

# ON DISCRETE FOURIER ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS

LETÍCIA MATTOS

ABSTRACT. In this short note we introduce a few tools in discrete Fourier analysis and prove Meshulam and Roth's theorem. These notes are based on a minicourse given by Victor Souza at IMPA, summer 2024.

## 1. LECTURE 1: FOURIER ANALYSIS AND ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a finite abelian group. A 3-AP in  $G$  is simply a set of the form  $\{x, x + y, x + 2y\} \subseteq G$  with  $x \neq y$ . Let  $S \subseteq G$  be a finite subset. We define

$$r_3(S) = \max\{A \subseteq S : A \text{ is 3-AP free}\}.$$

The main question we are interested in is: what is the size of  $r_3([N])$ ?

In 1953, Roth proved that that dense enough sets  $A \subseteq [N]$  must contain 3-AP, for density threshold  $\delta \approx \frac{1}{\log \log N}$ .

In the late 1980's, this was improved by Heath-Brown and Szemerédi to  $\delta \approx \frac{1}{(\log N)^c}$ , for some small  $c > 0$ . This bound was further refined in the works of Bourgain in 1999 and 2008, and Sanders in 2012 where it is shown that one can take  $c = 1/2$ ,  $c = 2/3$ , and then  $c = 3/4$ . In 2011, Sanders then obtained a density-threshold of the form  $\delta \approx \frac{(\log \log N)^6}{\log N}$ . In 2016, this was further sharpened by a factor  $(\log \log N)^2$  by Bloom and then in 2020 again by another factor  $\log \log N$  by Schoen. A bit later, Bloom and Sisask showed that a set  $A \subseteq [N]$  with no 3-progressions must have density

$$\delta = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log N)^{1+c}}\right)$$

for some small  $c > 0$ .

**1.1. Behrend's construction.** In the other direction, it was shown by Behrend in 1946 that for infinitely many values  $N$  there are indeed subsets  $A \subseteq [N]$  of density roughly  $\delta \approx 2^{-\sqrt{\log N}}$  which are 3-AP free.

Behrend's major idea is that if we were looking for a progression-free sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , then we could use spheres. So, consider an  $d$ -dimensional cube  $[0, n]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  and family of spheres  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = t$  for  $t = 1, \dots, dn^2$ . Each point in the cube is contained in one of the spheres, and so at least one of the spheres contains  $n^d/(dn^2)$  lattice points. Let us call this set  $A$ .

Since spheres do not contain arithmetic progressions,  $A$  does not contain any progressions either. Now let  $f$  be a Freiman isomorphism from  $A$  to a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined as follows. If  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$  is a point of  $A$ , then  $f(x) = x_1 + x_2(2n) + x_3(2n)^2 + \dots + x_d(2n)^{d-1}$

That is, we treat  $x_i$  as  $i$ 'th digit of  $f(x)$  in base  $2n$ . Observe that this base was chosen so that  $f$  is a Freiman isomorphism of order 2.

Note that  $f(A) \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N = (2n)^d\}$  and that  $f(A)$  is a progression-free set of size at least  $n^d/(dn^2)$ . Moreover, the density of  $f(A)$  in the interval  $[1, N]$  is at least

$$\frac{n^d}{dn^2N} = \frac{1}{d2^dn^2} \approx \frac{1}{2^dn^2} \approx \frac{1}{2^dN^{\frac{2}{d}}}.$$

The last approximation follows from the fact that  $N = (2n)^d$ . the expression  $2^dN^{\frac{2}{d}}$  is minimized when  $d = \sqrt{\log N}$ , and so we get that the density of  $f(A)$  is at least  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{\log N})}$ .

In a major breakthrough, Kelley and Meka showed that Behrend's construction is essentially optimal. More precisely, they proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.1** *Let  $N$  be a large integer. Then, there exists a subset  $A \subseteq [N]$  of size at least  $Ne^{-(\log N)^{\frac{1}{11}}}$  which has no nontrivial 3-progressions.*

**1.2. A bit of discrete Fourier analysis.** Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group and let  $A \subseteq G$  be an arbitrary subset. Define the indicator function of  $A$  as

$$1_A = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We denote by  $L_2(G)$  the space of complex-valued functions on  $G$  with the usual inner product, namely

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{g(x)} = \mathbb{E}_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{g(x)}.$$

Observe that  $L_2(G)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension  $|G|$ .

The **Physical space basis** is the set of functions  $\delta_z : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\delta_z(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = z \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.2.1** *A character of  $G$  is a group homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . In other words, a character is a function  $\chi$  such that  $\chi(x + y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ .*

The set of characters also defines a group, called the **dual group** of  $G$  and denoted by  $\hat{G}$ . A special character is the identity function  $\chi_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . Now, our next goal is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.2** *The set of characters of  $G$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $L_2(G)$ .*

The first step is to show that the characters are indeed orthonormal is to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.2.3** *Let  $\chi \neq \chi_0$  be a character of  $G$ . Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \in G} \chi(x) = 0.$$

**Proof** Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $G$  different from  $\chi_0$ . As  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ , there exists  $y \in G$  such that  $\chi(y) \neq 1$ . Then, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \in G} \chi(x) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in G} \chi(x + y) = \chi(y) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{x \in G} \chi(x).$$

Since  $\chi(y) \neq 1$ , we conclude that  $\mathbb{E}_{x \in G} \chi(x) = 0$ . □

Now we are ready to prove [Theorem 1.2.2](#).

*Proof of [Theorem 1.2.2](#)* . First, we observe that all characters have norm 1, since

$$\langle \chi, \chi \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{x \in G} \chi(x) \overline{\chi(x)} = \mathbb{E}_{x \in G} |\chi(x)|^2 = 1.$$

Now, we show that they form an orthonormal set. Let  $\chi, \chi'$  be two characters of  $G$ . If  $\chi \neq \chi'$ , then we have

## REFERENCES

IMPA, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRASIL

Email address: [leticiadmat@gmail.com](mailto:leticiadmat@gmail.com)