# CS1632, Lecture 9: Unit Testing, part 2

Wonsun Ahn

#### How would you Unit Test this Method?

```
public class Example {
    public static int doubleMe(int x) {
       return x * 2;
    }
}
```

#### Perhaps something like this...

```
@Test
public void zeroTest()
   assertEquals (Example.doubleMe(0), 0);
@Test
public void positiveTest()
   assertEquals (Example.doubleMe(10), 20);
@Test
public void negativeTest()
   assertEquals (Example.doubleMe(-4), -8);
```

#### OK, how about this?

```
public class DoALot {
   public void quackALot(Duck duck, int num) {
     for (int j=0; j < num; j++) {
        duck.quack();
     }
   }
}</pre>
```

- 1. There is no return value! What should we test then?!
  - Test the behavior: somehow test quack () is called num times
- 2. We are testing Duck class along with DoALot class. How do we avoid this?
  - Duck may not even be implemented yet
  - Even if it were, we don't want to test Duck code --- we want tests localized
  - Use a "body double" for Duck that fakes a real duck

### Advance Unit Testing Techniques

- Removing Class Dependencies
  - Test Doubles
  - -Stubs
- Behavior Verification
  - Mocks

### Advance Unit Testing Techniques

- Removing Class Dependencies
  - Test Doubles
  - -Stubs
- Behavior Verification
  - Mocks

#### Test Doubles

- "Fake" objects used in place of real objects to test the target class method
  - These are objects of external classes that the target class method references (e.g. Method parameters, member variables, etc.)
- Goal: To *not execute code* in the real object as part of the test
  - Means target class can be tested without external classes having been implemented
  - Means if a defect is found, it is localized to within the target class
  - Means any changes in external classes will not impact the test
- Caveat: Double should appear like the real thing to target class
  - Even if double does not execute code in the external class
  - Double should emulate the real object in some state according to the test scenario

#### Test Double Examples

- 1. Doubled database object: for testing without a DB installation
  - Double doesn't actually connect to a database
  - Double returns pre-determined database entries for testing
- 2. Doubled file object: for emulating failures hard to do with a real file
  - Double doesn't actually read a file from the hard disk
  - Double emulates file read failures that are hard to trigger in a real hard disk
- 3. Doubled RandomNumberGenerator: for reproducible testing
  - Double doesn't actually generate random numbers
  - Double returns pre-determined numbers to make SW deterministic

### Double External Classes (NOT the Tested Class)

Double objects of external classes that the tested class depends on

- Don't double the tested class!
  - If you double it, you would be testing the faked object and not the real one
  - Defeats the entire purpose of doing the test

#### JUnit Example without Test Double

```
public class LinkedListUnitTest {
    @Test
    public void testDeleteFrontOneItem() {
        LinkedList<Integer> ll = new LinkedList<Integer>();
        ll.addToFront(new Node<Integer>);
        ll.deleteFront();
        assertEquals(ll.getFront(), null);
    }
}
```

- We want to test LinkedList; we don't want to test Node
- But a defect in Node may cause test to fail → not a true unit test!

#### JUnit Example with Test Double

```
public class LinkedListUnitTest {
    @Test
    public void testDeleteFrontOneItem() {
        LinkedList<Integer> ll = new LinkedList<Integer>();
        ll.addToFront(Mockito.mock(Node.class));
        ll.deleteFront();
        assertEquals(ll.getFront(), null);
    }
}
```

- Test double Node with Mockito.mock API
- Do not double LinkedList because that is the target class for testing

### What does Mockito.mock(Node.class) create?

```
new Node
  Member variables
Node nextNode;
int data;
  Member methods
void setData(int d) {
   data = d;
int getData() {
   return data;
```

```
Mockito.mock(Node.class)
   Member variables
 // NONE!
 // Empty methods (stubs)
 void setData(int d) {
 int getData() {
    return 0;
```

### Advance Unit Testing Techniques

- Removing Class Dependencies
  - -Test Doubles
  - -Stubs
- Behavior Verification
  - Mocks

#### Stubs

Test doubles are "fake objects"

• Stubs are "fake methods" for the "fake objects"

#### Test Doubles are Born with Default Stubs

```
Mockito.mock(Node.class)
    Member variables
    NONE!
   Default stubs
 void setData(int d) {
 int getData() {
    return 0;
```

- Default stubs are empty methods
  - Return type void: Does nothing
  - Return type int: returns 0
  - Return type boolean: returns false
  - Return type Object: returns null
  - Code of original class is never executed
- You can modify these default stubs
  - Modified stubs will still be empty
  - But can have it return a different value
     (E.g. have it return 1 instead of 0)
  - Make double behave the way you want it

#### Stub Example

```
public class DoALot {
    public int quackALot(Duck duck, int num) {
        int numQuacks = 0;
        for (int j=0; j < num; j++) {
            numQuacks += duck.quack();
        }
        return numQuacks;
    }
}</pre>
```

- First, mock Duck to localize test to DoALot
- Then, stub duck.quack() to make it return the desired value

### Stubbing quack() to Return 1

```
public class TestDoALot {
   @Test
   public void testQuackALot100() {
      DoALot doALot = new DoALot();
      Duck mockDuck = mock(Duck.class);
      when (mockDuck.quack()).thenReturn(1);
      int val = doALot.quackALot(mockDuck, 100);
      assertEquals(val, 100);
```

• Made the mocked Duck quack once per quack () by stubbing it

### Stubbing quack() to Return 2

```
public class TestDoALot {
   @Test
   public void testQuackALot100() {
      DoALot doALot = new DoALot();
      Duck mockDuck = mock(Duck.class);
      when (mockDuck.quack()).thenReturn(2);
      int val = doALot.quackALot(mockDuck, 100);
      assertEquals(val, 200);
```

See if quackALot still works well when quack() returns 2

#### Stubs Enables Control of Mocked Objects

- Remember, mocked objects have no state; trick is to appear to have state
- Given the following class:

```
public class Node {
  private int data;
  public void setData(int d) { data = d; }
  public int getData() { return data; }
  public int getDouble() { return data*2; }
}
```

- Which methods would you stub to have Node appear to have 10 as data?
- Hint: Not setData (int d). Since it doesn't have return value, cannot stub!

### Stub "get" Methods to Emulate Real Objects

```
Real Object
// Member variables
int data;
// Member methods
void setData(int d) {
   data = d;
int getData() {
   return data;
int getDouble() {
   return data*2;
```

```
Mocked Object (for when data == 10)
         Member variables
       // NONE!
       // Member methods
      void setData(T d) {
         // Cannot stub
      int getData() {
         return 10; // Stubbed
      int getDouble() {
         return 20; // Stubbed
```

### Stub "get" Methods to Emulate Real Objects

```
Real Object
// Member variables
int data;
// Member methods
void setData(int d) {
   data = d;
int getData() {
   return data;
int getDouble() {
   return data*2;
```

```
Mocked Object (for when data == 20)
         Member variables
       // NONE!
      // Member methods
      void setData(T d) {
         // Cannot stub
      int getData() {
         return 20; // Stubbed
      int getDouble() {
         return 40; // Stubbed
```

#### Incorrect Stubbing Results in Incorrect Object

```
Real Object
// Member variables
int data;
// Member methods
void setData(int d) {
   data = d;
int getData() {
   return data;
int getDouble() {
   return data*2;
```

```
Mocked Object (stubbed incorrectly)
```

```
Member variables
  NONE!
// Member methods
void setData(T d) {
   // Cannot stub
int getData() {
   return 2; // data==2?
int getDouble() {
   return 2; // data==1?
```

### What if Behavior is Too Complex to Stub?

```
public class Duck {
 private boolean alive = true;
 public void shoot(int distance) {
    boolean hit = ...; // complex trajectory calculation
    if ( hit ) alive = false;
 public String toString() {
    return alive ? "alive!" : "dead!";
public HuntingTrip {
 public String hunt (Duck duck, int distance) { // Tested method
    String ret = duck.toString()); // duck.toString() returns "alive!"
                         // duck.alive == false in test case
    duck.shoot(distance);
    return ret + duck.toString()); // duck.toString() returns "dead!"
```

• We want to mock duck. But impossible to stub duck.toString() to emulate real duck.

### Attempt to Stub with "alive!" (Fail)

```
@Test
public void testShootDuck10Yards() {
  HuntingTrip trip = new HuntingTrip();
  // Create a mock Duck and stub
  Duck mockDuck = Mockito.mock(Duck.class);
  when (mockDuck.toString()).thenReturn("alive!");
  // Duck should be hit since it's only 10 yards away
  String ret = trip.hunt(mockDuck, 10);
  // Expected behavior is "alive!dead!"
  assertEquals("alive!dead!", ret);
 Fail because ret == "alive!alive!" at the end of execution
```

24

### Attempt to Stub with "dead!" (Fail)

```
@Test
public void testShootDuck10Yards() {
  HuntingTrip trip = new HuntingTrip();
  // Create a mock Duck and stub
  Duck mockDuck = Mockito.mock(Duck.class);
  when (mockDuck.toString()).thenReturn("dead!");
  // Duck should be hit since it's only 10 yards away
  String ret = trip.hunt(mockDuck, 10);
  // Expected behavior is "alive!dead!"
  assertEquals("alive!dead!", ret);
```

- Fail because ret == "dead!dead!" at the end of execution
- Unable to stub because object state must change in the middle of the test

#### Create a "Fake" Instead

```
@Test
public void testShootDuck10Yards() {
   HuntingTrip trip = new HuntingTrip();
   // Create a "fake" Duck that is near us
  Duck fakeDuck = new FakeDuckNear();
   // Duck should be hit since it's only 10 yards away
   String ret = trip.hunt(fakeDuck, 10);
   assertEquals("alive!dead!", ret);
// A simplified Duck with no complex trajectory calculation
public class FakeDuckNear extends Duck {
  // private boolean alive; inherited from Duck
  // Always hits, emulating a Duck that is close by
 public boolean shoot(int distance) { alive = false; }
```

• Fake: a test double that is a simplified version of the original object

#### Create a Different Fake for a Different Scenario

```
@Test
public void testShootDuck1000Yards() {
   HuntingTrip trip = new HuntingTrip();
   // Create a "fake" Duck that is far away from us
  Duck fakeDuck = new FakeDuckFar();
   // Duck should not be hit since it's a 1000 yards away
   String ret = trip.hunt(fakeDuck, 1000);
   assertEquals("alive!alive!", ret);
// A simplified Duck with no complex trajectory calculation
public class FakeDuckFar extends Duck {
  // private boolean alive; inherited from Duck
  // Always misses, emulating a Duck that is far away
 public boolean shoot(int distance) { alive = true; }
```

Yes, we could have used a mocked Duck for this test case. But you get the point.

### Advance Unit Testing Techniques

- Removing Class Dependencies
  - -Test Doubles
  - -Stubs
- Behavior Verification
  - Mocks

### Original Problematic Example

```
public class DoALot {
  public void quackAlot(Duck duck, int num) {
    for (int j=0; j < num; j++) {
       duck.quack();
    }
  }
}</pre>
```

- 1. There is no return value! What should we test then?!
  - ■ Test the behavior: somehow test quack() is called num times
- 2. We are testing Duck along with DoALot. How do we avoid this?

#### **Behavior Verification**

- No relation to "verification" in "verification and validation"
- State Verification vs. Behavior Verification
  - State Verification: Tests the state of the program
    - Whether state changes correctly as a result of method call(s)
    - Done through assertions on postconditions (what we've done so far)
  - Behavior Verification: Tests the behavior of code
    - Whether certain methods have been called a certain number of times
    - Whether methods have been called with the correct parameters
    - Done through verify in Mockito

#### Why do Behavior Verification?

- In the end, we are interested in *state* not *behavior* 
  - Whether method returns correct value  $\rightarrow$  state verification
  - Whether method updates heap object correctly → state verification
  - Whether method outputs correct message → state verification
  - We are less interested in what methods were called internally
- Given a choice, always do state over behavior verification
- But what if you need to verify state within a mocked object?
  - Remember, mocked objects don't have any state to begin with!
  - This is when you need to do behavior verification

#### When State Verification does not Work

```
public class Duck {
 private int quacks = 0;
 public void quack() { quacks++; }
 public int getQuacks() { return quacks; }
public class DoALot {
 public void quackAlot(Duck duck, int num) {
    for (int j=0; j < num; j++) {
       duck.quack(); // doesn't do duck.quacks++ (it's a mocked object)
@Test public void testQuackAlot() {
  DoALot doALot = new DoALot();
  Duck mockDuck = Mockito.mock(Duck.class);
  int quack = doALot.quackAlot(mockDuck, 5);
  assertEquals(5, mockDuck.getQuacks(); // returns 0, stub for getQuacks()
```

#### Behavior Verification to the Rescue!

```
@Test public void testQuackAlot() {
    DoALot doALot = new DoALot();
    // Make a mocked Duck, stub quack()
    Duck mockDuck = mock(Duck.class);
    // Call quackAlot, which calls mockDuck.quack() 5 times
    doALot.quackAlot(mockDuck, 5);
    // Verifies quack called 5 times on mockDuck
    Mockito.verify(mockDuck, times(5)).quack();
    // Note no assertions! Assertions built in to verify.
}
```

- Mockito.verify does not directly check that mockDuck has the correct state
- But, had a real duck with correct code been used, it would have the correct state

#### Mock

Mock: A test double which uses behavior verification

- Many frameworks uses the same API for doubles and mocks
  - Mockito.mock is used to create both doubles and mocks
  - If mocked object later uses behavior verification, it's a mock
  - If object happens to not use behavior verification, it's a double
- But technically, a mock is a specific kind of test double.

### More JUnit / Mockito Examples

- sample\_code/ junit\_example/LinkedListUnitTest.java
  - Replica of LinkedListTest.java we saw in Part 1 but using Mockito
  - LinkedListTest: not a true unit test due to testing Node alongside LinkedList
  - LinkedListUnitTest: true unit test that mocks all Node instances
- Above uses Mockito.verify to check method call parameters
  - That Node methods are called properly from LinkedList
  - ll.addToFront(existingNode);
    ll.addToFront(testNode);
    Mockito.verify(testNode).setNext(existingNode);

## **Unit Testing Summary**

#### What does a Good Unit Test Look Like?

- Reproducible on every run
- Independent of other tests
- Is localized (tests only the unit)
- Tests one test case at a time

## Good Unit Test: Reproducible on Every Run

- Tests should either always pass or always fail. Otherwise:
  - When a test fails, it may be hard to reproduce the defect for debugging
  - When a test passes, there is no guarantee defect will not resurface later
- That means ...
  - All preconditions must be precise and complete
  - There can be no random factor in the execution steps
    - No randomness in the test itself (e.g. testing a random input value)
    - No randomness internal to the program (e.g. game with a die roll)
- How do we remove randomness internal to the program?!
  - Don't worry, we will learn when we talk about Writing Testable Code ☺

## Good Unit Test: Independent of Other Tests

- Tests should not depend on other tests to run. Why?
  - We may choose to run a subset of tests in a test suite
  - We may choose to run tests in a different order (e.g. in parallel)
  - That other test may fail (which will cause this test to fail also)

We learned how to create a test fixture using @Before, @After

## Good Unit Test: Localized (Tests only the unit)

• In other words, mock external classes and stub the methods

We talked enough about this so no need to belabor

## Good Unit Test: Tests one thing at a time

- Do not test different test cases in a single test. Why?
  - If a test case fails (assertion fires), remaining test cases aren't tested
  - On test failure, hard to tell which test case failed

- Means you should try to call only one method from test
  - The one that you are testing
  - Except when you need to call other methods to set up preconditions
  - Except when you need to call other methods to check postconditions

#### JUnit is not the only unit test framework out there!

- Not even for Java!
- But xUnit frameworks are common and easy to understand
  - C++: CPPunit
  - JavaScript: JSUnit
  - PHP: PHPUnit
  - Python: PyUnit
- Ideas should apply to other testing frameworks easily

### Unit Testing != System Testing

- The manual tests that you've done for Exercise 1 is a system test
  - Checks that the whole system works
- The automated tests that you will do for Exercise 2 are unit tests
  - Checks that each unit of functionality individually works
- A proper testing process includes both
  - Unit tests to detect local errors within units of code
  - System tests to check that all pieces of code work together correctly

### My advice

- Try to add tests as soon as possible.
  - Ideally, write tests before coding
  - Will cover in our next chapter "Test Driven Development".
- Develop in a way to make it easy for others to test.
  - E.g. if you create an external object inside a method, much harder to mock
    public class RentACat {
     public void addCat() {
     Cat cat = new Cat(1, "cat"); // How can we mock this?
     list.add(cat);
     }
    }

Will cover in our next, next chapter "Writing Testable Code"

### Now Please Read Textbook Chapter 14

 In addition, look at code using Mockito in our JUnit example: sample\_code/junit\_example/LinkedListUnitTest.java

Mockito User Manual:

https://javadoc.io/static/org.mockito/mockito-core/3.2.4/org/mockito/Mockito.html