

# Relationship between US Regions and Homocide Rates of Young Women between 1980-2014

DATA 606 Data Project Submission

Gabriel Campos

15 November 2020

```
## [1] " Attaching packages: DATA606,infer,dplyr,VennDiagram,scales,data.table,readr "
```

## Part 1 - Introduction

A primary concern regarding public safety that, impacts all of society is murder. The threat of murder negatively impacts the widely accepted fundamental human need for safety. Murder by region can impact the population psychologically, economically and can stifle a community's continued progress for a better standard of living. Gaining insight on those who are the most vulnerable and impacted by murder is necessary to forge initiatives in assisting those victimized by its threat. Like many approaches in troubleshooting or diagnosing an issue, understanding where to begin is a key first step. In order to focus this analysis, we will focus specifically on murder rates for 'women under 30' against the entire data set.

## Overview

The goals for this project are to:

- Think about the independent and dependent variables in correlation to murder rates including region, gender and age
- Compare murder rates by region, race, gender and age based on the data set
- Draw conclusions of the most at risk region for our specific population in the United States based on our findings

## Part 2 - Data

### Collection

The Murder Accountability Project is the most complete database of homicides in the United States currently available. This dataset includes murders from the FBI's Supplementary Homicide Report from 1976 to the present and Freedom of Information Act data on more than 22,000 homicides that were not reported to the Justice Department. This dataset includes the age, race, sex, ethnicity of victims and perpetrators, in addition to the relationship between the victim and perpetrator and weapon used. A victim's age is rounded down by year (e.g. toddlers 11 months old or younger qualify as 0 years old). If a victim's age cannot be determined, they will be categorized as 998 years old respectively.

*Data source: kaggle.com*

### Load Data

```
## [1] "Loading Data: database.csv "
```

```
## [1] "Subset Data: Region <- project_data %>% filter() "
```

```
## [1] "Vector Region: project_data$Region<-ifelse(State,Region1,ifelse(State,Region2,"
```

```
## [1] "Vector AgeGroup: project_data$'Victim AgeGroup'<- cut(project_data$'Victim Age',... "
```




Figure 1: US regions based on census.gov

## Cases

**Categorical Data:** Record ID, Agency Code, Agency Name, Agency Type, City, State, Incident, Crime Type, Crime Solved, Victim Sex, Victim Race, Victim Ethnicity, Perpetrator Sex, Perpetrator Race, Perpetrator Ethnicity, Relationship, Weapon, Record Source, Region

**Numerical:** Year, Month, Victim Age, Perpetrator Age, Victim Count, Perpetrator Count

**Outliers:** 974

There are **638,454** total cases in our data set, with **66,301** representing **murders** committed against **Female's under** the age of **30** throughout the United States from 1980-2014

**A break down of murders by age are as follows**

*Note : Age 998 was assigned to victims who's age could not be determined.*



*To avoid the impact it will have on our results I will remove it from our existing dataframes*

```
## count
##      0      1      2      3      4      5      6      7      8      9     10     11     12
## 8444 5525 3805 2378 1659 1194  999  915  852  834  854  911 1239
##   13   14   15   16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25
## 1897 3342 5905 9402 14030 18469 21939 23031 22796 23049 22438 21830 22939
##   26   27   28   29   30   31   32   33   34   35   36   37   38
## 20469 19465 18199 18037 18966 15762 15812 14463 14296 14314 12502 11829 11411
##   39   40   41   42   43   44   45   46   47   48   49   50   51
## 10921 11163 9594 9613 8629 7921 8157 7336 6902 6365 6149 6325 5270
##   52   53   54   55   56   57   58   59   60   61   62   63   64
##  5203 4788 4466 4246 3939 3721 3272 3184 3171 2797 2862 2519 2271
##   65   66   67   68   69   70   71   72   73   74   75   76   77
##  2418 1861 2013 1840 1663 1783 1566 1596 1390 1367 1411 1213 1135
##   78   79   80   81   82   83   84   85   86   87   88   89   90
##  1102 1098 1067  930  835  765  686  627  574  460  408  313  281
##   91   92   93   94   95   96   97   98   99  998
##   215   156   134   116    82   37   39   33 9281  974
```

```
## [1] "Non determined variables removed with the following command:"
```

```
## [1] "data.frame<-data.frame[!(dataframe$'Victim Age'==998),]"
```

The charts below show that of the murders reported, the majority of these are against men. Additionally, regardless of gender, nationally the highest murder victims age falls with Victims age 20-30.



## Part 3 - Exploratory data analysis


### National Age Average

```
## [1] "Summary of age for entire dataset"
```

```
##      Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max.
##      0.00   22.00   30.00   33.56   42.00   99.00
```

```
## [1] 17.78703
```

- Murders 1980-2014:  $N(\mu = 33.26, \sigma \approx 17.79)$
- I would like to see the probability of a murder taking place on Victim Sex  $\leq 30$
- In order to do so I can calculate  $Z = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$  Where  $x \leq 30 = -0.183249$



What's interesting here,  $\approx 42.7\%$  of all the reported murders in our data set, of victims whose age we can determine, occurs with the first 30 years of there life. Next I will compare the average age of murdered women in our dataset, by region.

## Regional Age Averages

```
## [1] "In order to compare variabilities I created vectors in our master data set using"
```



```
## [1] "project_data <- project_data %>% mutate(femW = ifelse(project_data$Region...."
```

```
## [1] "allowing for comparison of one regions variability with the remaining 3 combined"
```

The boxplots below show that with respect to age, our data is right skewed, indicating murders occurred at a higher frequency within the first three decades of our victims lives. This is supported with consistent medians, relatively close means and similar number of outliers shown. The only standouts would be the Pacific, which has slightly more scattered outliers, and the South which has the lowest percentage of murder victims below 30 ( 40% ). Based on the data, I suspect age brackets hardly impact murders among women below 30.



**Western Murders 1980-2014:  $N(\mu = 32.64, \sigma = 17.42)$**

**$Z = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$  Where  $x \leq 30 = -0.1515663$  making  $\approx 44\%$  of murder victims years  $\leq 30$**





**Midwestern Murders 1980-2014:  $N(\mu = 32.6, \sigma \approx 17.34)$**

**$Z = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$  Where  $x \leq 30 = -0.1499721$  making  $\approx 44\%$  of murder victims years  $\leq 30$**





**Northeastern Murders 1980-2014:  $N(\mu = 32.99, \sigma \approx 17.56)$**

**$Z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$  Where  $x \leq 30 = -0.1702733$  making  $\approx 43\%$  of murder victims years  $\leq 30$**





**Southern Murders 1980-2014:  $N(\mu = 35, \sigma \approx 18.32)$**

**$Z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$  Where  $x \leq 30 = -0.2728698$  making  $\approx 40\%$  of murder victims years  $\leq 30$**



**Pacific Murders 1980-2014:  $N(\mu = 33.81, \sigma \approx 17.12)$**

**$Z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$  Where  $x \leq 30 = -0.1168525$  making  $\approx 45\%$  of murder victims years  $\leq 30$**



## Average Female Murder Count by Region


Next I created a dataframe, categorizing the annual murder count of women by region for the years between 1980–2014. What I found appeared alarming at first. It seems the South has an overwhelming higher total female murder count:

	<i>Female Murder Count by Region</i>					
	West	Midwest	Northeast	South	Pacific	Total
Mean	890.2	768	623.65	1,786.25	25.37	818.6971
SD	160.84	163.9	149.09	241.81	7.23	591.7687
n	31,157	26,880	21,82	62,519	888	143,272

### Things to note regarding this data:

- (1) visually one can suspect the general size of the South region might attribute to its numbers
- (2) The South has had the steepest increase in `$Murders_{women\ under\ 30 }` in the early 90's
- (3) The South has had the steepest decline in `$Murders_{women\ under\ 30 }` in the early 90's to 2014
- (4) `$Murders_{women\ under\ 30 }` in the Pacific has been the most constant among the batch

## Annual Female Murder Count by Region



```
## [1] "Data Frame: female_only<-data.frame(Year = project_data$Year,R..."
```

```
## [1] " [female_only$Sex!='Male',] ...count(Sex,Region,Year) "
```



**Part 4 - Inference**

**Part 5 - Conclusion**

**References:**

**Homicide Reports, 1980-2014**

*Project <https://www.kaggle.com/murderaccountability/homicide-reports?select=database.csv>*

**Appendix (optional):**

Remove this section if you don't have an appendix