# The QR factorization for Least-Square problems Numerical Linear Algebra 211022

#### The QR-factorization.

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m \geq n$ . The (full) QR-factorization of A is A = QR, where  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is orthogonal (i.e.  $Q^tQ = Id$ ) and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is upper triangular.

One has

$$Q = (Q_1|Q_2), \ R = \left(\begin{array}{c} R_1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right)$$

where  $Q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $R_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . The thin QR-factorization of A is  $A = Q_1R_1$ .

**Properties:** If rank(A) = n (i.e. A is full-rank) then

- 1.  $Im(A) = Im(Q_1)$  and  $Im(A^{\perp}) = Im(Q_2)$ .
- 2. The thin factorization  $A = Q_1 R_1$  is unique.
- 3.  $R_1 = G^t$  where G is given by the Cholesky factorization of  $A^t A = GG^t$ .

**Methods:** To compute the thin factorization one can use Gram-Schmidt. More numerically stable methods (specially if the vectors of A are not fairly independent) are based on orthogonal transformations: one can use either Householder reflexions or Givens rotations. On the other hand, Gram-Schmidt requires  $2mn^2$  flops, Givens  $3n^2(m-n/3)$  flops and Householder  $2n^2(m-n/3)$  flops. Givens rotations allows to easily compute the factorization in cases with structure preserving it (e.g. Hessenberg matrices, sparse matrices, etc).

### The Least-Squares (LS) problem.

Given  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $m \geq n$ , we want to compute  $x_{LS} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the minimum of  $||Ax - b||_2$  is achieved for  $x = x_{LS}$ .

Ex.1 Consider  $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Prove that one has

$$||A(x + \alpha z) - b||^2 = ||Ax - b||^2 + 2\alpha z^t A^t (Ax - b) + \alpha^2 ||Az||.$$

### The full-rank LS problem.

It follows from the previous equality in Ex.1 that if x solves the LS problem then  $A^t(Ax-b)=0$  (i.e. x is the solution of the so-called normal equations). Moreover, if x and  $x+\alpha z$  solve the LS problem then  $z\in Ker(A)$  and one concludes that, if A is full-rank, the least-squares problem has unique solution.

#### Three basic methods to solve the LS problem:

1. Normal equations:

compute 
$$A^t A$$
  
compute  $d = A^t b$   
compute Cholesky  $A^t A = GG^t$   
solve  $Gy = d$  and  $G^t x = y$  to obtain  $x_{LS}$ 

Drawback:  $||x_{LS}||_2 \approx k_2(A)^2 \epsilon_{\text{machine}}$  because we solve a linear system with matrix  $A^t A$ .

- 2. Use QR-factorization (see below)
- 3. Use SVD-factorization (it will be explained in the theoretical lessons by M. Sombra)

We focus on the use of QR for solving the LS problem. One has

$$Ax = b \Leftrightarrow QRx = b \Leftrightarrow Rx = Q^t b$$

If one writes  $Q^t b = (y_1|y_2)^t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , with  $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$Rx = Q^t b \Leftrightarrow \left( \begin{array}{c} R_1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) x = \left( \begin{array}{c} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{array} \right)$$

One solves  $R_1x = y_1$  and obtain  $x_{LS}$  with a residual error  $||r||_2^2 = ||y_1 - R_1x||^2 + ||y_2||^2 = ||y_2||^2$ . Note that we solve a system with matrix  $R_1$ , since Q orthogonal  $k_2(R_1) = k_2(A)$ . This justifies the fact that QR is better (in the sense of numerical stability) than directly solve normal equations.<sup>1</sup>

Ex. 2 Code the previous algorithm to solve full-rank LS problem. Use it to polynomial fitting a data set.

## The rank deficient LS problem using QR.

If r = rank(A) < n there is, in general, no unique solution of the LS problem and one is lead to require an extra condition to obtain  $x_{LS}$  (e.g. to be a minimum  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -norm solution).

**Idea:** One applies QR with pivoting

$$AP = QR, \ Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$$
 orthogonal,  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  upper triangular,

being P a pivoting matrix so that

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{cc} R_1 & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

with  $R_1$  non-singular upper triangular matrix.

More concretely, since  $A = QRP^t$ , one has,

$$||b - Ax||_2^2 = \left||b - Q\begin{pmatrix} R_1 & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^t x\right||_2^2 = \left||Q^t b - \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^t x\right||_2^2$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recall that to address the LS problem by solving the normal equations using Cholesky requires  $mn^2 + n^3/3$  flops.

since Q orthogonal implies that  $||QA||_2 = ||A||_2$ . Writing  $P^t x = (u, v)^t$  and  $Q^t b = (c, d)^t$ , with  $u, c \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $v, d \in \mathbb{R}^{m-r}$ , one has

$$||b - Ax||_2^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} c - R_1 u + Sv \\ d \end{pmatrix} \right\|_2^2 = \|c - R_1 u + Sv\|_2^2 + \|d\|_2^2$$

The left summand maybe vanishes for a suitable x (because depends on  $P^t x = (u, v)^t$ ). The right one does not depend on x, then

$$\min \|b - Ax\|_2^2 = \|d\|_2^2 \tag{1}$$

and is attained whenever

$$R_1u + Sv = c.$$

There are different ways to choose (u, v) solving the last equation. One can look for the so-called minimal solution of the LS problem meaning that, among the possible solutions (u, v) of (1) one looks for the one which minimizes  $||(u, v)||_2$  (this requires an optimization problem). Another option is to look for the so called basic solution of the LS problem. Then one takes v = 0 and solves  $R_1 u = c$  to obtain u.

Ex. 3 Code the previous algorithm to compute a basic solution of the rank-deficient LS problem.