**Title**

**Authors**

David Linnard Wheeler\*1, Sudha GC Upadhaya1, Jeness Scott2,

Jeremiah Kam Sung Dung2, and Dennis Allen Johnson1

1. Department of Plant Pathology, Washington State University,

Pullman, WA, USA

2.Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Madras, OR, USA

**Correspondence:**

David L. Wheeler

Tel: 1+ (215) 880-3024

david.wheeler@wsu.edu

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section** | **Word count** |
| Main body (without abstract, references & legends) |  |
| Abstract |  |
| Introduction |  |
| Materials and Methods |  |
| Results |  |
| Discussion |  |
| Acknowledgements |  |
| **Figures & Tables** | **Number** |
| Number of Figures | 6 |
| Figures to be published in color | 6 |
| Tables | 2 |
| Supporting figures |  |

**Abstract**

The fungus *Verticillium dahliae* is an endophyte and pathogen of hundreds of plant species.The goal of this research was to characterize *V. dahliae*  and host genes during symptomatic and asymptomatic infections. To accomplish this goal, the following null hypotheses were tested: differences in gene expression do not exist (i) within hosts during infection with different *V. dahliae* isolates that vary in aggressiveness*,* (ii) between *V. dahliae* isolates within a host, and (iii) between *V. dahliae* isolates across symptomatic and asymptomatic hosts. Potato, peppermint, and brown mustard plants were inoculated with two isolates of *V*. *dahliae* that vary in aggressiveness. Whole plants were harvested 10 days post inoculation. Dual RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) was completed. In total 2,214, 1588, 2,079 and 41 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were detected from potato, peppermint, brown mustard, and *V. dahliae*, respectively*.* Of these, 12, 4, 10, and 4 genes were validated for potato, peppermint, brown mustard, and *V. dahliae* with real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR). For both symptomatic hosts, potato and mint, at least twice as many DEGs were detected from plants inoculated with the most aggressive isolate of *V. dahliae* compared to plants inoculated with the less aggressive isolate. Of the characterized DEGs, some were associated with transcription factor activity, protein and RNA binding, and carbon fixation. For *V. dahliae*, only 2 DEGs were detected between isolates within a host. Of these genes, one was uncharacterized and one was associated with oxioreductase activity. Lastly, a total of 15 DEGs were detected between asymptomatic mustards plants and either potato or peppermint. While some of these DEGs were uncharacterized, others were involved in catalytic, peptidase, oxioreductase, and hydrolase activity. This research has documented the similarilties and differences in host and fungal gene expression during infection with isolates of *V. dahliae* that vary in aggressiveness.

**Introduction**

Members of the fungal genus *Verticillium* infect hundreds of plant species (Berlanger and Powelson, 2000). Individual isolates of the most economically destructive species of *Verticillium*, *V. dahliae* Kleb., (Pegg and Brady, 2002) can behave as both pathogens and endophytes on different hosts (Malcolm et al 2015; Wheeler et al. 2018). For example, some hosts, like brown mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.), are colonized but do not express detectable symptoms (Wheeler and Johnson, 2016). Other hosts, like potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and peppermint (*Mentha* x *piperita* L.) are susceptible, express acute symptoms, and respond to infection with reductions in biomass (Dung et al. 2010; Johnson and Dung, 2010).

Successful management of these symptomatic reactions to *V. dahliae* could potentially be improved with more information about the genetic dynamics that influence symptomology. As of now, management of Verticillium wilts is difficult because *V. dahliae* produces survival structures called microsclerotia that can survive for 14 years (Wilhelm 1955), has a wide host range (Berlanger and Powelson, 2000), is sometimes (Tsror et al. 2005) but not always sensitive to soil-fumigants (Woodward et al. 2011), and resistance to the fungus is not always present in cultivars that possess other desirable traits (Johnson and Dung, 2010). Solutions to these management obstacles could conceivably be revealed by information about the genes that dictate biological processes in both *V. dahliae* and its hosts.

The authors are not the first to make this observation. Reports of transcriptional differences among isolates of *V. dahliae* and its hosts are abound. For example, differentially expressed genes (DEG) of *V. dahliae* isolates were detected by Duressa *et al*. 2013, Jin *et al*. 2019, and Jiménez-Ruiz *et al*. 2019 under different conditions. Similarly, DEGs from various hosts infected with *V. dahliae* have been reported by Guo *et al.* 2017, Sun *et al.* 2017, Tan *et al.* 2015. Finally, at least one study documented the transcriptomes of both *V. dahliae* and one of its hosts, *Arabidopsis*, during infection (Scholz *et al.* 2018). Thus, gene expression profiles *V. dahliae* and its symptomatic hosts have received considerable attention.

In contrast, the authors are not aware of any studies that have documented the transcriptomes of multiple *V. dahliae* isolates and asymptomatic or symptomatic hosts during infection. The goal of this research is to fill this gap. To accomplish this goal, the asymptomatic host, brown mustard, and symptomatic hosts, including potato and peppermint, were separately inoculated with one of two isolates of *V. dahliae* that varied in aggressiveness. Dual RNA-seq was subsequently completed. DEGs were detected and validated. The results documented here demonstrate …

**Materials and Methods**

To test the hypotheses stated above, a dual RNA-seq trial was completed and validated with real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR). Each trial is described below.

**RNA seq trial**

The experimental design of the dual RNA-seq trial is described in **Figure 1**. The treatment structure was a 3 x 3 completely crossed factorial design. The first factor, host, consisted of three levels: Russet Burbank potato, Black Mitchum peppermint, and brown mustard ISCI 99. Similarly, the second factor, fungus, consisted of three levels: *Verticillium dahliae* isolate 653 (aggressive towards potato), *V. dahliae* isolate 111 (aggressive towards mint), and a water control. Each treatment was replicated 10 times and arranged in a randomized complete block design in a greenhouse.

Seeds of brown mustard, potato plantlets, and cuttings of peppermint with 2-4 nodes were planted in Turface® (Profile Products LLC, Buffalo Grove, IL) to enable easy harvesting. Plants were fertigated with 100 ppm of 20-10-20 NPK (Peters Professional, Summerville, SC) daily until all plants emerged from the Turface. Upon emergence of all plants, the Turface was either drenched with 100ml of 1 x 106 *V. dahliae* conidia/ml or sterile distilled water. After inoculation, plants were grown under a 15 hour/day photoperiod for 10 days.

Whole plants were harvested 10 days post inoculation (dpi). Harvested plants were subsequently flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at -80°C for 1 week, and lyophilized. Once dried, whole plants were ground with a mortar and pestle. RNA was extracted with a modified version of Kumar *et al.* 2007 (**Supplementary document 1**). Estimates of RNA quality and quantity were determined by fragment analysis (Advanced Analytical Technologies Inc., Ankeny, IA) at Washington State University’s Center for Reproductive Biology, Molecular Biology and Genomics Core.

Samples of 3 biological replicate samples from each treatment were sent to Novogene corporations (Beijing, China) for library preparation and sequencing. In short after RNA qualification, mRNA was enriched with oligo (dT) beads, cDNA was synthesized and purified with end-repairs and the addition of poly (A) tails and ligation of adapters. Fragments were amplified and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

For potato and *V. dahliae,* RNA fragments were mapped to each respective reference genome (potato: PRJNA63145; Xu *et al.* 2011; *V. dahliae*:PRJNA225532; Klosterman et al. 2011). For peppermint and brown mustard, RNA fragments were first filtered to remove reads with adapter contamination, reads comprised of 10% or more uncertain nucleotides, or reads comprised of 50% or more of low-quality nucleotides. After filtering, clean reads were assembled with Trinity (Grabherr *et al.* 2011). Redundant contigs were identified and removed with hierarchical clustering in Corset (Davidson and Oshlack, 2014). Unigenes were then selected as the longest transcript for each cluster.

Gene expression levels were determined and DEGs identified with the DESeq2 package version 1.26.0 (Love *et al.* 2014) in R version 3.6.2 (R Core Team (2019)). Reads with fewer than 1 count across samples were removed to expedite analysis. Contrasts between hosts within an isolate of V. *dahliae* and between isolates within a host were calculated after log fold change shrinkage (LFC) with the lfcShrink() function in R. *P-*values were adjusted to *q*-values = 0.001 to control for the False-Discovery Rate (FDR).

Functional annotation of unigenes was completed with seven databases: NR, NT, Pfam, KOG/COG, Swiss-Prot, KEGG, and GO. Alignment of unigenes to protein databases was accomplished with several softwares. Alignment of unigenes in NR, Swiss-Prot, and KOG was completed with Diamond 0.8.22 (Buchfink *et al.* 2015) with evalue thresholds of 1 × 10−5 for NR and Swiss-Prot and 1 × 10−3 for KOG. Alignment of unigenes in NT was completed with NCBI’s Blast 2.2.28+ (Altschul *et al.* 1990) with an e-value threshold of 1 × 10−5. Alignment of unigenes in Pfam was completed in HMMER (Eddy 2011) with an e-value threshold of 1 × 10−2. Alignment of unigenes in GO was completed with results from Pfam and NR in Blast2GO v2.5 (Götz et al., 2008) with an e-value of 1 × 10−6. Finally, alignment of unigenes in KEGG was completed with the KAAS-KEGG Automatic Annotation Server with an e-value of 1 × 10−10 (Moriya *et al.* 2007).

**Validation trial**

 A subset of the DEGs identified in the RNA-seq experiment described above were validated with an independent experiment. Plants were grown, inoculated, and RNA was isolated, quantified and qualified as described above. cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA in 20µl reaction volume using qScriptTM cDNA SuperMIX (QuantaBio) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNA was diluted to 1:5 in DNAase and RNAase free sterile water for brown mustard, potato, and peppermint gene validation. For V. dahliae gene validation, cDNA was diluted to 1:3. All cDNA was stored at -20°C prior to validation.

A total of 28 DEGs were selected for validation from RNA-seq results. DEGs were selected for each host if they exhibited the highest fold change values or represented putative pathogenicity or virulence-related genes. Primers were designed for each gene using NCBI Primer-BLAST. Primers with 19-23 bp size, 40-60% GC content, amplicon size of 70-180 bp with no self-annealing and primer dimer formation were used. Primer sequences and amplicon lengths are presented in **Supplementary Table 1**.

RT-qPCR was performed in a QuantStudioTM Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using SYBRTM Select Master Mix (2X) (Applied Biosystems) ands 10µl reaction volumes. The reaction mixture consisted of 5µl SYBR Select Master Mix(2X), 0.5µl of each forward and reverse primers (10µM), 1µl diluted cDNA and 3µl sterile water. The cycling conditions for RT-qPCR were 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15s and 60°C for 1 min. Melting curve analysis was performed from 60°C to 95°C with fluorescence reading acquired at 0.5°C increments per cycle to confirm the presence of a single amplified product. Three biological and technical replications were used for all DEGs. Negative controls consisted of samples without cDNA. Primer efficiency and Cycle threshold (Ct) values were calculated using LinRegPCR program (Ruijter *et al*. 2009). Primer efficiencies of DEGs ranged between 72 to 97%. The log2 fold change value was derived using the delta-delta Ct method for each comparison (Livak and Schmittgen 2001). Normalization of the DEGs for potato was completed with the house-keeping gene elongation factor 1-α (EF1α), and with the actin gene (ACT*)* for brown mustard, peppermint, and V. *dahliae* (**Supplementary Table 1**). To confirm the direction of fold change values RT-qPCR data were compared with fold changes obtained from RNA-sequencing. Correlations between fold change estimates from these methods were calculated for each host.

**Results**

**RNA seq trial**

Summary statistics for reference-based transcriptome assemblies for potato and *V. dahliae* are presented in **Tables 1**. For potato, an average of 68% of total reads mapped back to the reference genome across all samples. For *V. dahliae,* an average of 0.1% of total reads mapped back to the reference genome across all samples.

Summary statistics for *de novo-based* transcriptome assemblies for peppermint and brown mustard are presented in **Table 2**. For both peppermint and brown mustard, an average of 98% of raw reads were retained after read cleaning. Similarly, for both peppermint and brown mustard, 99% of total transcripts were identified as unique unigenes.

The number of DEGs varied across hosts and *V. dahliae* isolates. Tables with samples of up to 10 DEGs for each contrast within each host and *V. dahliae* are provided as supplementary files (**Supplementary Tables 2-5**).

Differences in the total number of detected DEGs varied among treatments (**Figure 2**). For brown mustard, a total of 2,079 DEGs were detected (**Figure 2Aa**). Approximately 40% of these DEGs were identified from the contrast between plants infected with *V. dahliae* strain 653 and the non-inoculated control. Conversely, only about 23% of these DEGs were identified from the contrast between plants infected with *V. dahliae* strain 111 and the non-inoculated control. Comparatively fewer DEGs, about 1%, were identified from the contrast between plants infected with different strains of *V. dahliae.* Finally, no shared DEGs were detected in any of the contrasts for brown mustard (**Figure 2Aa**).

For potato, a total of 2,214 DEGs were detected (**Figure 2Ab**). As above, approximately, 47% of these DEGs were identified from the contrast between plants infected with *V. dahliae* strain 653 and the non-inoculated control. Further, about 19% of the DEGs were identified from the contrast between plants infected with *V. dahliae* strain 111 and the non-inoculated control. Like mustard, about 1% of DEGs were identified from the contrast between plants infected with different strains of *V. dahliae.* Finally, 0.1% of DEGs were shared among all of the contrasts for potato (**Figure 2Ab**).

For mint, a total of 1,588 DEGs were detected. (**Figure 2A**). Approximately 83% of these DEGs were identified from the contrast between plants infected with *V. dahliae* strain 111 and the non-inoculated control. Conversely, only about 1% of these DEGs were identified from the contrast between plants infected with *V. dahliae* strain 653 and the non-inoculated control. Still fewer DEGs, about 0.5%, were identified from the contrast between plants infected with different strains of *V. dahliae.* Finally, 0.25% of DEGs were shared among all of the contrasts for brown mint (**Figure 2Ac**).

Like the differences in total DEGs detected among treatments, gene expression patterns varied across hosts and *V. dahliae* isolates (**Figure 3**). In general, patterns in gene expression were patchy within each host and between *V. dahliae* isolates*.* For example, brown mustard genes expressed in response to infection with *V. dahliae* isolates varied from each other as much as each did from plants that were not inoculated (**Figure 3a**). Similarly, for potato, overt differences in gene expression between non-inoculated plants and those inoculated with either isolate of *V. dahliae* represented only a subset of the total genes (e.g. *LOX12\_SOLTU*) (**Figure 3b**). Exceptions to this observation are present, however. For example, potato plants inoculated with either isolate of *V. dahliae* expressed lower levels of several genes (top rows of **Figure 3b**) compared to non-inoculated plants. Likewise for peppermint, there are several cases where plants inoculated with either of the *V. dahliae* isolates exhibit gene expression patterns that are more similar to the non-inoculated plants than to plants inoculated with the other isolate of *V. dahliae*. Finally, for *V. dahliae*, the differences in gene expression between isolates are largely eclipsed in magnitude by the differences observed between hosts. In other words, the strong vertical patterns in **Figure 3d** separate hosts, not isolate differences within a host.

Patterns in DEGs were further investigated with volcano plots (**Figure 4A**). For the DEGs from host plants, the largest consistent differences in the magnitude of gene expression were observed in asymptomatic brown mustard plants. However, the single largest change in relative expression was detected in peppermint plants infected with *V. dahliae* isolate 111 compared to inoculated plants. Further, the most statistically significant changes associated with the smallest *q*-values occurred in potato and peppermint plants inoculated with *V. dahliae* isolate 111 compared to inoculated plants (**Figure 4A**).

For DEGs from *V. dahliae* isolates, the largest differences in the magnitude of gene expression were observed in *V. dahliae* isolate111 recovered from peppermint and compared to mustard or potato (**Figure 4B**). Small but statistically significant differences in the magnitude of gene expression were detected within potato and peppermint, each inoculated with different *V. dahliae* isolates. Moreover, the most statistically significant changes associated with the smallest *q*-values occurred in all comparisons between hosts and within a *V. dahliae* isolate (**Figure 4B**).

The biological functions assigned to genes were similar for all hosts and *V. dahliae* (**Figure 5**). Most genes were involved in cellular and metabolic processes, binding and catalytic activity.

**Validation of RNA-seq results using RT-qPCR**

A subset of the DEGs identified with RNA-seq data above were validated with RT-qPCR. A total of 30 of 43 comparisons of DEGs, including 10 for brown mustard, 12 for potato, 4 for peppermint, and 4 for *V. dahliae*, were validated. These comparisons include expression changes of 5, 9, 3 and 4 genes for brown mustard, potato, peppermint, and *V. dahliae*, respectively. The DEGs with similar direction (up or down-regulation) of fold changes in both RT-qPCR and RNA-seq data are presented in **Figure 6**. The correlation coefficient (*r*) of gene expression changes (log2fold change) between RT-qPCR and RNA seq were 0.97, 0.91, and 0.86, and 0.85 forbrown mustard, potato, peppermint, and *V. dahliae,* respectively **(Supplementary Figure 1).**

Generally, the magnitude of gene expression change was lower in RT-qPCR compared to RNA-seq. Differences if the magnitude of fold changes likely arose from the different normalization methods used for RNA-seq and RT-qPCR (Love *et al.* 2014). In addition, two genes of potato, *PRS2\_SOLTU* and *IER1\_SOLLC*, four genes of brown mustard, *UVB31\_ARATH*, *LUC7L3*, Cluster-15354.91015, and Cluster-15354.125147, two comparisons of peppermint, *EGL1\_ARATH* (111 vs control) and *PMTK\_ARATH* (111 vs control) and one gene of *V. dahliae*, mRNA\_8458 did not show a similar expression pattern as RNA-seq. Potential sources of these differences include different sensitivity of each method, the use of different template RNA for quantification, and inconsistent expression of transcripts in some (Wang *et al*. 2016).

**Discussion**

**Acknowledgements**

**Tables**

**Table 1.** Summary statistics for reference-based transcriptome assembly of *Solanum tuberosum* and *Verticillium dahliae*. Included are the total number of reads generated, reads mapped to the reference genomes, reads that mapped to multiple locations, reads that mapped to only one location, and reads that did not map to the respective reference genome.


|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Source** | ***Solanum tuberosum*** | ***Verticillium dahliae*** |
| Total reads | 65,720,887 ± 16,583,820 | 77,301,461 ± 6,069,398 |
| Mapped reads | 44,601,321 ± 11,149,227 | 103,065 ± 66,259 |
| Multiple mapped reads | 1,764,566 ± 428,612 | 439 ± 327 |
| Uniquely mapped reads | 42,836,754 ± 10,728,311 | 102,625 ± 65,971 |
| Unmapped reads | 21,119,565 ± 5,447,805 | 77,198,396 ± 6,074,812 |

**Table 2.** Summary statistics for *de novo* transcriptome assembly of *Mentha x piperita* and *Brassica juncea*. Included are the sequencing read counts, the number of reads recovered after filtering, the total number of clean nucleotides, the percentage of bases with correct nucleotide recognition greater than 99.9%, the total number of transcripts and unigenes, and the mean lengths of transcripts and unigenes.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Source** | ***Mentha x piperita*** | ***Brassica juncea*** |
| Raw reads | 69,008,844 ± 17,431,082 | 65,190,911 ± 21,342,502 |
| Clean reads | 67,599,600 ± 17,071,506 | 63,754,238 ± 20,863,378 |
| Clean nucleotides (G) | 10 ± 2 | 10 ± 3 |
| Q30 (%) | 96 ± 0.1 | 95 ± 2 |
| Number of transcripts | 266,580 | 223,003 |
| Mean length of transcripts (nt) | 937 | 790 |
| Number of unigenes | 266,009 | 222,364 |
| Mean length of unigenes (nt) | 684 | 792 |

**Figures**

**Figure 1.** The treatment structure for this experiment was a 3 x 3 completely crossed factorial design. The first factor, host, consisted of three levels: brown mustard (a), potato (b), and peppermint (c). Likewise, the second factor, fungus, consisted of three levels: *Verticillium dahliae* isolate 653 (black), *V. dahliae* isolate 111 (red), and a water control (blue). Each treatment was replicated 10 times and arranged in a completely randomized block design.



**Figure 2.** Comparisons of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between (**A**): brown mustard (a), potato (b), and peppermint (c) infected with *Verticillium dahliae* isolate 653 and non-inoculated control (pink), *V. dahliae* isolate 111 and non-inoculated control (blue), and between *V. dahliae* isolates 653 and 111 (orange) and (**B**): *V. dahliae* isolates recovered from each host. Numbers within each venn diagram represent the shared number of DEGs between comparisons.



**Diagram

Description automatically generated**


**Diagram, venn diagram

Description automatically generated**



**Figure 3.** Log fold change of DEGs from plants (**A**) and *Verticillium dahliae* isolates (**B**) as a function of the mean number of normalized counts. Panel (**A**) presents MA plots for brown mustard (a), potato (b), and peppermint (c) plant genes expressed in plants infected with *Verticillium dahliae* isolate 653 vs. the non-inoculated control, *V. dahliae* isolate 111 vs. the non-inoculated control, and *V. dahliae* isolate 111 vs. *V. dahliae* isolate 653. Baseline controls for the last column depend on the host and are labeled accordingly. Panel (**B**) presents MA plots for *V. dahliae* genes expressed in different hosts.

****


**Figure 3.** Heatmaps for the top 20 differentially expressed genes (DEG) for (a) brown mustard, (b) potato, (c) peppermint, and (d) Verticillium dahliae.DEGs are clustered with *k-*means by rows. Columns represent treatments. DEGs without recognizable gene names were not homologous to genes from online repositories. Each cell represents a biological replicate. The color of each cell reflects the relative changes in gene expression illustrated in the legends.

****

**Figure 4.** Volcano plots for (**A**) brown mustard (a), potato (b), and peppermint (c) and (**B**) *Verticillium dahliae.*  Log-transformed *P*-values are expressed as a function of the fold-change between comparisons of interest. Panel **A** illustrates comparisons between *V. dahliae* isolate 653 vs. non-inoculated control, *V. dahliae* isolate 111 vs. non-inoculated control, and between both *V. dahliae* isolates. Panel **B** illustrates comparisons between *V. dahliae* isolates within a host and between hosts within an isolate. Black dots represent genes with relatively small fold-changes and large, non-significant, *P*-values. Yellow dots represent genes with relatively large fold-changes but large, non-significant, *P*-values. Grey dots represent genes with relatively small fold-changes but small *P*-values. Red dots represent genes with relatively large fold-changes and small *P*-values.

****

**Figure 5.** Gene ontology of genes detected from brown mustard (a), potato (b), peppermint (c), and *Verticillium dahliae* (d). The number of genes is expressed as a function of their role in biological processes (red), cellular components (green), and molecular functions (blue).

****

**Figure 6.** Validation of relative expression changes for selected DEGs of brown mustard, potato, peppermint, and *V. dahliae* with RT-qPCR data. The fold change for three biological replicates was calculated using the method for RT-qPCR and average fold change was derived using DESeq2 for RNA-seq. The Log2 fold change value (y-axis) for each comparison (shown in legend) is expressed a function of each gene (x-axis).



**Supplementary Table 1.** List of primer sequence of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) used for the RT-qPCR validation

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Host | Gene name | Forward sequence (5’ to 3’) | Reverse sequence (5’ to 3’) | Amplicon size (bp) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| brown mustard | Cluster-15354.86688 | ATTCACACTGCTCCACGCTA | GGCTGAAGGGTGAGAATGGG | 78 |
| brown mustard | *NUP1\_ARATH* | CCATCCTTGCTTGGATTGCC | ATGCAGGAGGCTAAGGTTGG | 110 |
| brown mustard | *PDRP2\_ARATH* | TATAAAGCAGGCAGCGAAGC | GAGAGCACTCCCCAACGAT | 105 |
| brown mustard | *SCL1\_ARATH* | AACTGCTGAAAAGGATGACAAGT | TGCTCTTGCTGCTTTCCGTT | 84 |
| brown mustard | Cluster-15354.44072 | TGCGTTCCTCAGAACCAGAG | AGCTTCTTCTCCACTGCTGAC | 106 |
| brown mustard | *ACT-2* | TGGGTTTGCTGGTGACGAT | TGCCTAGGACGACCAACAATACT | 290 |
| potato | *PR04\_SOLLC* | GCCGTGCAATTGTGGGTGTC | CGCACACTTTTCCACTAGCAC | 76 |
| potato | *ABAH1\_ARATH* | CCACTTCCTCCTGGTACTTTAGG | AACTTGTTTAGCTGCCTCTGG | 177 |
| potato | PGSC0003DMG400024310 | GAGAAGGAAGATTGGTGGGACA | CTACCCATCCCTCCTCCACA | 105 |
| potato | *LOX12\_SOLTU* | ATTAGCTCTGTTCAAGGTGATCC | TCTCCAAGTAGGCTGGATTGC | 70 |
| potato | *PRR1\_TOBAC* | TGTCTTTTGCCCTTGAAGGCT | GACAACGTCTCACCAGCTCT | 115 |
| potato | *CHSB\_SOLTU* | GAGCTCAAGGAGAAATTTAAGCG | ACAACAACTATGTCTTGCCTTGC | 149 |
| potato | *EDL3\_ARATH* | AATGGTCGGATCGGAGGAGA | TCGGATTACACCCGCAACAG | 70 |
| potato | *WRK40\_ARATH* | AGACAACCCATCTCCAAGAGC | TCGATTGGTCTTCCACGCTT | 95 |
| potato | *TIF5A\_ARATH* | ATGTCCGAGCCTTCATCACC | GGAGCAACTAGTGATGGTATGGT | 130 |
| potato | *EF1α* | ATTGGAAACGGATATGCTCCA | TCCTTACCTGAACGCCTGTCA | 101 |
| peppermint | *CNGC5\_ARATH* |  |  |  |
| peppermint | *EGL1\_ARATH* |  |  |  |
| peppermint | *PMTK\_ARATH\_2* |  |  |  |
| *V. dahliae* | *AOX\_PODAS* | GCTGCGTGGAAGTTTGTGC | TTCTTGTCAACCTGCTGCTCA | 83 |
| *V. dahliae* | *YDDQ\_BACSU* | AAGATTGTGCTCGTCGGGTA | TCTCAGCCAGAGCAACCTTC | 163 |
| *V. dahliae* | mRNA\_1341 | GCTGTCCGCATCTGACTTGT | GGTGACGTTGAACTTTGCCA | 97 |
| *V. dahliae* | *AYG1\_ASPFU* | GATTCGGCTGACCCAGACAG | ACCTTGCCCATATCGAACCG | 89 |
| *V. dahliae* | *ACT* | GGCTTCCTCAAGGTCGGCTATG | GCTGCATGTCATCCCACTTCTTC |  |

**Supplementary Figure 1.** Scatter plots showing the linear relationship between RT-qPCR and RNA-seq gene expression changes in brown mustard, potato, peppermint, and *V. dahliae*. R-value represents the correlation coefficient for the respective host.



**Supplementary Figure 2.** Scatter plot showing the correlation between RNA-seq and RT-qPCR gene expression changes for all hosts. R represents the correlation coefficient between RT-qPCR and RNA-seq expression change data.



**Supplementary Figure 3.** Expression changes of *Verticillium dahliae* genes in peppermint inoculated with isolate 111 relative to peppermint inoculated with isolate 653. RT-qPCR method was utilized to derive expression changes. The delta-delta Ct method was used to calculate log2 fold change for each gene and *V. dahliae* gene Rho was used for normalization.



**References**

1. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 215(3):403–10.
2. Berlanger I, Powelson ML. 2000. Verticillium wilt. The plant health instructor. [WWW document] URL <https://www.apsnet.org/edcenter/intropp/lessons/fungi/ascomycetes/Pages/VerticilliumWilt.aspx> [accessed on 18 March 2020].
3. Buchfink B, Xie C. and Huson D. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat Methods* 12, 59–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3176.
4. Davidson, N.M., Oshlack, A. Corset: enabling differential gene expression analysis for *de novo* assembled transcriptomes. *Genome Biol* 15, 410 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0410-6.
5. Dung JKS, Schroeder BK, and Johnson DA. 2010. Evaluation of Verticillium wilt resistance in Mentha arvensis and M. longifolia genotypes. Plant Dis. 94:1255-1260.
6. Duressa D, Anchieta A, Chen D, Klimes A, Garcia-Pedraja MD, Dobinson KF, and Klosterman SJ. 2013. RNA-seq analyses of gene expression in the microsclerotia of *Verticillium dahliae*. BMC Genomics. 14, 607. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-607>.
7. Eddy SR. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. 2011. PLoS Comput Biol. 10;7(10):e1002195.
8. Götz S, García-Gómez J M, Terol J, et al. 2008. High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. Nucleic Acids Research 36, 3420-3435.
9. Grabherr M G, Haas B J, Yassour M, et al. 2011. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nature Biotechnology 29, 644-652.
10. Guo S, Zuo Y, Zhang Y, Wu C, Su W, Jin W, Yu H, An Y, and Li Q. 2017 Large-scale transcriptome comparison of sunflower genes responsive to Verticillium dahliae.BMC Genomics.18:42. doi:10.1186/s12864-016-3386-7.
11. Jiménez-Ruiz J, Leyva-Pérez MO, Gómez-Lama Cabanás C, Barroso JB, Luque F, Mercado-Blanco J. 2019. The Transcriptome of *Verticillium dahliae* Responds Differentially Depending on the Disease Susceptibility Level of the Olive (*Olea europaea* L.) Cultivar. Genes*. 10*, 251.
12. Jin L, Chen D, Liao S. *et al.* 2019. Transcriptome analysis reveals downregulation of virulence-associated genes expression in a low virulence *Verticillium dahliae* strain. Arch Microbiol. 201, 927–941. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-019-01663-7
13. Johnson DA and Dung JKS. 2010. Verticillium wilt of potato - The pathogen, disease and management. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 32:58-67.
14. Klosterman SJ, Subbarao KV, Kang S, Veronese P, Gold SE, Thomma BPHJ, et al. 2011. Comparative Genomics Yields Insights into Niche Adaptation of Plant Vascular Wilt Pathogens. PLoS Pathog 7(7): e1002137. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002137
15. Kumar, GNM, Iyer S, Knowles NR. 2007. Extraction of RNA from Fresh, Frozen, and Lyophilized Tuber and Root Tissues. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 55: 1674-1678. Doi: 10.1021/jf062941m
16. Langmead B, and Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 9(4):357–U354.
17. Love MI, Huber W, and Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15:550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.
18. Malcolm GM, Kuldau GA, Gugino BK, and Jiménez-Gasco MM. 2013. Hidden host plant associations of soilborne fungal pathogens: An ecological perspective. Phytopathology 103:538-544.
19. Moriya, Y, Itoh M, Okuda S, Yoshizawa A, and Kanehisa M. 2007. KAAS: an automatic genome annotation and pathway reconstruction server. Nucleic Acids Res. 35, W182-W185.
20. Pegg, G. F., and Brady, B. L. 2002. Verticillium Wilts. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, Oxon, UK.
21. R Core Team. 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical

computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

URL https://www.R-project.org/.

1. Sun Q, Jiang H, Zhu X, Wang W, He X, Shi Y, Yuan Y, Du X and Cai Y. 2013. Analysis of sea-island cotton and upland cotton in response to *Verticillium dahliae* infection by RNA sequencing. BMC Genomics 14, 852. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-852>.
2. Scholz SS, Schmidt-Heck W, Guthke R, Furch ACU, Reichelt M, Gershenzon J and Oelmüller R. 2018. *Verticillium dahliae-Arabidopsis* Interaction Causes Changes in Gene Expression Profiles and Jasmonate Levels on Different Time Scales. Front. Microbiol. 9:217. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00217.
3. Trapnell C, Williams B, Pertea G. *et al.* 2010. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nat Biotechnol* 28, 511–515. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1621
4. Tan G, Liu K, Kang J, Xu K, Zhang Y, Lizong H, Zhang J, Li C. 2015. Transcriptome analysis of the compatible interaction of tomato with *Verticillium dahliae*. Frontiers in Plant Science. 6:428. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00428>
5. Tsror L, Shlevin E, and Peretz-Alon I. 2005. Efficacy of metam sodium for controlling*Verticillium dahliae* prior to potato production in sandy soils. Am. J. Pot Res*.* 82, 419–423.
6. Wheeler DL and Johnson DA. 2016. *Verticillium dahliae* infects, alters biomass, and produces inoculum on rotation crops. Phytopathology. 106:602-613.
7. Wheeler DL, Dung JKS, and Johnson DA. 2018. From pathogen to endophyte: emergence of an endophytic population of *Verticillium dahliae* in rotation crops from a sympatric population associated with wilted potatoes. New Phytologist. 222: 497-510.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15567>
8. Wilhelm S. 1955. Longevity of Verticillium wilt fungus in the laboratory and field. Phytopathology 45:180-181.
9. [Woodward JE, Wheeler](https://apsjournals.apsnet.org/doi/10.1094/PHP-2011-0323-02-RS) TA, [Cattaneo](https://apsjournals.apsnet.org/doi/10.1094/PHP-2011-0323-02-RS) MG, [Russell](https://apsjournals.apsnet.org/doi/10.1094/PHP-2011-0323-02-RS) SA, and [Baughman](https://apsjournals.apsnet.org/doi/10.1094/PHP-2011-0323-02-RS) TA. 2011. Evaluation of Soil Fumigants for Management of Verticillium Wilt of Peanut in Texas. Plant Health Progress.12. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHP-2011-0323-02-RS>
10. Xu X, Pan S, Cheng S. *et al.* 2011. Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature* 475, 189–195. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10158>
11. Ruijter, J.M., Ramakers, C., Hoogaars, W.M.H., Karlen, Y., Bakker, O., Van den Hoff, M.J.B. and Moorman, A.F.M. 2009. Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic acids research. 37: 45.
12. Livak, K.J. and Schmittgen, T.D. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2− ΔΔCT method. Methods. 25:402-408.
13. Wang, L., Wang, Y., Cao, H., Hao, X., Zeng, J., Yang, Y. and Wang, X. 2016. Transcriptome analysis of an anthracnose-resistant tea plant cultivar reveals genes associated with resistance to Colletotrichum camelliae. PLoS One. 11:e0148535.