# Policy Brief: Confronting NIMBYism in Hazard Mitigation - A Federal Imperative

Author: FutureFoundationsPAC.org

July 11th 2025

## The Problem: Local Politics vs. National Safety

Hazard mitigation projects, particularly those addressing flood risks like dams, resilient bridges, and accurate flood plain mapping, are frequently stalled or distorted by local political pressures and "Not In My Backyard" (NIMBY) opposition. This local resistance, often fueled by development interests or aesthetic concerns, directly jeopardizes public safety and increases national disaster costs.

**Recent Example:** The devastating Texas floods of 2025 highlighted how delayed or inadequate infrastructure, partly due to such issues, can lead to catastrophic outcomes. Similarly, historical resistance to critical mitigation projects, such as the proposed dam in Buncombe County, NC, has left communities vulnerable to flash floods.

# The Solution: Empowering Federal Expertise

To overcome these critical barriers, federal agencies with specialized expertise must be empowered to lead and ensure the implementation of essential mitigation measures.

#### **Key Policy Recommendations:**

- 1. Elevate USACE as the Definitive Engineering Authority:
  - Mandate USACE Leadership: For major flood control and resilient infrastructure projects (e.g., dams, large-scale levees, watershed-wide solutions), mandate USACE as the primary planning, design, and construction authority, working in close coordination with FEMA.
  - Override Local Vetoes (with Safeguards): Establish a clear federal mechanism, perhaps through a joint FEMA-USACE determination, to proceed with critical, economically justified, and environmentally sound mitigation projects even in the face of localized NIMBY opposition, especially when regional or national safety is at stake. This would require transparent public engagement and robust scientific justification.
- 2. Federal Control Over Floodplain Mapping Integrity:
  - o Centralized, Non-Political Mapping: Grant FEMA and USACE the explicit

- mandate and resources to be the sole, definitive authorities for all federal flood hazard mapping. This mapping must be insulated from local political influence and developer pressure.
- Enforcement of Accurate Maps: Implement stricter federal penalties for local jurisdictions that intentionally distort or ignore federally mandated flood maps for development purposes. Federal disaster aid could be contingent on adherence to accurate mapping.

## 3. Strategic Secondment and Integrated Planning:

- USACE Engineers in FEMA Mitigation: Permanently embed USACE engineers within FEMA's hazard mitigation divisions. They would provide on-the-ground technical expertise, helping communities identify and advocate for necessary projects, and directly counter local misinformation with scientific facts.
- FEMA Community Engagement in USACE Projects: Embed FEMA
   community engagement specialists within USACE project teams. Their role
   would be to proactively educate local populations on flood risks and
   mitigation benefits, fostering understanding and reducing opposition before it
   escalates.

### 4. Flexible and Incentivized Funding:

- Multi-Benefit Project Funding: Create new federal funding streams that
  explicitly support multi-benefit mitigation projects (e.g., a dam that provides
  flood control, water supply, and recreation) and incentivize local cooperation
  by offering higher federal cost-shares for projects that address regional risks
  and overcome local resistance.
- Performance-Based Aid: Link a portion of federal disaster recovery aid to a community's demonstrated commitment to implementing federally recommended hazard mitigation measures, including those that may face local opposition.

#### Conclusion

The cost of inaction on hazard mitigation, often driven by local political expediency, is paid in lives lost, escalating property damage, and ballooning federal disaster relief. By empowering federal agencies like USACE and FEMA with the authority, resources, and integrated approach necessary to overcome NIMBYism and political manipulation, we can build truly resilient communities and protect our nation's future. It's time for the federal government to take a stronger stance in building the **Future Foundations** of a safer America.

Help us advocate for stronger disaster resilience policies. Donate today at

donate.futurefoundationspac.org.