

# ETL Testing Framework

## Goals

- ▶ fail early
- ▶ automated
- ▶ reproducible
- ▶ quantifiable coverage
- ▶ quantify data accuracy and completeness
- ▶ readable and accessible results
- ▶ simple useable api

# Fail Early

“Fail” means:

- ▶ log and report warnings (ie non-breaking issues)
- ▶ kill a doomed run (ie don't let broken runs continue)

“Early” means:

- ▶ early in the ETL runtime
  - ▶ *a run should fail as soon a breaking issue is detected*
- ▶ early in the data onboarding process
  - ▶ *invalid source data should be detected at upload time*
- ▶ early in pipeline development process
  - ▶ *pipeline bugs should be detected by pipeline team not the customer*

# Automated

Testing should be triggered automatically

- ▶ when new data is recieved
- ▶ when pipeline code changes

# Reproducible

Tests must be repeatable.

- ▶ results must include metadata that can be used to easily rerun (ie parameterize) the same test and get the same results.
  - ▶ date
  - ▶ path, hash, size of each input source file/table ingested
  - ▶ path, hash, size of each output table produced (before/after)

# Quantifiable Coverage of Data (source data)

Coverage measures the proportion of data/code that is tested vs assumed correct.

- ▶ proportion of source files validated before they are ingested
  - ▶ check for existence of expected/required files before pipeline starts
  - ▶ row count
  - ▶ validate schema of source files
- ▶ proportion of source columns profiled before they are ingested
  - ▶ histogram
    - ▶ null/blank count
    - ▶ distinct count
  - ▶ type/format (eg YYYY-MM-DD vs MM/DD/YYYY; zero padding)
- ▶ proportion of source columns constraints checked before they are ingested
  - ▶ referential integrity: validate foreign keys
    - ▶ confirm expected 1..m and 1..1 relationships

## Quantifiable Coverage of Data (output data)

Similarly, proportion of RDM tables/columns/constraints tests before published to client side.

Overall effectiveness of testing effort can be monitored (by management folk) by tracking number of customer reported bugs vs internally discovered bugs.

# Quantifiable Coverage of Pipeline

The proportion of transformations that are tested

- ▶ confirm it was executed and data actually changed

Also, the proportion of customer found issues attributed to pipeline vs source data.

# Quantify Data Completeness and Accuracy (Domain Specific)

- ▶ detection of incomplete data requires data testing coverage (see slide: Quantifiable Coverage of Data)

## Checks Domain Specific

- ▶ testing data accuracy requires domain specific checks to be defined
  - ▶ relative comparisons
    - ▶ chronological checks (eg. order date  $\leq$  ship date)
  - ▶ absolute checks (eg. item price can't be negative)



# Quantify Data Completeness and Accuracy (Generic)

## Generic Profile Regression Testing

► automatically generate histogram for all source and RDM tables  
something like:

```
SELECT COUNT(count_column_name)
FROM table_name
GROUP BY group_by_column_name;
```

column name	column type
test_date	date
table_name	string
count_column_name	string
group_by_column_name	string
count	int
... meta data	

# Readable and Accessable Results

## Readable

- ▶ test results should include a simple “at a glance” summary
- ▶ verbosity should be configurable
  - ▶ succeed quietly, fail loudly

## Accessible

- ▶ emailed whenever ran
- ▶ detailed/drillable/queriable results should be available when debugging
- ▶ compatible with Stuko

# Simple Useable Api

- ▶ easy to add new tests