## Linear systems stability: condition number of a matrix Numerical Linear Algebra

When solving linear systems, roundoff will play a role. We want to investigate the accuracy of the computed solution.

A matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is **ill-conditioned** if relatively small changes in A (if is rounded for example) can cause large changes in the solution of Ax = b (for a fixed  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ). Otherwise it is well-conditioned. For a given matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , the **accuracy** of the solution depends on the **condition number**  $k(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$ .

In general, we have approximations of A and b, say  $A + \delta A$  and  $b + \delta B$ , and we obtain a solution  $\hat{x} = x + \delta x$ . Recall that (backward error analysis formula):

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|\hat{x}\|} \le k(A) \left( \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} + \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|A\| \|\hat{x}\|} \right).$$

- 1. There are ill-conditioned matrices of relatively small dimensions.
  - (a) Consider the Vandermonde matrix of order n

$$V_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-1} & \alpha_2^{n-1} & \dots & \alpha_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\alpha_j = 1 - 2(j-1)/(n-1)$ , j = 1,...,n. Investigate how  $\kappa_2(V_n)$  behaves as a function of n (consider values of  $n \leq 50$ ).

- (b) Check numerically Szegö's result  $k_2(H_n) \sim e^{3.5n}$  for the Hilbert matrices  $H_n(i,j) = 1/(i+j-1), 1 \le i, j \le n$ .
- 2. Investigate the relation between the condition number and the precision of the solution when solving a linear system.

Consider the n-dimensional Frank matrix

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j < i - 1, \\ n + 1 - i & \text{for } j = i - 1, \\ n + 1 - j & \text{for } j \ge i. \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $A_{i,j}$  are stored as float (double precision) numbers. Let  $x_0 = (1, ..., 1)$  and  $b = Ax_0$ . Note that b contains roundoff errors of the order of the precision machine ( $\epsilon_{\text{machine}} \sim 10^{-16}$ ). For n = 2, ..., 24 compute  $k_2(A)$  and compare the values of  $\epsilon_{\text{machine}} k_2(A)$  with those of the relative error of the solution, that is

$$e_{\text{rel}}(x) = \frac{\|x - x_0\|_2}{\|x_0\|_2}.$$

Explain the results using the backward error analysis formula.

3. Moral: It is inadvisable to actually compute  $A^{-1}$ 

Imagine we want to solve Ax = b,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We compare two ways: 1)  $x = A^{-1}b$  and 2) using GEPP (Gauss elimination with partial pivoting). Assume that for 1) we do the things properly, that is, for example we compute the inverse  $A^{-1}$  solving n-linear systems using GEPP. We want to see that, even if  $A^{-1}$  is computed exactly,

- Cost: 1)  $2n^3$  flops, 2)  $2n^3/3$  flops (3 times faster!).
- Stability: 1) is less numerically stable than 2)

To this end, perform the following numerical experiment. Consider fifty  $25 \times 25$  linear systems Ax = b (and repeat for  $10^4$  systems of dimension 50 for example) of the following form:

- i) A is random with  $k_2(A) = \epsilon_{\text{machine}}^{-1/2} \approx 9 \times 10^7$ , and
- ii) the elements of x are taken from the standard Gaussian distribution.

For each system

- (a) compute the solution using the 1) "matrix inverse method" and 2) the LU method using GEPP,
- (b) compute the norm-wise relative backward error

$$\eta_{A,b} = \frac{\|r\|}{\|A\| \|x\| + \|b\|}, \qquad r = Ax - b \text{ (the residual)}$$

Write a program to compute the minimum and the maximum of  $\eta_{A,b}$  obtained for each method. Also compare both methods in terms of the computation time as a function of the dimension (e.g. up to n = 100 and solving  $10^3$  systems for each n).

Remark: To generate random matrices with  $k_2(A) = \alpha > 1$  do the following:

- i) generate a random matrix B (e.g. with standard Gaussian coefficients),
- ii) perform the so-called QR decomposition of B, then B = QR,
- iii) consider  $A = Q^t DQ$ , where D is diagonal matrix  $D = [\alpha, 1, ..., 1]$ .

The matrix A has the desirable condition number  $k_2(A)$ .

4. A method to estimate the condition number  $k_1(A)$ 

We have seen that it is inadvisable (and usually unnecessary!) to actually compute  $A^{-1}$ . In particular, the computation of k(A) for large matrices involves a large number of operations. Then, how can we effectively compute the condition number of a matrix? Techniques have been developed to approximate  $||A^{-1}||_1$  without computing the inverse of the matrix A, that is, the condition number of a nonsingular matrix is estimated by  $k_1(A) = ||A||_1 \omega$  where  $\omega$  is an estimate of  $||A||_1^{-1}$ .

(a) Write a code that implements Hager's algorithm (from Demmel's book, p.53) to estimate the 1-norm of a matrix (computes  $\omega$  such that  $\omega \leq ||B||_1$ , and typically a)  $\omega \approx ||B||_1$  and b) it stops after 2 iterations)

Algorithm 2.5. Hager's condition estimator returns a lower bound  $||w||_1$  on  $||B||_1$ :

```
choose any x such that \|x\|_1 = 1 /* e.g. x_i = \frac{1}{n} */
repeat
w = Bx, \ \zeta = \mathrm{sign}(w), \ z = B^T \zeta  /* z^T = \nabla f */
if \|z\|_{\infty} \le z^T x then
return \ \|w\|_1
else
x = e_j \ where \ |z_j| = \|z\|_{\infty}
endif
end repeat
```

Remark.  $sign(x) = (s_1, ..., s_n)$  where  $s_i = 1$  if  $x_i \ge 0$  and  $s_i = -1$  otherwise.

(b) Modify the previous algorithm to obtain an estimate of  $||A^{-1}||_1$ . Observe that if  $B = A^{-1}$  then w = Bx and  $z = B^T \eta$  can be rewritten as the linear systems Aw = x and  $A^T z = \eta$ , then apply GEPP/LU to solve these systems. <sup>1</sup>

Check the algorithm by recording the ratio between the estimated condition number and the direct computation of the condition number (inverting the matrix A and computing the norms) for 1000 random matrices A having  $10^3 \le k_2(A) \le 10^{16}$  of dimensions  $10 \le n \le 90$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>An improvement by Higham of this algorithm is the subroutine **slacon** in LAPACK. Also **condest** in MAT-LAB performs a similar computation. There are other methods for very large systems.