopy	≥ 0.6	Dense forest, healthy vegetation
Transitional	0.4 – 0.6	Open woodland, shrubland
Sparse	0.2 – 0.4	Grassland, senescing crops
Bare	< 0.2	Soil, rock, snow, water

4.1.1 Dense Canopy (≥ 0.6)

The USGS explicitly states that “dense vegetation such as that found in temperate and tropical forests... typically exhibits NDVI values of approximately 0.6 to 0.9” (USGS, 2025). This threshold is the primary basis for defining the “Dense Canopy” class.

4.1.2 Sparse Vegetation (0.2 – 0.4)

USGS defines “shrub and grassland” as typically falling between 0.2 and 0.5 (USGS, 2025). This methodology uses 0.2–0.4 for sparse and 0.4–0.6 for transitional to segment this broad range.

5 Trend Analysis

5.1 Linear Trend Computation

Trends are calculated using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression on annual summer median composites.

$$\beta = \frac{n \sum xy - \sum x \sum y}{n \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2}$$

Where β is the slope (change in NDVI per year) and n is 40 years.

5.2 Trend Significance Thresholds

To separate natural variability from significant change, a slope threshold of ± 0.005 NDVI/year is applied.

Table 3: Trend Significance Thresholds

Trend Class	Slope Threshold
Gaining	$> +0.005/\text{yr}$
Stable	$\pm 0.005/\text{yr}$
Losing	$< -0.005/\text{yr}$

5.2.1 Scientific Basis

This threshold is derived from Peng & Gong (2025), whose analysis of spatiotemporal NDVI changes classified slopes between 0.005 and 0.016 as “moderate improvement” and defined the stable range as -0.007 to 0.005 . This provides a peer-reviewed basis for the cutoff.

6 Classification Taxonomy

The system intersects absolute state (NDVI) with directional trend (Slope) to produce 9 mutually exclusive classes:

6.1 Trend-Driven Classes ($> \pm 0.005/\text{yr}$)

Table 4: Trend-Driven Classification Matrix

Class	Definition	Transition Logic
Canopy Establishment	Sparse/Bare \rightarrow Dense	Crossed 0.6 threshold
Emerging Biomass	Sparse \rightarrow Trans (+Gain)	Early recovery phase
Canopy Thickening	Trans \rightarrow Dense (+Gain)	Maturation phase
Canopy Densification	Dense \rightarrow Dense (+Gain)	Increasing biomass
Canopy Loss	Dense \rightarrow Sparse/Bare	State collapse
Canopy Thinning	Dense \rightarrow Trans (-Loss)	Gradual degradation

6.2 Stable/Edge Classes ($< \pm 0.005/\text{yr}$)

Captures slow transitions often found at forest ecotones:

- **Edge Expansion:** Sparse \rightarrow Trans (Stable)
- **Edge Colonization:** Trans \rightarrow Dense (Stable)
- **Edge Retreat:** Dense \rightarrow Trans (Stable)

7 Limitations & Caveats

Users must acknowledge the following limitations when interpreting results:

1. **Threshold Universality:** While the 0.6 threshold is standard for temperate/tropical forests (USGS, 2025), boreal or dryland forests may require lower thresholds (e.g., 0.5).

2. **Linearity Assumption:** Vegetation recovery often follows a sigmoid curve. Linear regression provides an average rate but may underestimate rapid recovery phases.
3. **Sensor Homogeneity:** Despite harmonization (Roy et al., 2016), minor spectral differences between Landsat generations may influence trend calculations in subtle ways.

8 Code Availability

The complete source code, including the Google Earth Engine script and documentation, is available at:

<https://github.com/gbrlpzz/ndvi-vegetation-cover-change>

9 References

- Peng, Y., & Gong, H. (2025). Analysis of Spatiotemporal Changes in NDVI-Derived Vegetation Index and Its Influencing Factors in Kunming City (2000 to 2020). *Forests*, 16(12), 1781. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f16121781>
- Pettorelli, N., Vik, J. O., Mysterud, A., Gaillard, J. M., Tucker, C. J., & Stenseth, N. C. (2005). Using the satellite-derived NDVI to assess ecological responses to environmental change. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 20(9), 503–510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.05.011>
- Roy, D. P., Kovalsky, V., Zhang, H. K., Vermote, E. F., Yan, L., Kumar, S. S., & Egorov, A. (2016). Characterization of Landsat-7 to Landsat-8 reflective wavelength and normalized difference vegetation index continuity. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 185, 57–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.12.024>
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). (2025). *NDVI, the Foundation for Remote Sensing Phenology*. Retrieved from <https://www.usgs.gov/special-topics/remote-sensing-phenology/science/ndvi-foundation-remote-sensing-phenology>