Homework 1

Casey Gibson

Problem 1

$$p(\theta) = \frac{1}{21} \text{ for } \theta = 0, 0.05, 0.1, ..., 1$$
$$Y|\theta \sim Binom(n, \theta)$$

$$P(Y = 5|\theta = .05) = \binom{n}{5}\theta^5(1-\theta)^{n-5} = \binom{100}{5}.05^5(1-.05)^{100-5} \approx .180$$

$$P(Y = 5|\theta = .5) = \binom{n}{5}\theta^5(1-\theta)^{n-5} = \binom{100}{5}.5^5(1-.5)^{100-5} \approx 5.94 \text{ x } 10^{-23} \approx 0$$

$$P(\theta = .05|Y = 5) = \frac{P(Y = 5|\theta = .05)P(\theta = .05)}{P(Y = 5)}$$

If we denote $\Theta = \{0, 1, 2.., 20\}$ then $\theta \in \frac{\Theta}{20}$

$$P(Y = 5) = \sum_{\theta' \in \Theta} P(Y, \theta') = \sum_{\theta' \in \Theta} P(Y|\theta')P(\theta') = \sum_{i=0}^{20} P(Y = 5|\theta' = \frac{i}{20})P(\theta' = \frac{i}{20})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{20} \binom{100}{5}(\frac{i}{20})^5(1-(\frac{i}{20}))^{100-5}\frac{1}{21} \approx .010$$

$$P(\theta = .05|Y = 5) = \frac{.18 * \frac{1}{21}}{.010} \approx .857$$

$$P(\theta = .5|Y = 5) = \frac{5.94e^{-23}\frac{1}{21}}{.010} \approx 0$$

Problem 2


Posterior under prior 1
p(θ|y)
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
θ


Posterior under prior 2
p(θ|y)
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
θ






```
##
## ------------------------------------------------------------------------
##  Expected Value Prior 1   Expected Value Prior 2   Expected Value Prior 3
## ----------------------- ------------------------ ------------------------
##         0.05841                    0.5                    0.05841
## ------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
##
## Table: Table continues below
##
##
## ------------------------
##  Expected Value Prior 4
## ------------------------
##          0.06486
## ------------------------
```

Prior 2 clearly pulls the posterior towards 1 because it forces all thetas less than .5 to have 0 probability. Prior 3 yeilds essentially the same results, which makes sense because the likelihood is so skewed towards the range $0, .5$, so excluding $.5, 1$ does not change the posterior much. Prior 4 pushes the posterior slightly upwards, which again makes sense because the prior assigns higher probabilities to higher values of theta, pushing the posterior towards 1.

Problem 3


Posterior under prior 1
p(θ|y)
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
θ


Posterior under prior 2
p(θ|y)
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
θ






```
##
## ------------------------------------------------------------------------
##  Expected Value Prior 1   Expected Value Prior 2   Expected Value Prior 3
## ----------------------- ------------------------ ------------------------
##           0.05                     0.5                      0.05
## ------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
##
## Table: Table continues below
##
##
## ------------------------
##  Expected Value Prior 4
## ------------------------
##           0.05
## ------------------------
```

As we get more data the effect of the prior diminishes (which is called "swamping" I think?). This makes more sense, to me at least, if we consider the log posterior.

$$p(\theta|y) \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$$

taking logs we see

$$log\ p(\theta|y) \propto log\ p(y|\theta) + log\ p(\theta)$$

$$log/p(\theta|y) \propto log \prod_{i=1}^{n} L(\theta|y) + log\ p(\theta)$$

where $L(\theta|y)$ is the likelihood function.

This becomes

$$log\ p(\theta|y) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{n} log\ L(\theta|y) + log\ p(\theta)$$

Now we can clearly see, as n increases the effect of the $log\ p(\theta)$ dimishes. It is harder for me to see this clearly in the non-log space because we are dealing with multiplication of small numbers.