

Please note that the deadline for hand-ins for sheet 8 is extended until Sunday, June 16, due to the late upload.

Exercise 8: Time evolving block decimation (TEBD)

This exercise uses the provided files `a_mps.py`, `b_model.py`, `c_tebd.py` (and for comparison some exact diagonalization code in `tfd_exact.py`).

- a) Read the code in the file `a_mps.py`. This file defines the class `MPS` in an object-oriented approach. In short, defining the class is defining a “type” which collects data in attributes (e.g. `MPS.Bs`, `MPS.L`) and has methods (e.g. `MPS.site_expectation_value`) which can use the attributes (referenced with the special first argument `self`) for calculations. Generate an *instance* of the `MPS` class representing the state $|\uparrow\uparrow\ldots\uparrow\rangle$ with the function `init_spinup_MPS`, for the start with $L = 14$ sites. Check that the (site) expectation values of the operators $\sigma^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\sigma^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ give the expected values.
- b) Write a function similar to `init_spinup_MPS`, but initialize an `MPS` for the state $|\rightarrow\rightarrow\ldots\rightarrow\rangle$. Check the expectation values again.
Hint: This state is also a product state of $|\rightarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)$, so the singular values remain the same and the shape of each `B` is still $(1,2,1)$. You should expect rounding errors of the order of machine precision $\approx 10^{-15}$.
- c) Read the file `b_model.py`. It defines a class representing the transverse field ising model for a given choice of coupling parameters. Calculate the energy for $L = 14$, $J = 1$ and $g \in \{0.5, 1, 1.5\}$ for each of the above defined two product states.
- d) Read the file `c_tebd.py`, which implements the time evolving block decimation. Call the function `example_TEBD_gs_finite`, which performs an imaginary time evolution to project onto the ground state. (As we will see next week, DMRG is a better alternative to find ground states, but since we only discussed TEBD in class so far, we will use this method.)
- e) **Global quench.** Calculate the real time evolution of the spin-up state, $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\uparrow\ldots\uparrow\rangle$ for $L = 14$, $J = 1$, $g = 1.5$. As a first choice, use the parameters `chi_max = 30`, `eps=1.e-10`. Evolve up to time $t = 10J$. Measure and plot the total magnetization $\sum \sigma_i^z$ and the half-chain entropy as a function of time t .
Hint: Don't forget the imaginary i for the time step when calculating `U_bonds`. For the measurements, you can use the methods `MPS.site_expectation_value` and `MPS.entropy`.
- f) By plotting the same expectation values for different parameter choices, check whether (or up to which time) the results are converged in `dt` and `chi_max`, for the small chain of $L = 14$ and for a larger chain with $L = 50$.

- g) Write a function replacing `c_tebd.run_TEBD` to run TEBD with a second-order (in dt) Trotter-decomposition. Regenerate the plot of f) with the second-order TEBD.

Hint: E.g. for `N_steps = 3`, the first order expansion evolves with

$$e^{-iH^E dt} e^{-iH^O dt} e^{-iH^E dt} e^{-iH^O dt} e^{-iH^E dt} e^{-iH^O dt}, \quad (1)$$

while the second order expansion would read

$$e^{-iH^E \frac{dt}{2}} e^{-iH^O dt} \underbrace{e^{-iH^E \frac{dt}{2}} e^{-iH^E \frac{dt}{2}}}_{=e^{-iH^E dt}} e^{-iH^O dt} \underbrace{e^{-iH^E \frac{dt}{2}} e^{-iH^E \frac{dt}{2}}}_{=e^{-iH^E dt}} e^{-iH^O dt} e^{-iH^E \frac{dt}{2}} \quad (2)$$

Therefore, you need another argument `U_bonds_half_dt`.

- h) **Local quench.** Calculate the (approximate) ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ of a $L = 50$ chain using `c_tebd.example_TEBD_gs_finite` for $g = 1.5$. Apply the local operator $S_{n_0}^x$, where n_0 is the index of a site in the center of the chain, by multiplying it to the corresponding B tensor of the ground state¹. Perform a real time evolution of this initial state. Measure the entropy for cuts on the different bonds. Create a color-plot showing the entropy versus time t on the y -axis and the bond of the cut n on the x -axis. You should observe a light-cone structure.

¹Since $S_{n_0}^x$ is unitary, the canonical form is preserved and you don't need to worry about that. Be warned that if you apply a generic operator like $S_{n_0}^+$, you need to restore the canonical form before starting the time evolution