## Problem Set 8

## Solutions

$$\Pi 14(E) = \Pi e^{-\frac{i}{R}t} | \Psi(t=0) \rangle$$

$$= e^{-\frac{i}{R}t} \Pi | \Psi(t=0) \rangle = \pi_i e^{-\frac{i}{R}t} | \Psi(t=0) \rangle$$

= Mo 14(t)), where Mi is the initial definite parity

No, because a non-flat potential has [p,H] #0.

Proof for this case:

kinetic potential

$$[p, H] = [p, T + VG] = [p, VG]$$

=  $-i\hbar(\vec{x} V(x)) Y(x) \neq 0$  since it is an odd-parity operator.

(3) かずり=ず, かずり=戸=> かず・ずり=-s.戸 The initial state of the isolated particle detates is non-degenerate, so if [M,H]=0, it must have a definite parity, and M(t)) should have the same definite parity. In which case (\$.\$\parity\$ (0)=0 for all time

4. (a)

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & -\Delta & 0 & -\Delta & -\Delta \\ -\Delta & \epsilon & -\Delta & 0 & -\Delta \\ 0 & -\Delta & \epsilon & -\Delta & -\Delta \\ -\Delta & 0 & -\Delta & \epsilon & -\Delta \\ -\Delta & -\Delta & -\Delta & -\Delta & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

The Hamiltonian above is the same of problem 7.2 so we refer to the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of that problem's solution.

$$\Pi_{x} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

The reflection does not change site 5, so  $\Pi_x$  act as the identity in that state (eigenvalue is unity). More generally  $\Pi_x^2 = 1$  so the reflection has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ . Eigenstates are found by explicitly writing the 5-dimensional matrix equation:

$$\Pi_x |\pi\rangle = \pm |\pi\rangle \,, \tag{3}$$

and are:

$$|\pi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\pi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\-1\\-1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad |\pi_5\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

with eigenvalue +1 and:

$$|\pi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -1\\ 1\\ -1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $|\pi_4\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -1\\ -1\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  (5)

with eigenvalue -1.

 $[\Pi_x, H] = 0$  so we can expect the eigenvectors to be shared in general. However, testing the  $|\pi\rangle$  eigenvectors in the Hamiltonian will reveal off-diagonal elements. More specifically:

- i. testing those eigenvectors in the Hamiltonian (1), or
- ii. making reference to problem 7.2, or
- iii. inspecting H in the  $|\pi\rangle$  space, i.e. the matrix elements  $\langle \pi | H | \pi \rangle$ , or
- iv. stating H in  $|\pi\rangle$  space is block-diagonal,

we find  $|\pi_2\rangle, |\pi_3\rangle$  and  $|\pi_4\rangle$  to be eigenvectors of H, with eigenvalues:

$$e_2 = e_4 = \epsilon$$
 and  $e_3 = e_+ = \epsilon + 2\Delta$  (6)

The others are found diagonalizing the subspace spanned by  $|\pi_1\rangle$  and  $|\pi_5\rangle$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \pi_1 | H | \pi_1 \rangle & \langle \pi_1 | H | \pi_5 \rangle \\ \langle \pi_5 | H | \pi_1 \rangle & \langle \pi_5 | H | \pi_5 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon - 2\Delta & -2\Delta \\ -2\Delta & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

which leads to eigenvalues

$$E_{\pm} = \epsilon - (1 \mp \sqrt{5}) \Delta, \qquad (8)$$

with eigenvectors

$$|E_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10 \pm 2\sqrt{5}}} \left[ -2|\pi_1\rangle + (1 \pm \sqrt{5})|\pi_5\rangle \right].$$
 (9)

(b) The system is symetric under  $\pi/2$  rotations about site 5. This shifts every site to its counterclockwise neighbour. So, by inspection we could have:

$$R_{\pi/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

By checking that  $(R_{\pi/2})^4 = 1$  or by solving the eigenvalue problem you should find the four eigenvalues (which need not to be real) to be  $e^{\pm i\pi/2}$  and  $\pm 1$ .

Eigenvectors with eigenvalue +1 are:

$$|+1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $|+1'\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$ ; (11)

with imaginary eigenvalues we have:

$$|+i\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ -1 \\ -i \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $|-i\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ -1 \\ i \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  (12)

and finally with eigenvalue -1:

$$|-1\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -1\\ 1\\ -1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (13)

Also the eigenvectors are consistent. Within the obegeneral subspace with energy E, the  $\pi$  + R basis vectors are superpositions of one another. For instance,  $|+i\rangle = (\frac{c-1}{2}) |\pi_2\rangle + (\frac{c+1}{2}) |\pi_4\rangle$ .

The Hamiltonian is again block-diagonal on these states. By operating with H, we find:

$$H|\pm i\rangle = \epsilon |\pm i\rangle$$
 and  $H|-1\rangle = (\epsilon + 2\Delta)|-1\rangle$ . (14)

and the degenerate subspace is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|H|1\rangle & \langle 1|H|1'\rangle \\ \langle 1'|H|1\rangle & \langle 1'|H|1'\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon - 2\Delta & -2\Delta \\ -2\Delta & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$$
(15)

which is the same as (7), leading to the same eigensystem.

5. (a) You should find:

$$h = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + x^2) + \Lambda x^4 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{x^2}{2} + \Lambda x^4.$$
 (16)

If  $h\psi = e\psi$ , and if  $\Lambda$  is small enough, then the dependence of eigenvalues are on the form  $e = f(\Lambda)$ .

(b)

$$E_{try} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} + \Lambda \langle 0|x^4|0\rangle \tag{17}$$

To compute  $\langle 0|x^4|0\rangle$  you can do  $(a+a^{\dagger})^4$  by brute force or:

$$\frac{1}{4} \left[ \langle 0 | (a+a^{\dagger})^2 \right] \left[ (a+a^{\dagger})^2 | 0 \rangle \right]. \tag{18}$$

Since  $(a + a^{\dagger})^2 = a^2 + a^{\dagger 2} + 2N + 1$ , where  $N = a^{\dagger}a$  we have

$$(a+a^{\dagger})^2|0\rangle = \sqrt{2}|1\rangle + |0\rangle \tag{19}$$

and

$$\langle 0|x^4|0\rangle = 3\tag{20}$$

in the appropriate units. The energy is:

$$E_{try} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\Lambda\right)\hbar\omega \tag{21}$$

(c) Suppose  $H|\alpha\rangle=E_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle$  is the exact eigenproblem for the Hamiltonian given, with  $\alpha=g$  being the exact ground state energy. Then, if  $\{|\alpha\rangle\}$  is a complete basis:

$$|0\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|0\rangle \tag{22}$$

and

$$E_{try} = \sum_{\alpha} |\langle \alpha | 0 \rangle|^2 E_{\alpha} \tag{23}$$

which clearly leads to

$$E_{try} \geqslant E_g$$
, (24)

since all  $E_{\alpha} \geqslant E_g$ .

(d) Why not  $|1\rangle$ ? Because the integrand in  $\langle 0|x^4|1\rangle$  will be odd, leading to no correction.