# Pratical RESTful API design

Exploration over technologies enabling a Model-First approach in RESTful API development

Giovanni Ciatto giovanni.ciatto@studio.unibo.it

> University of Bologna Computer Science and Engineering

> > May 18, 2016

#### Table of Contents

- REST in practice
  - Overview
  - Principles
    - Client-server
    - Stateless interaction
    - Cacheability
    - Uniform Interface
    - Layered System
    - Code on demand
- 2 Model-First approach
  - Overview
    - Steps of REST Service Creation
    - Model-first tools

## Outline

- REST in practice
  - Overview
  - Principles
    - Client-server
    - Stateless interaction
    - Cacheability
    - Uniform Interface
    - Layered System
    - Code on demand
- 2 Model-First approach
  - Overview
    - Steps of REST Service Creation
    - Model-first tools



## Overview I

#### REST = REpresentational **S**tate **T**ransfert

- REST is basically a concept, a set of principles (best practices)
  referred as "constraints" and described through natural language
- REST is not a tight specification, and there exists more than a way to produce RESTful web services
  - Yeah, this is about web-services, so cool!
- It's not a dichotomy, nor simply a matter of RESTful and RESTless web-services:
  - there are more RESTful ones ...
  - ... and more RESTless ones
- RESTful (distributed) systems tend to be scalable, robust and easy to develop, understand and maintain
  - Yet not every system should be RESTful
  - Silver-bullets are like unicorns: they do not exist.

## Overview II

#### **REST** constraints

RESTful systems \*should\* satisfy the following 6 constraints:

- Client-server
- Stateless interaction
- Chaceability
- Uniform Interface
- Layered System
- Code on Demand (Optional)

## Outline

- REST in practice
  - Overview
  - Principles
    - Client-server
    - Stateless interaction
    - Cacheability
    - Uniform Interface
    - Layered System
    - Code on demand
- 2 Model-First approach
  - Overview
    - Steps of REST Service Creation
    - Model-first tools



#### Client-server I

There are two kind of entities: *servers* and *clients* communicating via a *connector*.

#### Servers

Reactive entities providing one or more services to multiple clients

#### Clients

Triggering (proactive) entities making requests that trigger reactions from servers.

#### Connectors

Mechanism that allows communication between clients and servers (e.g. HTTP protocol, Message Oriented Middleware, RPC, etc.)

#### Client-server II

Separation of concerns is the key concept here.

#### Separation of concerns

Once both clients and servers concerns have been fixed and some sort of common interface have been defined, the two kind of components evolve independently.

#### Client-server constraint in web-based systems

Web-based systems quite often satisfy this constraint.

#### Stateless interaction I

This is probably the most important constraint as well as the hardest one: each request from client to server must contain all of the information necessary to understand the request, and cannot take advantage of any stored context on the server. Application state is therefore kept entirely on the client.

## Application state

Data that could vary by client, and per request.

#### Exceptions are tolerated

Immutability is a utopia. For real world problems, you should just try to minimize mutability. E.g. request rate monitoring requires mutability. However, make every effort to ensure that application state doesn't span multiple requests of your services.

## Stateless interaction II

#### Cookies

Cookies do not necessarily violate this constraint, since the are part of each HTTP interaction.

#### Sessions identifiers

Assigning any sort of temporary session identifier to the some user \*and\* storing session data using some data structure within server's memory is inherently a violation of the constraint. Since this is the common usage of cookies, they are discouraged.

## Example

A stateless communication litmus test is to turn off session cookies, and determine if the API, web service, or web application still works as designed.

#### Stateless interaction III

#### Authentication

This constraint makes authentication critical. Other approaches have been developed which are more secure and RESTful.

#### Authentication over HTTP - Overview

- HTTPS is base-assumption here
- SIDs over Cookies are stateful
- Basic access authentication is conceptually what we need too naive
- Digest access authentication employs MD5...
- OAuth: is strong & complex
- JWT is some good trade-off IMWO

# Cacheability

#### Cacheable responses

- Clients can cache responses
- Responses must therefore, implicitly or explicitly, define themselves as cacheable, or not
  - to prevent clients reusing stale or inappropriate data in response to further requests
- Well-managed caching partially or completely eliminates some client-server interactions
  - further improving scalability and performance

## Uniform Interface I

- The uniform interface constraint defines the interface between clients and servers.
- This is probably secret of REST's simplicity and strength.
- RESTful systems expose a standard, unambiguous, clear and human-readable API because of this constraint.
  - Such a principle allows for model-driven approaches.

## Uniform Interface Requirements

- Resource-based
- Manipulation of Resources Through Representations
- Self-descriptive Messages
- HATEOAS (dafuq ?!)

#### Uniform Interface II

#### Resource-Based

- RESTful systems handle resources: servers host resources and clients want to CRUD them
  - ⇒ Create or Read or Update or Delete
- Resources have a hierarchical nature
- Resources are identified and referenced by the mean of URIs
  - ⇒ Uniform Resource Identifier

## Uniform Interface III

#### **HTTP Verbs**

- CRUD in Web-based systems means exploiting HTTP methods, which are often called "verbs" because of their usage within APIs:
  - POST is used for resource Creation
  - GET mean is to Read resources
  - PUT aim is to Update resources
  - DELETE is used to Delete resources
- HTTP Status codes and their general purpose semantics are part of the uniform interface too:
  - e.g. any successful request will result in a 200: Ok or 204: No Content status code (depending on weather the response has body or not)
  - e.g. trying to GET or DELETE any non-existent resource will result in a 404: Not Found status code
  - e.g. POSTing an already-existing user will result in a 409: Conflict status code

## Uniform Interface IV

- Example of resource creation:
  - we want to edit some user's username from gciatto92 to gciatto
  - suppose no authentication is needed

## Example of non-RESTful approach

- GET http://example.com/users?user=gciatto92&operation=changeName&newName=gciatto
- × RPC style: the request contains the to-be-called operation
- × No semantics for GET verb
- × Which resource am I editing?

## Uniform Interface V

#### Example of RESTful approach

- PUT http://example.com/users/gciatto92?newName=gciatto
- ✓ I'm editing the resource gciatto92, which is a user, composing the users resource, which represents the collection of registered users
- √ PUT verb means Update and that's what I am doing
- √ URI queries are simply a mean for payload transport

## Uniform Interface VI

## Manipulation of Resources Through Representations

- Resources are not accessed (CRUDed) directly but through their representation(s)
- Representations should expose resources traits to clients enabling them to do what they are allowed to, no more and no less
- Clients cannot make assumptions upon resources implementations, they can only exploit representations

## Self-descriptive Messages

- Each message must include enough information to describe how to process the message itself
  - E.g. HTTP's Content Negotiation is a powerfull feature: use it!
    Accept and Content-Type headers allow different representations for resources exposing some business logic
  - Prefer JSON but try to support XML

## Uniform Interface VII

## HATEOAS - Hypermedia As The Engine Of Application State

- Difficult constraint to fully accomplish IMHO
- Weak definition is enough for our concerns: "Services responses should contain 'relational links' when they may be useful"
- Relationships are standardized
- In completely-RESTful HTTP-based systems, relational links are the only mean used by clients to interact with servers

## Uniform Interface VIII

## Example

GET http://example.com/users?limit=3 supposing the first three users are gciatto, mfrancia and mneri, it should return something like:

# Layered System

#### Rules

- A client cannot ordinarily tell whether it is connected directly to the end server, or to an intermediary along the way
- Intermediary servers may improve system scalability by enabling load-balancing and by providing shared caches
- Layers may also enforce security policies

#### In practice

DO NOT make assumption about network topology

# Code on demand (optional constraint)

#### Rules

• Servers are able to *temporarily* extend or customize the functionality of a client by transferring logic to it that it can execute

#### In practice

**JavaScript** 

#### Table of Contents

- REST in practice
  - Overview
  - Principles
    - Client-server
    - Stateless interaction
    - Cacheability
    - Uniform Interface
    - Layered System
    - Code on demand
- Model-First approach
  - Overview
    - Steps of REST Service Creation
    - Model-first tools

## Outline

- REST in practice
  - Overview
  - Principles
    - Client-server
    - Stateless interaction
    - Cacheability
    - Uniform Interface
    - Layered System
    - Code on demand
- Model-First approach
  - Overview
    - Steps of REST Service Creation
    - Model-first tools

# Steps of REST Service Creation I

Supposing we already defined some *logic architecture* of the system or we 'simply' need to wrap some pre-existing SW:

- 1. Define the mean(s) for user/caller authentication
- 2. Identify resources and HTTP verbs they support
- 3. Assign some *route* (URI) to each resource
  - Routes can contain one or more query parameters

# Steps of REST Service Creation II

- 4. For each method supported by each route:
  - 4.1 Choose one ore more needed authentication means
  - 4.2 Define supported request/response *content-types* 
    - application/json, application/xml, text/html, ...
  - 4.3 Define where to put request's arguments
    - Headers, Body, URI, Query
  - 4.4 Define request's arguments structures
    - JSON Schema, DTD, XML Schema, ...
  - 4.5 Define *status codes* allowed as *responses* and, for each one:
    - Body's structure
    - Headers' structure

# Steps of REST Service Creation III

## **INSERT EXAMPLE IMAGE**

#### Model-first tools

What if we had some formal way to model APIs?

#### Swagger



swagger http://swagger.io

# RAML - RESTful API Modelling Language



PAME http://www.raml.org

# Api Blueprint



apiblueprint https://apiblueprint.org/

# Further Reading I



Fielding, Roy Thomas

Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures.

Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Irvine, 200.

http://www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/rest\_arch\_style.htm



RestApiTutorial.com

Learn REST: A RESTful Tutorial

http://www.restapitutorial.com/