> mirror inversion & parity inversion are not the same! parity is defined by the inversion of all spatial coordinates,

whereas, for mimor inversion, only direction normal to the plane of the mirror is inverted (so "mimor symmetry" depends on your choice of mimor; the idea of parity is more intrinsic)

> the action of an operator, like parity TI, on any other operator O, is given by:

not by TO. so we may write for the position operator:

$$7 - = \pi^{5+}\pi$$

$$7 - = \pi^{6+}\pi$$

vectors go to minus themselves under parity, angular momentum is a pseudovector, defined as an object that behaves like a vector under rotation, but is not affected by a parity transformation. can see this.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\ddot{q} \times \ddot{7} = \ddot{7} \\
\ddot{\pi} + \ddot{\pi} = \ddot{\pi} + (\ddot{7} \times \ddot{7}) & \text{ose } \pi \pi^{+} = \mathbf{I} \\
& = (\pi \ddot{7} + \pi) \times (\pi \ddot{7} + \pi) = \\
& = (\ddot{7} -) \times (\ddot{7} -) = \\
& = + \ddot{7}
\end{array}$$

true of any type of angular momentum, including spin.

$$\overline{Z}^{+} = T \overline{Z}^{+} T$$

> MITTOR INVERSION & DOTTE INVERSION

in this problem, an experiment (A) is performed and so is a parity—inverted experiment (B), this means that the initial states (set-up) are related:

$$128(0) = \pi 128(0)$$

both experiments proceed; the relevant physics of the reaction encoded in the system's Hamiltonian and thus the effects in the time-evolution operator U(t). The helicity h of the final state is then measured, <h>>. helicity has the following property under parity:

$$h = \frac{\vec{s} \cdot \vec{q}}{|\vec{s}||\vec{q}|}$$

$$\pi^{+}h\pi = \pi^{+}\left(\frac{\vec{z}}{|\vec{s}|} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{|\vec{q}|}\right) + \pi = \pi^{+}h\pi$$

$$\frac{\vec{z}}{|\vec{q}|} + \frac{\vec{z}}{|\vec{q}|} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{|\vec{q}|} + \pi$$

$$\pi^{+}h\pi = -\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q}}{|\vec{s}||\vec{q}|} - \pi^{+}h\pi$$

$$so \pi^{+}h\pi = \pi^{+}h\pi$$

this is always true for the helicity operator, whether parity is a symmetry of the system or not.

to determine whether or not parity is violated, let's assume that it is not (so assume it is a symmetry [H,TT]=0) and use that to make a prediction about $\langle h \rangle_A \not\in \langle h \rangle_B$; we can then compare this prediction to observation.

$$\langle h \rangle_{A} = \langle \chi_{A}(t_{5})|h|\chi_{A}(t_{5}) \rangle = \langle \chi_{A}(0)|U^{\dagger}(t_{5})hU(t_{5})|\chi_{A}(0) \rangle$$
 $\langle h \rangle_{B} = \langle \chi_{B}(t_{5})|h|\chi_{B}(t_{5}) \rangle$
 $= \langle \chi_{B}(0)|U^{\dagger}(\xi_{5})hU(t_{5})|\chi_{B}(0) \rangle$
 $= \langle \chi_{A}(0)|\pi^{\dagger}U^{\dagger}(t_{5})hU(t_{5})\pi^{\dagger}\chi_{A}(0) \rangle$

if π is a symmetry, $[\pi, H] = 0$ & thus $[\pi, U] = 0$

in this problem, an experiment (A) is performed and so is a partly -inverted experiment (B), this means that the

$$\langle h \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle \mathcal{Y}_{A}(0) | \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{f}}) \pi^{\dagger} h \pi \, \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{f}}) | \mathcal{Y}_{A}(0) \rangle$$

$$\langle h \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = -\langle \mathcal{Y}_{A}(0) | \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{f}}) h \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{f}}) | \mathcal{Y}_{A}(0) \rangle$$

$$\langle h \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = -\langle \mathcal{Y}_{A}(\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{f}}) | h | \mathcal{Y}_{A}(\mathbf{t}_{\mathfrak{f}}) \rangle$$

$$\langle h \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = -\langle h \rangle_{A} \quad \text{if } [H_{1}\pi] = 0$$

so, if parity is a symmetry of this system, if experiment A observes $P \parallel S$, so $\langle h \rangle_A = +1$, B should observe $\langle h \rangle_B = -1$. however, observation tells us that $\langle h \rangle_A = \langle h \rangle_B = +1$, contradicting this result, so we must conclude that parity is violated/parity is not a symmetry of this system, $[H,TT] \neq 0$. (process involves the weak interaction).