## **Hash Tables**

- (a) Implement class ChainedHashTable.
  - You will need to modify the ListNode struct from previous assignments to include two data members:
    - The key which will be of type string
    - The value which is a count and is of type int
  - Each hash table should have an array capacity of 5000 elements
  - Implement and test ChainedHashTable

```
// an abstract struct to parent your various hasher classes
struct Hasher{
  virtual int hash(string s, int N) = 0;
// your first working hashing class
struct GeneralStringHasher: Hasher{
 int hash(string key, int N){
   unsigned hashVal = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < key.size(); i++)</pre>
      hashVal = (127 * hashVal + key[i]) % 16908799;
   return hashVal % N;
 }
};
class ChainedHashTable{
  private:
    //data members
    //hint: have a data member that is a hasher reference
  public:
    ChainedHashTable(int capacity, Hasher& myHasher){
      //implement constructor
    //copy constructor
    //destructor
    //first testing functions to call from testHashTable function.
    //Run testHashTable for errors
    //continue incrementally adding methods to class and test cases
    //to testHashTable
};
//individual method testing functions
void testConstructor(Hasher& hasher){
  //create an empty ChainedHashTable object
void testCopyConstructor(Hasher& hasher){
  //create a ChainedHashTable object
```

```
//create a second object as a copy of the first object
}
//etc
//overall tester function
void testHash(char *inputFileName, Hasher& hasher){
    //call test functions
    //you may want to instantiate a ChainedHashTable object to pass as
    //a reference to some of the more advanced testing features
}
int main(){
    GeneralStringHasher h;
    testHash("random.txt", h);
}
```

- Define operator[] on your ChainedHashTable
  - If you have a ChainedHashTable object called table and a string object called word, then table[word]++ should result in incrementing the counter (i.e the value of the element with key matching word. HINT: think carefully about what operator[] needs to return for this to work properly.
- For your homework please include the time complexity O(N) of each of the following functions:

```
- ChainedHashTable::insert
- ChainedHashTable::find
- ChainedHashTable::remove
- void insertAll(ChainedHashTable& h,...)
- void findAll(ChainedHashTable& h,...)
- void removeAll(ChainedHashTable& h,...)
- int hash(string s, int N)
- int& operator[](string s)
```

## (b) Testing ChainedHashTable

- You will be using two input files of words:
  - random.txt
     words.txt
- Create the following three global functions (not class methods) in testHash.cpp
  - insertAll

- findAll
- removeAll
- Measure the time for each for the above functions as follows
  - For each input file,
    - \* Starting with k = 1, insert k/10th of the words 4500 \* k into your hash table object
    - \* Using your global functions, measure the time to:
      - · Insert all the words from the file into your table
      - · Find all the words from the file in your table
      - · remove all the words from the file from your table (should support removal of entire hash table entry or decrement the counter)
    - \* Increment k and repeat until k = 10
  - Include two tables of the results in the following format:

random.txt						
	N (number of inputs)					
	4500	9000		45000		
insertAll T(N)	.1s	.25s		1.0s		
findAll T(N)	.1s	.25s		1.0s		
removeAll T(N)	.1s	.25s		1.0s		

words.txt						
	N (number of inputs)					
	4500	9000		45000		
insertAll T(N)						
findAll T(N)						
removeAll T(N)						

- (c) Comparing the Performance of Three Different Hash Functions
  - The three hash functions to be compared are:
    - See below. Hash which shifts the lower 6 bits from each character
    - One that sums up the ASCII codes of the string
    - One that multiplies the ASCII codes of the string together

```
int hash(string key, int N){
  const unsigned shift = 6;
  const unsigned zero = 0;
  unsigned mask = ~zero >> (32-shift); // low 6 bits on
  unsigned result = 0;
```

```
for (int i = 0; i < key.size(); i++)</pre>
    result = (result << shift) | (key[i] & mask);</pre>
  return result % N;
}
```

• Create three additional hasher derived structs with respect to the mentioned hash functions

```
//A rough idea of these Hashers - //
//some modifications may be needed//
struct SumHasher : Hasher {
  int hash(string s, int N) {
    int result = 0;
    for (int i=0; i<s.size(); ++i)</pre>
      result += s[i];
    return abs(result) % N;
  }
};
struct ProdHasher : Hasher {
  int hash(string s, int N) {
    int result = 1;
    for (int i=0; i<s.size(); ++i)</pre>
      result *= s[i];
    return abs(result) % N;
  }
};
```

- For each hash function
  - Insert all the words from random.txt, then print out the following statistics (using the functions constructed.
    - \* min chain length
    - \* max chain length
    - \* average chain length
    - \* standard deviation
  - Report the time to insert, find, and remove all the words using that particular hash function
  - See partial sample console output...

```
Hash function 1 chain length statistics:
        min = 0; max = 400; average = 10.3; st_dev = 4.5
        insertAll = 70 sec
        findAll = 100 sec
        removeAll = 85 sec
Hash function 2 chain length statistics:
        //(etc...)
```

- Please submit your
  - Source code with time complexity analysis
  - a script file with execution under valgrind with no memory leaks (you may use a reduced length input file if execution takes too long, i.e grab 4500 words from random.txt)
  - a text file that includes 2 tables showing the results for  $N = 4500, 9000, \dots, 45000$
  - A separate script file showing the console output for each hash function