Exercise 9: Time evolving block decimation (TEBD)

This exercise uses the provided files `a_mps.py, b_model.py, c_tebd.py` (and for comparison some exact diagonalization code in `tfi_exact.py`).

a) Read the code in the file `a_mps.py`. This file defines the class `MPS` in an object-oriented approach. In short, defining the class is defining a "type" which collects data in attributes (e.g. `MPS.Bs, MPS.L`) and has methods (e.g. `MPS.site_expectation_value`) which can use the attributes (referenced with the special first argument `self`) for calculations. Generate an *instance* of the MPS class representing the state $|\uparrow\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle$ with the function `init_spinup_MPS`, for the start with $L = 14$ sites. Check that the (site) expectation values of the operators $\sigma^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\sigma^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ give the expected values.

b) Write a function similar to `init_spinup_MPS`, but initialize an MPS for the state $|\rightarrow\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\rangle$. Check the expectation values again.

Hint: This state is also a product state of $|\rightarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)$, so the singular values remain the same and the shape of each `B` is still (1,2,1). You should expect rounding errors of the order of machine precision $\approx 10^{-15}$.

c) Read the file `b_model.py`. It defines a class representing the transverse field ising model for a given choice of coupling parameters. Calculate the energy for $L = 14, J = 1$ and $g \in \{\, 0.5, 1, 1.5 \,\}$ for each of the above defined two product states.

d) Read the file `c_tebd.py`, which implements the time evolving block decimation. Call the function `example_TEBD_gs_finite`, which performs an imaginary time evolution to project onto the ground state. (As we will see next week, DMRG is an better alternative to find ground states, but since we only discussed TEBD in class so far, we will use this method.)

e) **Global quench.** Calculate the real time evolution of the spin-up state, $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt}|\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle$ for $L = 14, J = 1, g = 1.5$. As a first choice, use the parameters `chi_max = 30, eps=1.e-10`. Evolve up to time $t = 10J$. Measure and plot the total magnetization $\sum \sigma_i^z$ and the half-chain entropy as a function of time t.

Hint: Don't forget the imaginary i for the time step when calculating `U_bonds`. For the measurements, you can use the methods `MPS.site_expectation_value` and `MPS.entropy`.

f) By plotting the same expectation values for different parameter choices, check whether (or up to which time) the results are converged in `dt` and `chi_max`, for the small chain of $L = 14$ and for a larger chain with $L = 50$.

g) Write a function replacing `c_tebd.run_TEBD` to run TEBD with a second-order (in dt) Trotter-decomposition. Regenerate the plot of f) with the second-order TEBD.

Hint: E.g. for `N_steps = 3`, the first order expansion evolves with

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E dt} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^O dt} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E dt} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^O dt} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E dt} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^O dt}, \tag{1}$$

while the second order expansion would read

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E \frac{dt}{2}} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^O dt} \underbrace{e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E \frac{dt}{2}} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E \frac{dt}{2}}}_{=e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E dt}} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^O dt} \underbrace{e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E \frac{dt}{2}} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E \frac{dt}{2}}}_{=e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E dt}} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^O dt} e^{-\mathrm{i}H^E \frac{dt}{2}} \tag{2}$$

Therefore, you need another argument `U_bonds_half_dt`.

h) **Local quench.** Calculate the (approximate) ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ of a $L = 50$ chain using `c_tebd.example_TEBD_gs_finite` for $g = 1.5$. Apply the local operator $S^x_{n_0}$, where n_0 is the index of a site in the center of the chain, by multiplying it to the corresponding B tensor of the ground state[1]. Perform a real time evolution of this initial state. Measure the entropy for cuts on the different bonds. Create a color-plot showing the entropy versus time t on the y-axis and the bond of the cut n on the x-axis. You should observe a light-cone structure.

[1] Since $S^x_{n_0}$ is unitary, the canonical form is preserved and you don't need to worry about that. Be warned that if you apply a generic operator like $S^+_{n_0}$, you need to restore the canonical form before starting the time evolution