

CS1632, Lecture 11: Writing Testable Code

Wonsun Ahn

# Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

# Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

#### Segment Code

Methods should perform one well-defined functionality

```
// Bad. You are trying to do two things at the same time
public int getNumMonkeysAndSetDatabase(Database d) {
  database = (d != null) ? d : DEFAULT_DATABASE;
  setDefaultDatabase(database);
  int numMonkeys = monkeyList.size();
  return numMonkeys;
}
```

Why?

#### Refactor

```
// Good. Performs one thing: setting the database
public void setDatabase(Database d) {
  database = (d != null) ? d : DEFAULT DATABASE;
  setDefaultDatabase(database);
// Good. Performs one thing: getting the number of monkeys
public int getNumMonkeys() {
  int numMonkeys = monkeyList.size();
  return numMonkeys;
   More modular: better reusability, better readability, better maintainability, ...
```

But also more testable: getNumMonkeys () no longer depends on Database d

5

# Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

## DRY - Don't Repeat Yourself

Don't copy and paste code

Don't have multiple methods with similar functionality

## What's so Bad about Repeating Yourself?

- Leads not only to a bloated code base
  - Twice the amount of code to maintain
  - Twice the room for error

- But also less testable code
  - Twice the amount of testing you need to do
  - When a defect is found, bug fix must be replicated in all copies of code
    - → Easy to forget a few, or apply the fix in a wrong way in a particular copy

- For duplicate code, create a new method and call that instead:
  - Suppose you had the below two copies of code that are functionally identical:

```
// Copy 1 somewhere in source code
String name = db.where("user id = " + id).get names()[0];
// Copy 2 somewhere else in source code
String name = db.find(id).get names().first();

    DRY up the code by adding a new method getName

String getName (Database db, int id) {
  // Enhancing this code will impact all calls
  return db.find(id).get names().first();
// Copy 1 somewhere in source code
String name = getName(db, id);
// Copy 2 somewhere else in source code
String name = getName(db, id);
```

• For two (or more) similar methods, merge them into one:

```
• Suppose you had two methods insertMonkey and addMonkey similar in functionality:
public void insertMonkey(Monkey m) {
 animalList.add(m);
public int addMonkey(Monkey m) {
 animalList.add(m);
 return animalList.count();
int count = addMonkey(new Monkey()); // A use of addMonkey

    DRY up the code by merging the two methods into addMonkey

public int addMonkey(Monkey m) {
 animalList.add(m);
  return animalList.count();
addMonkey(new Monkey());
                                    // Changed to use addMonkey
int count = addMonkey(new Monkey()); // A use of addMonkey
```

- What if two codes are functionally similar only with different types?
  - Happens frequently with object-oriented languages like Java or C++
- Make use of polymorphism
  - a.k.a. subclassing, subtyping, inheritance
  - Using a variable that can take on different types at runtime

#### Bad: Replicated code only with different types

```
private ArrayList<Animal> animalList;
public int addMonkey(Monkey m) {
  animalList.add(m);
  return animalList.count();
public int addGiraffe(Giraffe g) {
  animalList.add(g);
  return animalList.count();
public int addRabbit(Rabbit r) {
  animalList.add(r);
  return animalList.count();
```

#### Refactor Using Polymorphism

```
// Animal is superclass of Giraffe, Monkey, Rabbit
private ArrayList<Animal> animalList;
public int addAnimal (Animal a) {
  animalList.add(a);
  return animalList.count();
```

- What if two codes are functionally similar only with different types?
  - Happens frequently with object-oriented languages like Java or C++
- Make use of polymorphism
  - a.k.a. subclassing, subtyping, inheritance
  - Using a variable that can take on different types at runtime
- Make use of *generic* classes and methods
  - Classes and methods that have parameterized types
  - E.g. Java ArrayList<Integer> is parameterized by type Integer
  - Language implementations: Java generics, C++ templates, ...

#### Bad: What if there is no superclass?

```
// No superclass for List<Monkey>,List<Giraffe>,List<Rabbit>
public void addOne(List<Monkey> 1, Monkey m) {
  1.add(m);
public void addOne(List<Giraffe> l, Giraffe q) {
  1.add(g);
public void addOne(List<Rabbit> l, Rabbit b) {
  l.add(b);
```

#### Refactor Using Generics

```
// Use a generic method.
// Pass List<T> where T can be any type.
public <T> void addOne(List<T> 1, T e) {
  l.add(e);
}
```

# Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

#### No TUFs Inside TUCs

That is, no

**Test-Unfriendly Features (TUFs)** 

inside

**Test-Unfriendly Constructs (TUCs)** 

#### Test-Unfriendly Features

- Feature that you typically want to fake using stubs
  - Feature takes too long to set up to work correctly
  - Feature takes too long to test (typically involving I/O)
  - Testing feature can cause unwanted side-effects

#### Examples:

- Printing to console
- Reading/writing from a database
- Reading/writing to a filesystem
- Accessing a different program or system
- Accessing the network

#### Test-Unfriendly Constructs

- Methods that are hard to fake using stubbing or overriding
  - Stubbing: replacing a method in a mocked object using Mockito
  - Overriding: overriding a method in a "fake" class that subclasses real class

#### Examples

- Object constructors / destructors: impossible to override
- Private methods: impossible to override
- Final methods: impossible to override
- Static methods: impossible to override or to stub
   (Impossible to stub since static methods are called on classes not objects)

#### No TUFs Inside TUCs

- In other words ...
- Do not put code that you want to fake (TUFs) inside methods that are hard to fake (TUCs)

# Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

#### Make it Easy to Satisfy Preconditions

- Dependence on external data == bad for testing
- What is external data?
  - Value of global variables
  - Value extracted from a global data structure
  - Value read from a file or database
  - Basically any value that you did not pass in as arguments
  - Also colloquially known as side-effects

#### Make it Easy to Satisfy Preconditions

```
// Bad
public float getCatWeight(Cat cat) {
  int fishWeight = Fish.weight;
  // Because the cat ate the fish
  return cat.weight + fishWeight;
}
```

- Why? Fish.weight is external data not in arguments.
  - Not good code in general: dependency embedded deep in implementation (Coders may modify Fish.weight and inadvertently impact getCatWeight)
  - Not good code for testing: easy to miss these in the preconditions

#### Refactor

```
// Better
public float getCatWeight(Cat cat, int fishWeight) {
  return cat.weight + fishWeight;
}
```

- Why? Now fishWeight is explicit in the arguments.
  - Nobody is surprised when getCatWeight changes when fishWeight changes
  - Easy to test: no preconditions at all!
- All values are passed through arguments
  - Otherwise known as a pure function
  - Why functional languages are easier to test and lead to fewer defects

#### Make it Easy to Satisfy Preconditions

- We have to access external data somewhere, where do we do it?
- 1. If you pass data using arguments, you will need less external data
  - With the original getCatWeight:
    Fish.weight = 5;

```
int weight = 5;
int weight = getCatWeight(cat);
```

- With refactored getCatWeight, no more global variable Fish.weight: int weight = getCatWeight(cat, 5);
- 2. For the remaining external data
  - Segregate hard-to-test code with side-effects into a small corner
  - Keep as many methods *pure* as possible

# Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

#### Make it Easy to Reproduce

- Dependence on random data == bad for testing
  - Random data makes it impossible to reproduce result

```
// Bad
public Result playOverUnder() {
    // random throw of the dice
    int dieRoll = (new Die()).roll();
    if (dieRoll > 3) {
       return RESULT_OVER;
    }
    else {
       return RESULT_UNDER;
    }
}
```

#### Refactor

```
public Result playOverUnder(Die d) {
  int dieRoll = d.roll();
  if (dieRoll > 3) {
    return RESULT OVER;
  else {
   return RESULT UNDER;
  Why better? Now you can mock Die and stub d.roll():
  Die d = Mockito.mock(Die.class);
  Mockito.when(d.roll()).thenReturn(6);
  playOverUnder(d);
```

#### Even Better

```
public Result playOverUnder(int dieRoll) {
   if (dieRoll > 3) {
      return RESULT_OVER;
   }
   else {
      return RESULT_UNDER;
   }
}
```

• Why better? Now don't even have to mock or stub anything! playOverUnder (6);

# Key Ideas for Testable Code

- Segment code make it modular
- DRY (Don't repeat yourself)
- Move TUFs out of TUCs
- Make it easy to satisfy preconditions
- Make it easy to reproduce
- Make it easy to localize

#### Make it Easy to Localize

```
// Bad
public class House {
  private Room bedRoom;
  private Room bathRoom;
  public House() {
    bedRoom = new Room("bedRoom");
    bathRoom = new Room("bathRoom");
  public String toString() {
    return bedRoom.toString() + " " + bathRoom.toString();
  Why? No way to mock Rooms and stub Room. toString().
```

#### Refactor

```
public class House {
  private Room bedRoom;
  private Room bathRoom;
  public House(Room r1, Room r2) {
    bedRoom = r1;
    bathRoom = r2;
  public String toString() {
    return bedRoom.toString() + " " + bathRoom.toString();
  Now we can easily mock and stub:
  Room bedRoom = Mockito.mock(Room.class);
  Room bathRoom = Mockito.mock(Room.class);
  House house = new House (bedRoom, bathRoom);
```

#### Make it Easy to Localize

• This is called *dependency injection* 

- Dependency injection: Passing a dependent object as argument (Rather than building it internally)
  - Makes testing easier by allowing you to mock that object
  - Also what allowed us to mock the Die object for reproducibility
  - Has other software engineering benefits like *decoupling* the two classes (*Decoupled*: means it is easy to switch out one class for another)

# Dealing with Legacy Code



Image from https://goiabada.blog

#### Dealing With Legacy Code

- Legacy code in the real world is seldom tidy
  - Code is often written hurriedly under pressure, with no consideration for testing
  - Often there is no documentation and you aren't even sure how the code works
- Now your project manage comes along and tells you to improve the code
  - Maybe refactor it to improve its performance
  - Maybe refactor it to make it more readable
  - Maybe even add a new feature
  - Without breaking anything that worked before
- Where do you even start?
  - Need to build a testing infrastructure to ensure nothing breaks
  - Problem is, legacy code was not written to be testable

#### Start by Writing Pinning Tests

- Pinning Test: A test done to pin down existing behavior
  - Note: existing behavior may be different from expected behavior
  - Want to pin down all behavior, bugs and all, before modifying
  - Even "defective" behaviors that violate method specs may sometimes be used
    - Must make sure that even these don't get accidentally modified
    - If you modify them without modifying that use case, your software will break!
- Pinning tests are typically done using unit testing
  - Where do I look for places where I can unit test?
  - Look for seams!



- Seam in software QA:
  - Place where two code modules meet where one can be switched for another
  - Without having to modify source code
- Why are seams important for pinning tests?
  - We want to pin down the behavior of each unit in legacy code
  - Seam is a place where fake objects can be injected to localize the unit tests
- Why is it important not to modify the source code?
  - The whole point of pinning tests is to test the legacy code as is
  - If we modify code, there is a danger that we may be changing behavior

Example legacy code with no seam:

```
String read(String sql) {
   DatabaseConnection db = new DatabaseConnection();
   return db.executeSql(sql);
}
```

- Hard to unit test since we are forced to work with a real DB connection
- Example legacy code with seam:

```
String read(String sql, DatabaseConnection db) {
  return db.executeSql(sql);
}
```

**◆ Easy to unit test by passing a mock** db **and stubbing** db.executeSql

Does this really have no seam?

```
class Database
  String read(String sql) {
    DatabaseConnection db = new DatabaseConnection();
    return db.executeSql(sql);
  }
}
```

Maybe it does, if you look closely enough!

Does this really have no seam?

```
class Database
  String read(String sql) {
    DatabaseConnection db = new DatabaseConnection();
    return db.executeSql(sql);
  }
}
```

• Create a new "fake" class FakeDatabase for testing purposes

```
class FakeDatabase extends Database
  String read(String sql) {
    // Pretend we have a connection and return entry
    return "test database entry";
  }
}
```

#### Refactoring Legacy Code

- 1. Write pinning tests for the class(es) you will be refactoring
  - Make use of seams to enable unit testing
- 2. Refactor a method

3. Run pinning tests to make sure existing behavior did not change

4. Repeat Steps 2 and 3 for every method you want to refactor

#### Now Please Read Textbook Chapter 16