Postulates of QM, Part I

Postubile 6"

Any geometrical symmetry transformation is represented on H by a unitary operator.

- Geometrical means that points in H are carried into other points in H

If it is to be symmetry transformation, a necessary condition is that it preserves inner products

(4/4) + (4/X), since we have assigned physical significance to these symmetry transformation operators

=> Must be unitary

- Let's first discuss general geometrical transformations then ask under what conditions they are symmetries.

- Consider first translations in space. Let Ixo>
be a state localized at x=xo:

- A translation should carry

xo

Ixo > 1xo-a) where a is a fixed displacement. We will set up this transformation so that it preserves norms. Then we write

 $U(\alpha)|_{X_0} = |_{X_0 - \alpha}$

The minus signar here is related to the exection of whether we are translating the coordinate system to the right or moving the particle to the left. Both are equivalent.

- Since the states Ixo> form a basis for the function space, this equation tells us sow U(a) acts on the whole Hilbert space.

- Another way of writing this is the following. Let x be the operator associated with measurement of position.

(Under - tilde will be used from here to distinguish operators from numbers)

- Then if we first act x on a starte of then translate, we will get a different result from

This is a statement that

the reverse.

M(a) $x \neq x$ M(a) ji.e.

Measuring position then translating

Sives different result than

translating then measuring

Checking that it works when applied to Ixo):

 $U(a) \times |x_o\rangle = x_o U(a) |x_o\rangle = x_o |x_o-a\rangle$ $x_o |x_o|$ $(x + a)U(a)(x_0) = (x + a)(x_0 - a) = (x_0 - a + a)(x_0 - a)$

In a similar way, we can represent a rotation of 0 by a unitary operator U(a)

 $U(0)|x_0\rangle = |R(-0)x_0\rangle$

(-a)X

In both cases, the unitary transformations whaten are continuously generated.

- We can also discuss discrete transformations e.g. parity $|x\rangle \rightarrow |-x\rangle$

- If U(a) is continuously generated, we saw that we can write it as

 $U(\alpha) \times A + i\alpha G + O(\alpha^2)$, G for small α , where G is Hermitian could be t, g, g, etc.

+ U(a) = e cas for finite x

- Now, under what circumstances is Ul a symmetry?

- U is a symmetry if it transforms a leg. 14(6)) solution to the equations of motion into another solution of the equations of motion, or equivalently if time evolution after applying U is the same as what would have bappened without applying U.

Mathematically

time evolve

14) 14(6)

U

U

U

Same thing? If so,

time evolve

U is a symmetry.

Consider a case not a symmetry. Ball rolling on this surface: AXI time evolution lanstormed then t AX2 < BAX, because it's rolling up the hill. => In this external potential, manslation

is not a symmetry.

-But time evolution is just a unitary operator Military e - i # of the following we know properly the following that the following soon for some general U (rotestion, howslotion, etc.) U is a symmetry if et U/4>= Ue 4/4> - Taking + small, the condition is HU/4>= UH/4> for any 14>. - In words, apply U then do a small time step, or do small time step first than apply U, + we get same result. - It's converient to define the commutator of A, B as LA,B] = AB-BA - So a symmetry is defined by [U, H]=0 U is continuously generated, we know an write

U(a)=1+ iaG+...

-Then the term of order a in the above equation is

[G, H] =0

- Conversely, if [G,H]=0, G generates a unitary transformation which is a symmetry

- Interesting! We storted talking about unitary transformations of the ended up talking about the Hermitian properties of the operators which are associated with observables. If U is a symmetry, G must have a special property. Mannely, G is a conservation law!

⁻ We will prove in two ways

¹⁾ Let 14i) be an eigenstate of G: G14i)=gil4i)

Is this still an eigenstate of G?

Consider the object

0= <4; | [G, H] |4; >

= <4; | GH - HG | 4;)= <4; | GH | 4; > - <4; | HG | 4; >

= s; <4; | # 14; > - <4; | # 1@4; > g;

= (g;-gi) (4;1H14;)

=) So either gi=gi or <4;11+14;>=0 js-- This means that H can only carry 14;> to

other states which are also eigenstates of

6 with some eigenvalue.

- In fact, it is always possible to find a basis that "simultaneously diagonalizes" both G+

H a(if [G, H)=0), such that $G(Y_i)=g_i(Y_i)$, $H(Y_i)=E_i(Y_i)$

Other property that should follow from a conservation law is that all making elements $\langle 4|G|\chi\rangle$ are independent of time.

- In nature, there are three expolvious geometrical symmetries:

Time-translation invariance (Physical laws uncharging

Whene $U(d) = e^{i \frac{\pi}{4}G}$ Here $G_t = -\frac{H}{5}$

[11]

H is associated with the conserved earntixy energy. Fundamental definition of energy.

Space traplation invariance (Physical laws unchanging

Here U(a) = 1 + iaGa in space)

Define: Ga = $\frac{1}{5}\vec{p}$. This is the fundamental definition of momentum.

Rotational invariance (Laws of physics do not prefer any arientation)

Her $U(\vec{o}) \times 1 + i \vec{o} \cdot \vec{G}_{o}$

Define $\ddot{G} = \frac{1}{5}\ddot{L}$. Fundamental definition of angular nomentum.

=> Geometrical Symmetries explain these most important conservation laws.

More on Symmetries Consider a case where a transformation a symmetry. 1) is parity inversion operator, It takes x 5-x, ys-y, 25-z, px -px, etc. Say we have a 17-even potential V4)=ax2 initial condition of classical ball of x=0 with Px = +Po X=0 (Energy all Rx=+Po knetic) (Energy all potential time evolve time evolve following both paths => 17 is a symmetry for this external Potential.

What about for a non-symmetric external potential? X=Xmax time evolve Results of two paths different => 17 is not X < - Xmax Px<-Po a symmetry here. positions of I But if we applied IT to all notons generally the

Potential, (and it the weak force is not involved), then potential would flip also & we would recover 17 symmetry.



Comment on M transformation

-In classical physics, we need to remove positions of

In QM, information about momenta a completely contained in $V(x_3^2y,Z)$. So D inversion is accomplished by simply inverting coordinates ain the position-space wave function.

- E.g. M/K. Superior with the means i(+kox-wt) (Invent only x, not ko too)

In the end,

- We to get a plane wave with reversed momentum, as expected

15

Blanding.

Further Discussion on Time-Independence (460/0/466) Consider an expectation value (OG), which is a special case of a matrix element (X(+)10(4(+)). O is independent of time. There are two ways $\langle O(t) \rangle$ can be time-independent. * Note that $\langle O \rangle$ can be NT.D. even that the 1) 4(t=0) is an eigenstate of H, arbitrary [O, H] <0(d) =<4(0) | eist 0 = it | 4(0) = e (4(0) 0 | 4(0) = ii = (O(+=0)) April Hornery MOSHI) [0,H]=0, arbitrary 4(t=0)(O(t)) = (4(0)/eift 0 = ift |4(0)) = (4(0) 0 eift eift |4(0) =<O(+=0)>

16

Example: Magnetization of Z-sph system (To look at cases of time-dep./ind. states + measurement expectation values) ら、一かっちか $M \propto S = S_1 + S_2$ H= YS, B+ YS2. B= YS, Bo+ YS22 Bo= YS2Bo eigenstak in Hane (44) (47) (44) Subspace => [H, S,2] =0 # [H,S22 =0 Can also show for any J 「ユーコーロ Prepae system in 14(+3=0) = = = (111) + (111) + (M: 14(4)) Research constant in time?

No: Horizon state orinitial state are

A) No. Horizon Constant in time?

A) No. Horizon Constant in time?

Experience of the constant of the property eignstates. 14(t))= 元eist M)+ 元版, Who St=介, 14(T))= (-111)+111) <4: 14(x) = 0

[6] Che K11/4(E) /2 const in time? (A) Yes -> prob. of Brilly en eigenstat 1 Thinking of magnetization measurement, $\langle M_{2}(t) \rangle = \langle Y(t) | M_{1} | Y(t) \rangle$ const in time? Yes, [M] = 0 Weird superposition of states w/ M=+ M=0, but average ind. of time. (Each measurement finds either Mz=0 or + No.) Now add coupling between spins: H= YS_B. + aS, Sz =35.5h=12(52/52-52) = S12S22+ 2(S+5=+ S-S2) Where 5,+1+10= 1/10 S, 111>= + WU> 5-144>=0 >> It can t does Allp etc. individual spins - but with certain rules about statetag happening together + counteracting each other

Does [H, Mz]= 0 still? Could work out from [5, 5,] etc, but can also see from coxidering M.E.S rtiBolm)+ 月117= 等111> H/11)= = [H, S, Z] +0 当かり十つ Since H connects states of different Siz (H only connects states within Edegenerate subspace No longer degenerate Sithout coupling subspace degenerale 1-1 0 0 + # ath 2 1-1 2 | in Sz (Can measure So than Exget same result

if measured in reverse)

[H, Mz] = [H] Repare system in definite

Report system in definite magnetization state at t=0. Will it remain in that state for all time?

A) No , not necessarily.

If 14(+=0) = 111>, then yes, because there
is no Sz degeneracy there. (H can
only connect states with same eigenvalue
of Sz).

Not state of definite energy

Put if 14(+=0) = 111+5 He there evolution

But if 14(t=0) = 1115, then time evolution carries us to 1115 evertually. Let's look at short times.

ひ(会) 1 · 等H

U(t) ハルンー(学等)(-ハルン+2111)

So at t=0 we have no 141) mixed in, but the amount grows with t. Cat see from small time expansion, but at some time t, 14(T) = 141.

