

CSC 315, Fall 2016

Lab #6: Hypothesis Tests -- Proportions

1. The most recent Reuters poll, released on October 2, 2016 (before the 2nd debate), found that of those surveyed, 712 support Hillary Clinton and 632 support Donald Trump for President of the United States. (About 16% of those surveyed did not respond or were supporting other candidates, but we will ignore these responses in our analysis).
 - a. For this survey, graph the distribution of \hat{p} under the null hypothesis that $p = 0.50$. Draw a vertical line at $\hat{p} = 712/1344$, the proportion voting for Hillary Clinton.
 - b. Calculate the z test statistic and graph its distribution under the null hypothesis under the graph in (a) as was done in class, drawing a vertical line at the z statistic. Find the p -value based on this test statistic.
 - c. Use the *prop.test* function to conduct the hypothesis test *without* the continuity correction. Calculate the z test statistic from the *prop.test* object and extract the p -value (Note: these should match the test statistic and p -value from part (b)).
 - d. State the conclusion regarding whether Clinton or Trump would be expected to win the popular vote, based on this poll, and justify your answer based on the p -value.
 - e. What would it mean in the context of this problem if a Type I error occurred?
2. A person who claims to be a psychic says that she can correctly predict the outcome of a roll of a standard die (with numbers 1-6) more times than what would be expected by chance. When you roll a die 50 times, she correctly predicts the outcome 12 times. (Note: you may use the *prop.test* function to complete (b) and (c)).
 - a. State the null and alternative hypothesis corresponding to this claim.
 - b. Find the z test statistic
 - c. Find the p -value
 - d. State the conclusion regarding whether or not the person's ability to predict the outcome of the die is different than what would be expected by chance.
 - e. What would it mean in the context of this problem if a Type II error occurred?
3. Five years ago, 36% of adults reported making all or most of their purchases with cash. In a Gallup poll conducted June 22-23, 2016, a survey of 1,024 adults found that 246 (~24%) of those surveyed make all or most of their purchases with cash. Is there evidence that the proportion of adults making all or most of their purchases in cash has changed in the past 5 years?

Answer this question by carrying out steps (a) – (d) of the problem above, testing specifically whether the current proportion differs from 36%.

4. Find the p -values associated with the following z test statistics, and state whether you would reject or fail to reject the null hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.05$.
- a. $z = 3.32$ b. $z = -1.3$ c. $z = -2.02$
5. A study was conducted to evaluate whether or not a daily dose of aspirin could reduce the risk of dying from cancer. The study used a randomized trial design, where individuals were randomly assigned to receive aspirin or a placebo. (Note: This randomization allows one to conclude a cause-and-effect relationship between the treatment and the response variable). The results of the study are in the table below. Note that you can use the *prop.test* function for (d) – (f).

Group	Death from Cancer		
	Yes	No	Total
Placebo	347	11188	11535
Aspirin	327	13708	14035

- State the null and alternative hypothesis
- What is the estimate of the common population proportion (i.e., the value of p on slide 18).
- What is the standard deviation of the difference between the two proportions (i.e., the value of the denominator of Z on slide 18)?
- What are the sample proportions? (You can use *prop.test* to get this)
- What is the z test statistic?
- What is the p -value?
- State the conclusions regarding whether or not aspirin can prevent the rate of deaths due to cancer, and justify your conclusions based on the p -value.
- What would it mean in the context of this problem if a Type I error occurred?
- What would it mean in the context of this problem if a Type II error occurred?