Problem Set #2

Joe Michail
DATA SCI 423 – Machine Learning
NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

April 25, 2020

Question 4.1

Part A

Let $\mathbf{z} = \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ and $\mathbf{C} = \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. For a symmetric matrix, one can decompose it via eigenvalue decomposition $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^T$. Here \mathbf{Q} is an $N \times N$ matrix with the eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} making the columns and $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is an $N \times N$ matrix where the eigenvalues are on the diagonal.

$$\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{z} \implies \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{z}.$$

If we define $\mathbf{V} \equiv \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{Q}$, then $\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{z}$:

$$\mathbf{V}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^{T}.\tag{1}$$

Writing this in summation notation, we have:

$$\sum_{i,j} V_i \Lambda_{ij} V_j.$$

Since the eigenvalues only lie on the diagonal, indices where $i \neq j$ will not contribute to the sum, so it can be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} V_i \Lambda_{ii} V_i,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} V_i^2 \Lambda_{ii}.$$

Finally, inputting the condition that $\Lambda_{ii} \geq 0$ shows $\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{z} \geq 0$ must be true since all V_i^2 are positive.

Part B

Starting from the condition that $\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{z} \geq 0$, one can show that equation (1) above is:

$$\mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T > 0.$$

Multiplying on the left by \mathbf{V}^T and on the right by \mathbf{V} yields:

$$\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V} \ge \mathbf{0},\tag{2}$$

where both the left and right sides are now $N \times N$ matrices. The elements of a diagonal matrix are the eigenvalues of said matrix; therefore the determinant of a diagonal matrix is a product of the eigenvalues. In addition, the determinant of a product of matrices is the product of individual determinants:

$$\det(\mathbf{ABC}) = \det(\mathbf{A}) \det(\mathbf{B}) \det(\mathbf{C}).$$

Taking the determinant of equation (2):

$$\det(\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V}) \ge \det(\mathbf{0})$$
$$\det(\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V}) \det(\mathbf{\Lambda}) \det(\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V}) \ge 0$$
$$\det(\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V})^2 \prod_{i=1}^N \lambda_{ii} \ge 0.$$

Since anything squared is positive, this implies:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{ii} \ge 0,$$

which holds for **ANY** N, odd or even. In the case of an odd N, a negative eigenvalue would ruin the inequality by making the entire product negative. Therefore, for this inequality to hold, **ALL** eigenvalues of **C** must be positive.

Part C

The quadratic cost function is given by:

$$g(\mathbf{w}) = a + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}.$$

The Hessian of this matrix is given by:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} q = 2\mathbf{C}.$$

C given in the problem is:

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the trace of the matrix, we find the sum of the eigenvalues is $\Sigma \lambda_i = 2$ and from the determinant we find the product of the matrix is $\Pi \lambda_i = 0$. Therefore, the two eigenvalues of \mathbf{C} are 0, 2. Since both are non-negative, this implies $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \geq 0$, so this cost function is concave up for all points, which is expected for a quadratic cost function.

Part D

Again, begin by defining $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{C} + \lambda \mathbf{I}$. Since both \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{I} are symmetric, their sum will be as well. Therefore, we can do an eigenvalue decomposition on \mathbf{A} :

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{C} + \lambda \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}.$$

Taking the determinant of both sides:

$$\det(\mathbf{C} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \det(\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}).$$

The determinant of a matrix product is the product of individual determinants, so:

$$\det(\mathbf{C} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \det \mathbf{V}^T \det \mathbf{\Lambda} \det \mathbf{V}.$$

The matrix V is orthogonal since it is composed of eigenvectors, so $V^T = V^{-1}$:

$$\det(\mathbf{C} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \det \mathbf{V}^{-1} \det \mathbf{\Lambda} \det \mathbf{V} = \det \mathbf{\Lambda} = \prod_{i} \lambda_{i}.$$

If we make the condition that the product of eigenvalues must be positive, but make no mention of the dimension of C initially, this places a constraint on the signs of each individual eigenvalue. In this case, all the eigenvalues must be positive for the product to always be positive. Therefore, given a large enough value of λ will yield positive eigenvalues.

The smallest value of λ required to make all the eigenvalues of C positive is $\lambda = \min \lambda_C$.

Question 4.2

Part A

Start by defining $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times 1}$. From problem 1 above, we know that since \mathbf{A} is square, it can be split via eigenvalue decomposition:

$$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T = \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^T.$$

Multiplying on the right by \mathbf{x} and on the left by \mathbf{x}^T yields:

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} = ||x||_2^4.$$

Define $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{V}$:

$$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{Q}^T = ||x||_2^4.$$

Converting this to summation notation:

$$\sum_{ij} Q_i \Lambda_{ij} Q_j = ||x||_2^4.$$

Since Λ is a diagonal matrix, only the terms where i = j will survive:

$$\sum_{i} Q_i \Lambda_{ii} Q_i = \sum_{i} Q_i^2 \Lambda_{ii} = ||x||_2^4.$$

The right hand side must always be positive and Q_i^2 is as well. Since we cannot be guaranteed that N will be even, all the eigenvalues $\lambda_i = \Lambda_{ii}$ must be individually positive so that one negative eigenvalue would not be able to break the equality.

Part B

Begin by defining $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{p} \delta_{p} \mathbf{x}_{p} \mathbf{x}_{p}^{T}$. Taking the trace of both sides:

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{p}\delta_{p}\mathbf{x}_{p}\mathbf{x}_{p}^{T}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A})=\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}^{A},$$

where λ_i^A are the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} . The trace of a matrix sum is the sum of traces, so:

$$\sum_{p} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\delta_{p} \mathbf{x}_{p} \mathbf{x}_{p}^{T} \right) = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{A}.$$

Since δ_p is a number, it can be pulled out the trace. Using a trace identity: $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T) = \mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{a} = ||a||_2^2$:

$$\sum_{p} \delta_{p} ||x_{p}||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i}^{N} \lambda_{i}^{A}.$$

Since both terms under the left sum are positive and we're not guaranteed an even N, all the eigenvalues λ_i^A must be individually non-negative.

Part C

As above, begin by defining $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{p} \delta_{p} \mathbf{x}_{p} \mathbf{x}_{p}^{T} + \lambda \mathbf{I}$. Taking the trace of each side:

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{p}\delta_{p}\mathbf{x}_{p}\mathbf{x}_{p}^{T}+\lambda\mathbf{I}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}).$$

The trace of a sum of matrices is the sum of the traces:

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{p}\delta_{p}\mathbf{x}_{p}\mathbf{x}_{p}^{T}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda\mathbf{I}
ight)=\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}).$$

From above, we know that the trace of the first sum is $\sum_{p} \delta_{p} ||x_{p}||_{2}^{2}$. The trace of $\lambda \mathbf{I} = \lambda N$, where N is the dimension of the identity, and the trace of \mathbf{A} is the sum of the eigenvalues. Therefore, we find:

$$\sum_{p} \delta_{p} ||x_{p}||_{2}^{2} + \lambda N = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{A}.$$

Since δ_p , $||x_p||_2^2$ and $\lambda \ge 0$, the sum of eigenvalues must also be non-negative. Because we're not guaranteed an even N and a negative eigenvalue could break the inequality, it follows that the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} must all be independently non-negative.

Question 4.5

Part A

Given $g(\mathbf{w}) = \log(1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}))$, determine the stationary points using the first order condition.

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} g = \nabla(\log(1 + \exp(w_1^2 + w_2^2)))$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial w_1}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial w_2}\right]^T$$

$$= \frac{2 \exp(w_1^2 + w_2^2)}{\exp(w_1^2 + w_2^2) + 1} [w_1, w_2]^T$$

The stationary point occurs where $\nabla g = \mathbf{0}$, therefore the stationary point occurs at the origin:

 $\mathbf{w}^* = \mathbf{0}$

.

Part B

The second-order definition of convexity requires computing the Hessian:

$$\nabla^2 g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial w_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial w_1 w_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial w_1 w_2} & \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial w_2^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Computing the second derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial w_1^2} = \frac{2w_1^2 + 1 + \exp(w_1^2 + w_2^2)}{2\cosh^2\left(\frac{w_1^2 + w_2^2}{2}\right)}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial w_2^2} = \frac{2w_2^2 + 1 + \exp(w_1^2 + w_2^2)}{2\cosh^2\left(\frac{w_1^2 + w_2^2}{2}\right)}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial w_1 \partial w_2} = \frac{w_1 w_2}{2 \cosh^2 \left(\frac{w_1^2 + w_2^2}{2}\right)}$$

Evaluating the Hessian at $\mathbf{w}^* = \mathbf{0}$ yields:

$$\nabla^2 g|_{\mathbf{w}^*} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the determinant of the Hessian is positive and the diagonal terms are positive, \mathbf{w}^* is a minimum.

Part C

See attached pages for this plot.

Part D

See attached pages for this plot. Calculating the Hessian at $\mathbf{w} = [4, 4]^T$ yields:

$$\nabla^2 g|_{[4,4]^T} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

whereas at $\mathbf{w} = [1, 1]^T$:

$$\nabla^2 g|_{[1,1]^T} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.18 & 0.42 \\ 0.42 & 2.18 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the case where we start at $[4, 4]^T$, the steps taken are only in the w_1 and w_2 directions. In the initial $[1, 1]^T$ spot, the off-diagonal terms show that we're zigzagging around the minimum initially, which takes longer to find it.

Question 5.2

Part A

See attached scripts for plots.

Part B

Begin with the log fit:

$$w_0 + w_1 \log(x_p) \approx \log(y_p).$$

Exponentiating both sides to e:

$$\exp(\log(y_p)) = \exp(w_0 + w_1 \log(x_p))$$
$$y_p = \exp(w_0) x_p^{w_1}$$

Plugging in $(w_0, w_1) = (6.81, 0.65)$ from the linear regression yields:

$$y_p(kJ/day) \approx 906.9x_p(kg)^{0.65}$$

Part C

A 10 kg animal requires about 4051 kJ per day, which yields a calorie rate of about 968212 calories or 968 kcal in more familiar units.

Question 5.9

Boston Housing

I was not able to verify either of the metrics for the Boston data set as stated in the book. However, as the professor mentioned, these values are likely not correct and are probably typos. For the RMSE, I got 4.7 and for the MAD I got 3.1. Since the MAD is less than the RMSE, there is probably a lot of scatter or outliers in the data.

Automobile MPGs

I was able to verify the RMSE and MAD values for this dataset.