# Homework 4

Casey Gibson 4/6/2018

### Problem 1

**a**)

No ### b) No ### c) PLS takes into account the correlation between the predictors and the respone, whereas PCR ignores it.

## Problem 2

**a**)

$$p(\hat{X}) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2}}$$

$$p(\hat{X}) = \frac{e^{-6 + .05*40 + 3.5}}{1 + e^{-6 + .05*40 + 3.5}} = .3775$$

b)

We need to solve for the inverse to get

$$\frac{e^{-6+.05*x+3.5}}{1+e^{-6+.05*x+3.5}} = .5$$
$$x = 50$$

## Problem 3

We can use Baye's theroem to get

$$p_k(x) = \frac{\pi_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu_k)^2)}{\sum_{i=1}^k \pi_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu_i)^2)}$$

If we then plugin the values from the problem we see

$$\pi_k = .8, \pi_1 = .2, \mu_1 = 0, \mu_k = 10, \hat{\sigma}^2 = 36, x = 4$$

by wolfram alpha this is

$$p_1(4) = .752$$

#### Problem 4

Consider

$$log(\frac{p_1(x_1, x_2)}{1 - p_1(x_1, x_2)}) = c_0 + c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2$$

We can re-write the left hand side as

$$log(p_1(x_1, x_2)) - log(p_2(x_1, x_2))$$

By Bayes theorem we can re-write

$$p_1(x_2, x_2) = p_1(x_1)p_1(x_2) \propto p(X_1 = x_1|Y_1)p(X_2 = x_2|Y_1)p(Y_1)$$

by independence

$$= \pi_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1}} exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (x_1 - \mu_{11})^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2}} exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} (x_2 - \mu_{12})^2)$$

$$= \pi_1 \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2} exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (x_1 - \mu_{11})^2 + -\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} (x_2 - \mu_{12})^2)$$

taking logs we can see that

$$= -\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}(x_1 - \mu_{11})^2 + -\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}(x_2 - \mu_{12})^2 + \log(\pi_1) - \log(2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}(x_1^2 - 2\mu_{11}x_1 + \mu_{11}^2) + -\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}(x_2^2 - 2x_2\mu_{12} + \mu_{12}^2) + \log(\pi_1) - \log(2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2)$$

By symmetry, we arrive at the same probability for  $p_2$  except with  $\mu_{22}, \mu_{21}, \sigma_2$  for parameters.

$$=-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{1}^{2}}(x_{1}^{2}-2\mu_{21}x_{1}+\mu_{21}^{2})+-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{2}^{2}}(x_{2}^{2}-2x_{2}\mu_{22}+\mu_{22}^{2})+\log(\pi_{1})-\log(2\pi\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2})$$

Subtracting these two we get

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}\mu_{11}x_1 - \frac{\mu_{11}^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}x_2^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\mu_{12}x_2 - \frac{\mu_{12}^2}{2\sigma_2^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}x_1^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\mu_{21}x_1 + \frac{\mu_{21}^2}{2\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}x_2^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\mu_{22}x_2 + \frac{\mu_{22}^2}{2\sigma_2^2}$$

$$c_0 = \log(\frac{\pi_1}{\pi_2}) + \frac{\mu_{22}^2}{2\sigma_2^2} - \frac{\mu_{11}^2}{2\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\mu_{21}^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{\mu_{12}^2}{2\sigma_2^2}$$

$$c_1 = \frac{\mu_{11}}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{\mu_{21}}{\sigma_1^2}$$

$$c_2 = \frac{\mu_{21}}{\sigma_2^2} - \frac{\mu_{22}}{\sigma_2^2}$$

#### Problem 5

```
a)
library(MASS)
n <- length(Boston$crim)</pre>
Boston[1,]
##
        crim zn indus chas
                                      rm age dis rad tax ptratio black lstat
                              nox
                         0 0.538 6.575 65.2 4.09 1 296 15.3 396.9 4.98
## 1 0.00632 18 2.31
##
    medv
## 1
       24
ind = sample(rep(1:5,length=n))
folds <- lapply(split(1:n,ind), function(i) Boston[i,])</pre>
b)
library (pls)
##
## Attaching package: 'pls'
## The following object is masked from 'package:stats':
##
##
       loadings
set.seed(2)
train1 <- rbind(folds$`2`,folds$`3`,folds$`4`,folds$`5`)</pre>
train2 <- rbind(folds$`1`,folds$`3`,folds$`4`,folds$`5`)</pre>
train3 <- rbind(folds$`1`,folds$`2`,folds$`4`,folds$`5`)</pre>
train4 <- rbind(folds$`1`,folds$`2`,folds$`3`,folds$`5`)</pre>
train5 <- rbind(folds$`1`,folds$`2`,folds$`3`,folds$`4`)</pre>
pcr.fit=pcr(train1$crim~., data=train1, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pcr.pred=predict(pcr.fit,folds$`1`, ncomp = pcr.fit$ncomp)
mse1_pcr <- mean((pcr.pred - folds$\frac{1}{s}crim)^2)</pre>
pcr.fit=pcr(train2$crim~., data=train2, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pcr.pred=predict(pcr.fit,folds$`2`, ncomp = pcr.fit$ncomp)
mse2 pcr <- mean((pcr.pred - folds$\cdot2\scrim)^2)</pre>
pcr.fit=pcr(train3$crim~., data=train3, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pcr.pred=predict(pcr.fit,folds$`3`, ncomp = pcr.fit$ncomp)
mse3_pcr <- mean((pcr.pred - folds$`3`$crim)^2)</pre>
pcr.fit=pcr(train4$crim~., data=train4, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pcr.pred=predict(pcr.fit,folds$^4`[,2:ncol(folds$^4`)], ncomp = pcr.fit$ncomp)
mse4_pcr <- mean((pcr.pred - folds$`4`$crim)^2)</pre>
pcr.fit=pcr(train5$crim~., data=train5, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pcr.pred=predict(pcr.fit,folds$`5`, ncomp = pcr.fit$ncomp)
mse5_pcr <- mean((pcr.pred - folds$`5`$crim)^2)</pre>
print ("mse")
```

```
## [1] "mse"
print (mean(c(mse1_pcr,mse2_pcr,mse3_pcr,mse4_pcr,mse5_pcr)))
## [1] 43.5624
c)
set.seed(2)
pls.fit=plsr(train1$crim~., data=train1, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pls.pred=predict(pls.fit,folds$`1`, ncomp = pls.fit$ncomp)
mse1_pls <- mean((pls.pred - folds$`1`$crim)^2)</pre>
pls.fit=plsr(train2$crim~., data=train2, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pls.pred=predict(pls.fit,folds$`2`, ncomp = pls.fit$ncomp)
mse2_pls <- mean((pls.pred - folds\(^2\)^2)</pre>
pls.fit=plsr(train3$crim~., data=train3, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pls.pred=predict(pls.fit,folds$`3`, ncomp = pls.fit$ncomp)
mse3_pls <- mean((pls.pred - folds$`3`$crim)^2)</pre>
pls.fit=plsr(train4$crim~., data=train4, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pls.pred=predict(pls.fit,folds$`4`, ncomp = pls.fit$ncomp)
mse4_pls <- mean((pls.pred - folds$`4`$crim)^2)</pre>
pls.fit=plsr(train5$crim~., data=train5, scale=TRUE, validation="CV")
pls.pred=predict(pls.fit,folds$`5`, ncomp = pls.fit$ncomp)
mse5_pls <- mean((pls.pred - folds$`5`$crim)^2)</pre>
print ("mse pls")
## [1] "mse pls"
print (mean(c(mse1_pls,mse2_pls,mse3_pls,mse4_pls,mse5_pls)))
## [1] 43.5624
Problem 6
a)
library(ISLR)
fit.glm =glm(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2 + Lag3 +Lag4 + Lag5 + Volume, data=Weekly, family= binomial)
summary(fit.glm)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2 + Lag3 + Lag4 + Lag5 +
##
       Volume, family = binomial, data = Weekly)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
       Min
            1Q Median
                                   3Q
                                            Max
## -1.6949 -1.2565 0.9913 1.0849
                                         1.4579
## Coefficients:
```

```
##
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 0.26686 0.08593
                                   3.106 0.0019 **
## Lag1
              -0.04127
                          0.02641 - 1.563
                                            0.1181
                                   2.175 0.0296 *
               0.05844
                          0.02686
## Lag2
## Lag3
              -0.01606
                          0.02666 -0.602
                                           0.5469
              -0.02779
                          0.02646 -1.050 0.2937
## Lag4
              -0.01447
                          0.02638 -0.549 0.5833
## Lag5
                          0.03690 -0.616 0.5377
## Volume
              -0.02274
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 1496.2 on 1088 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1486.4 on 1082 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1500.4
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
Lag2 is significant. \#\#\#\# b)
probs = predict(fit.glm , type="response")
pred.glm = rep("Down", length(probs))
pred.glm[probs > 0.5 ] = "Up"
table(pred.glm, Weekly$Direction)
## pred.glm Down Up
      Down 54 48
##
      Uр
            430 557
We can see that the model is correct
print ((54+557)/(54+557 + 430 +48))
## [1] 0.5610652
56% of the time
When the market goes up, the model predicts up
print (557/(48+557))
## [1] 0.9206612
However, when the market goes down the model is only correct
print (54/(54+430))
## [1] 0.1115702
c)
train = (Weekly$Year < 2009)
Weekly.20092010 = Weekly[!train,]
Direction.20092010 = Weekly$Direction[!train]
fit.glm2 = glm(Direction ~ Lag2, data= Weekly, family=binomial, subset=train)
summary(fit.glm2)
```

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = Direction ~ Lag2, family = binomial, data = Weekly,
       subset = train)
## Deviance Residuals:
   Min 10 Median
                               30
## -1.536 -1.264 1.021 1.091
                                   1.368
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 0.20326
                          0.06428
                                    3.162 0.00157 **
               0.05810
                           0.02870
                                    2.024 0.04298 *
## Lag2
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
       Null deviance: 1354.7 on 984 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1350.5 on 983 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1354.5
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
probs2 = predict(fit.glm2, Weekly.20092010, type="response")
pred.glm2 = rep("Down",length(probs2))
pred.glm2[probs2>.5] = "Up"
table(pred.glm2,Direction.20092010)
           Direction.20092010
## pred.glm2 Down Up
##
       Down
              9 5
##
              34 56
        Up
print ("correct predition")
## [1] "correct predition"
print ((9+56)/(9+5+34+56))
## [1] 0.625
d)
library(MASS)
fit.lda = lda(Direction~ Lag2, data= Weekly, subset =train)
fit.lda
## Call:
## lda(Direction ~ Lag2, data = Weekly, subset = train)
## Prior probabilities of groups:
##
       Down
## 0.4477157 0.5522843
## Group means:
```

```
##
               Lag2
## Down -0.03568254
         0.26036581
## Up
##
## Coefficients of linear discriminants:
##
## Lag2 0.4414162
pred.lda = predict(fit.lda, Weekly.20092010)
table(pred.lda$class, Direction.20092010)
         Direction.20092010
##
##
          Down Up
##
             9 5
     Down
            34 56
##
     Uр
LDA gives the same result as above.
e)
library(MASS)
fit.qda = qda(Direction~ Lag2, data= Weekly, subset =train)
fit.qda
## Call:
## qda(Direction ~ Lag2, data = Weekly, subset = train)
## Prior probabilities of groups:
        Down
##
## 0.4477157 0.5522843
##
## Group means:
##
               Lag2
## Down -0.03568254
## Up
         0.26036581
pred.qda = predict(fit.qda, Weekly.20092010)
table(pred.qda$class, Direction.20092010)
##
         Direction.20092010
##
          Down Up
             0 0
##
     Down
            43 61
##
     Uр
Correct prediction is
print (61/(53+61))
## [1] 0.5350877
f)
library(class)
train.X = as.matrix(Weekly$Lag2[train])
test.X = as.matrix(Weekly$Lag2[!train])
train.Direction = Weekly$Direction[train]
set.seed(1)
```

```
pred.knn = knn(train.X, test.X, train.Direction, k=1)
table(pred.knn, Direction.20092010)
##
            Direction.20092010
## pred.knn Down Up
               21 30
##
       Down
##
       Uр
               22 31
The correct prediction percentage is
print ((21+31)/(21+31+30+22))
## [1] 0.5
\mathbf{g})
Logistic regression and LDA have the best error rate.
```