CENG 463 Assignment 1

Cem Gündoğdu

cem.gundogdu@metu.edu.tr

December 16, 2021

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	
2	Diff	ferent preprocessing operations	
		Simplest version	
		Lowercase conversion	
		Punctuation removal	
		Stopword removal	
	2.5	Stemming	. ;
	2.6	Removing short words	. ;
3		lluation	;
		Calculation of metrics	
	3.2	Metrics for SVC and NBC	. ;

1 Introduction

In this assignment, I used the NaiveBayesClassifier class from the NLTK library for Naive Bayes Classifier, and SklearnClassifier class from NLTK along with SVC class from scikit-learn for the Support Vector Classifier. I have tried various preprocessing operations, and logged the accuracy at each step, along with the confusion matrix and metrics such as precision.

2 Different preprocessing operations

In this section, I list the accuracies for different preprocessing techniques. I haven't tried all combinations of them, since the number grows exponentially. However, I added each technique **incrementally** (added the preprocessing step without removing previous ones), with the hope that the change caused by the added technique will be representative of its usefulness.

These trials were made on the *dev* set, since I did them during the development phase. The evaluation in Evaluation will be done on the *test* set.

Complete logs from each try is available in the data directory. These include the confusion matrix, accuracy, and for each category the precision, recall and F_1 measure. I include only the last log here, to keep this report short.

Details of the calculation of precision, recall and F_1 measure are given in Calculation of metrics.

A table of the accuracies for each technique is in Table 1. As seen in the table, each techniques improved the accuracy in SVC. But for Naive Bayes, removing the punctuation and stemming caused a decrease in accuracy.

Accuracy (%) Preprocessing type Support Vector Naive Bayes Simplest version 64.2064.09Lowercase conversion 65.9266.7768.70 Punctuation removal 65.38Stopword removal 67.63 70.74 72.34Stemming 66.6772.78 Removing short words 66.99

Table 1: Accuracies for different preprocessing techniques.

2.1 Simplest version

The words in title are counted twice — in this version and in all the following ones. Other than this, there is no processing in this version. The text is given to nltk.word_tokenize() and the resulting tokens are used to train the classifier.

2.2 Lowercase conversion

Converted all words to lowercase.

This improved accuracy in both SVC and NBC. I think this is because our corpus size is rather small. So, this change allows us to make better use of the limited data.

I think, on a larger corpus, keeping the case could be more beneficial, since it would allow us to distinguish the words in the title from the words in the body.

2.3 Punctuation removal

Removed the following characters from the text:

I did not replace them with spaces, but simply removed them. This made, for example, the text *Sophies's* to become the single word *sophies*.

Removing the punctuation caused a decrease in the accuracy of NB classifier. I was expecting a decrease, since punctuation can actually be helpful in understanding the book's genre. For example, one could expect to see more question marks in a mystery book's description. However, you wouldn't expect lots of exclamation marks in a science book, unless the author got very excited about whatever scientific topic they were writing about.

SVC's accuracy increased.

2.4 Stopword removal

I used the corpus nltk.corpus.stopwords.words('english') from the NLTK library. I removed every word that occured in this list.

This improved the accuracy of both classifiers.

2.5 Stemming

I passed each word to the nltk.stem.PorterStemmer() from the NLTK library. This decreased the accuracy of NB, and resulted in a significant improvement in SVC.

2.6 Removing short words

I thought stopword removal should have been enough, but I also tried removing words that were shorter than 3 characters. Surprizingly, this resulted in an increase in the accuracy of both classifiers.

3 Evaluation

3.1 Calculation of metrics

Recall is the ratio of true positives for a class to the number of input documents of that type. To find recall, we divide each diagonal entry by the sum of corresponding row.

Precision is the ratio of true positives for a class to the number of documents that are identified to be in that class. To calculate it, we divide diagonal entries by the sum in that column.

3.2 Metrics for SVC and NBC

The confusion matrix and accuracy of the classifiers; along with the precision, recall and F_1 measure for each category are given in Figure 1 and Figure 2.

Confusion matrices here were created by the nltk.classify.util.ConfusionMatrix class from the NLTK library.

Per-category metrics were calculated as follows:

```
precision = true_positives / (true_positives + false_positives)
recall = true_positives / (true_positives + false_negatives)
f1_measure = 2 * precision * recall / (precision + recall)
```

Figure 1: Metrics for the best SVC version on test data set

Tigure 1. We									
Loaded classifie Accuracy: 0.7194									
Accuracy. 0.7194	200000 I	3030	91				s		1
	i						С		
	i						i		
	i						e		1
	i						n		1
	i		р				С		1
	i		h				е		1
	i		i	r			_		1
	İ	m	1	е	r	s	f		
	I h	У	0	1	0	С	i	s	1
	1 0	s	s	i	m	i	С	p	
	l r	t	0	g	a	е	t	0	
	r	е	р	i	n	n	i	r	
	0	r	h	0	С	С	0	t	
	l r	У	У	n	е	е	n	S	
horror	+ <172>	 22		1	 14	2	22	1	-
mystery	27<	182>		2	18		11		1
philosophy	5	.<	153>	26	2	36	5	1	
religion	13	2	36<	150>	6	9	14		
romance	18	5	2	2<	162>	•	20	19	
science	•	5	21	10	.<	175>	15		1
science-fiction	36	10	3	3			166>	1	
sports	8	1	•	1	34	4	5<	(181>	
(row = reference		= te		l 	R	ecali	1	F1-N	leasure
horror	1	0.6	078	1	0	.735) I		0.6654
mystery	1	0.8	018	1		.7583			0.7794
philosophy	1	0.7	116	1	0	.671	1		0.6907
religion	1	0.7	692	1	0	.652	2		0.7059
romance		0.6		1		.710	-		0.6792
Tolliance			170		0	.7609	a 1		0.7543
science	1	0.7		ı			-		
	 	0.7 0.6 0.8	434	İ	0	. 691 . 773!	7		0.6667

Figure 2: Metrics for the best NB version on test data set

Loaded classifier	from	cac	he.						
Accuracy: 0.67381	197424	8927	03						
							s		
							С		
							i		
							е		
							n		
			р				С		
			h				е		
			i	r			-		
		m	1	е	r	s	f		
	l h	У	0	1	0	С	i	S	
	0	S	S	i	m	i	С	р	
	r	t	0	g	a	е	t	0	
	r	е	p	i	n	n	i	r	
	0	r	h	0	С	С	0	t	
	r	у	у	n	е 	е	n	S	l L
horror	' <164>	19		3	18	2	27	1	'
mystery	34<	171>		4	14		15	2	
philosophy	4	2<	147>	32	3	30	9	1	
religion	13	5	46<	132>	2	13	19		
romance			1		148>		26	25	
science		6	26			166>			
science-fiction		11	6	3	11		165>		
sports	14	1	1	2	41	6	6<	163>	
									+
(row = reference;		 = te ecis		 	 R	ecal	1	F1-N	Heasure
(row = reference)			ion			ecal:		F1-N	Heasure 0.6296
	Pr	ecis	ion 714	 	0		 9	F1-N	
horror mystery philosophy	Pr	ecis 0.5	ion 714 634	 	0 0	.700	 9 5	F1-P	0.6296
horror mystery	Pr	ecis 0.5 0.7	ion 714 634 476	 	0 0 0	.700 .712	 9 5 7	F1-N	0.6296 0.7371
horror mystery philosophy	Pr	ecis 0.5 0.7 0.6	ion 714 634 476 021	 	0 0 0 0	.700 .712 .644	 9 5 7 9		0.6296 0.7371 0.6462
horror mystery philosophy religion	Pr	ecis 0.5 0.7 0.6 0.7	ion 714 634 476 021 245	 	0 0 0 0	.700 .712 .644	9 5 7 9		0.6296 0.7371 0.6462 0.6316
horror mystery philosophy religion romance	Pr	ecis 0.5 0.7 0.6 0.7	ion 714 634 476 021 245 378	 	0 0 0 0 0	.700 .712 .644 .573	9 5 7 9 1 7		0.6296 0.7371 0.6462 0.6316 0.6366