

WRITING THE ARTICLE

Dr. Garrett Dancik

Research Article – Basic Format

- Abstract: mini version of article
- Introduction
 - Background Information
 - Problem statement or hypothesis
 - Significance
- Materials and Methods
 - How was the research carried out?
 - Sufficient detail is provided so study can be repeated
 - All experiments and observational studies are explained
 - Statistical, mathematical or computational methods are explained and referenced if appropriate

Research Article – Basic Format

- Results

- An unbiased presentation of the results obtained from carrying out the methods
- Graphs and tables should be used to present results
- If the paper describes a new method, tool, or program, that would be described here

- Discussion

- Implications of the results are put into the context of the discipline
- The significance of the results are discussed
- Limitations of the study are discussed
- Possible extensions and future work are discussed

Outline of Hypothetical Example – GD sort vs. quick sort

- Introduction

- Background Information

- Sorting elements alphabetically or by value is a common problem in computer science
 - Common sorting algorithms include....

- Problem statement or hypothesis

- Slow sorting algorithms can slow down programs and limit analyses that depend on sorting, particularly for large datasets

- Significance

- The development of a faster sorting algorithm would allow for more rapid sorting of large datasets that currently cannot be sorted in a reasonable time

Outline of Hypothetical Example – GD sort vs. quick sort

- **Materials and Methods**

- All sorting algorithms are implemented in C++, compiled using Microsoft Visual Studio 2014, and tested on machines running Windows 8.1 with a 4th Generation Intel® Core™ i3-4160 Processor.
- For quicksort, implementation in library XXX was used
- Running times are compared using the two-sample t-test.

Outline of Hypothetical Example – GD sort vs. quick sort

- Results

- Description of GD-sort, with Figure showing pseudocode
- Theoretical running time of GD-sort is derived
- Graphs comparing GD-sort with quick-sort
 - On 1 billion randomly generated numbers between -1 million and + 1 million
 - On 1 billion randomly generated character strings of sizes 10-20.
- In both cases, GD-sort is significantly faster than quick-sort (expected)

Outline of Hypothetical Example – GD sort vs. quick sort

- Discussion

- Theoretical running time shows GD-sort has faster average running time than quicksort
- Experimental results confirm these findings in the datasets we looked at
- Related work
 - references indicating quicksort is 'best' or most popular
 - References that quicksort can be improved with multiple pivots (as could possibly GD-sort)
- Limitations / future work –
 - It remains to be seen how
 - GD-sort performs on nearly sorted datasets
 - Changing the pivot used in GD-sort effects the running time

The FB study

- Introduction
 - Background Information
 - No evidence of social contagions without direct interactions
 - Even then, many studies are observational, not experimental
 - Research suggests that exposure to happiness can be depressing
 - FB must decide what content to show or omit from a person's newsfeed
 - Problem statement or hypothesis
 - Does exposure to positive/negative posts change the emotional content of a user's posts?
 - Significance
 - Would be first to show that emotional states can be transferred to others in the absence of direct interaction

The FB study

- **Materials and Methods**

- Posts are deemed positive or negative based on Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count software
- Experiment:
 - Control – a proportion of posts eliminated at random
 - Treatment – a proportion of positive or negative posts were eliminated at random

- **Results**

- Figure showing that
 - Individuals with positive posts reduced post fewer positive words
 - Individuals with negative posts reduced post more negative words
- Withdrawal effect – users viewing fewer emotional posts were less expressive

The FB study

- Discussion

- Results contrast with other studies suggesting that viewing positive posts has an adverse effect
- First experimental evidence of emotional contagion
- Related work
 - Description of linguistic inquiry and word count tool and studies supporting its accuracy
 - FB content directly effects voter turnout
- Limitations
 - Emotional content of FB posts do not necessarily reflect emotional status of the poster
 - Effect sizes (changes) are small, but small effect sizes not negligible when social network is so large