Peer review - Group 22

Notes

Preparedness

* Was the team prepared for the demonstration?

Yes

* Were they able to demonstrate their product? If not you will be required to discover the issues in a non-judgemental manner of why a demonstration was not possible. Expectations As the clients, you set and agreed to the general expectations for the end of the current sprint.

Yes. With some technical issues, not their fault.

* Did the team deliver the user stories agreed to from the sprint plan?

Yes. Except 1

* Do all acceptance criteria for all stories pass?

Yes. Register package feature

* How confident did the team appear that the criteria would pass?

Fairly confident, excepted issues to come up

* If some acceptance criteria didn’t pass did the team claim that the story was done or not?

No, they said it all passed.

* Were there at least some stories that passed all of their acceptance criteria?

yes

* If there were deviations from the sprint plan, did the team provide clear explanation of their reasons for deviation?

The tracking feature, didnt properly connect to main page. Fault on having different php systems

* Did the team communicate the potential for these deviations prior to the end of the sprint?

Didnt say before the presentation that it wasnt done.

However they did explain what it was meant to do.

* With respect to the product being developed, does the product developed so far deliver business value?

As a whole, the presented content made a good start at the project.

Technical

* Was the presentation made at the right level for the stakeholders?

Yes. Technically - wasnt as in depth

* Is the system architecture suitable for the product being delivered?

Yes.

* Was the developer team able to clearly articulate the system architecture and their rationale for choosing it?

Yes.

* Were there any technical difficulties in the product demonstration?

Website time out. However clear demostration of the rest of the sprint

* If so, were they able to continue to demonstrate other capabilities of the product?

Yes. However it wasnt fully functional, however it did show potential

* Were any defects in the product detected during the presentation on which feedback could be provided?

No. All looked clear and properly prepared

* Professionalism of the Presentation Was the product presentation clear and coherent? Were explanations of the product clear?

yes

* Did the product presentation appear to have been prepared in advance or did it appear to be an ad hoc presentation?

Yes. It looked like it was prepared well before hand.

* Was the demonstration confidently performed?

Yes

* If problems were encountered during the demonstration how smoothly did the team recover?

Yes. With the timeout - they presented the rest of what they had done

* Were questions confidently answered?

yes

* Did it appear as if all members of the team were involved in the project?

yes