

# WRITING THE ARTICLE

---

Dr. Garrett Dancik

# Research Article – Basic Format

- Abstract: mini version of article
- Introduction
  - Background Information
  - Problem statement or hypothesis
  - Significance
- Materials and Methods
  - How was the research carried out?
  - Sufficient detail is provided so study can be repeated
    - All experiments and observational studies are explained
    - Statistical, mathematical or computational methods are explained and referenced if appropriate

# Research Article – Basic Format

- Results

- An unbiased presentation of the results obtained from carrying out the methods
- Graphs and tables should be used to present results
- If the paper describes a new method, tool, or program, that would be described here

- Discussion

- Implications of the results are put into the context of the discipline
- The significance of the results are discussed
- Limitations of the study are discussed
- Possible extensions and future work are discussed

# Outline of Hypothetical Example – GD sort vs. quick sort

- Introduction

- Background Information

- Sorting elements alphabetically or by value is a common problem in computer science
    - Common sorting algorithms include....

- Problem statement or hypothesis

- Slow sorting algorithms can slow down programs and limit analyses that depend on sorting, particularly for large datasets

- Significance

- The development of a faster sorting algorithm would allow for more rapid sorting of large datasets that currently cannot be sorted in a reasonable time

## Outline of Hypothetical Example – GD sort vs. quick sort

- **Materials and Methods**

- All sorting algorithms are implemented in C++, compiled using Microsoft Visual Studio 2014, and tested on machines running Windows 8.1 with a 4th Generation Intel® Core™ i3-4160 Processor.
- For quicksort, implementation in library XXX was used
- Running times are compared using the two-sample t-test.

## Outline of Hypothetical Example – GD sort vs. quick sort

- Results

- Description of GD-sort, with Figure showing pseudocode
- Theoretical running time of GD-sort is derived
- Graphs comparing GD-sort with quick-sort
  - On 1 billion randomly generated numbers between -1 million and + 1 million
  - On 1 billion randomly generated character strings of sizes 10-20.
- In both cases, GD-sort is significantly faster than quick-sort

## Outline of Hypothetical Example – GD sort vs. quick sort

- Discussion

- Theoretical running time shows GD-sort has faster average running time than quicksort
- Experimental results confirm these findings in the datasets we looked at
- Related work
  - references indicating quicksort is 'best' or most popular
  - References that quick sort can be improved with multiple pivots (as could possibly GD-sort)
- Limitations / future work –
  - It remains to be seen how
    - GD-sort performs on nearly sorted datasets
    - Changing the pivot used in GD-sort effects the running time

# The FB study

- Introduction
  - Background Information
    - No evidence of social contagions without direct interactions
    - Even then, many studies are observational, not experimental
    - Research suggests that exposure to happiness can be depressing
    - FB must decide what content to show or omit from a person's newsfeed
  - Problem statement or hypothesis
    - Does exposure to positive/negative posts change the emotional content of posts of a user.
  - Significance
    - Emotional states can be transferred to others in the absence of direct interaction



## The FB study

- **Materials and Methods** (paper does not make this explicit)
  - Posts are deemed positive or negative based on Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count software
  - Experiment:
    - Control – a proportion of posts eliminated at random
    - Treatment – a proportion of positive or negative posts were eliminated at random
- **Results**
  - Figure showing that
    - Individuals with positive posts reduced post fewer positive words
    - Individuals with negative posts reduced post more negative words
  - Withdrawal effect – users viewing fewer emotional posts were less expressive

## The FB study

- Discussion

- Results contrast with other studies suggesting that viewing positive posts has an adverse effect
- First experimental evidence of emotional contagion
- Related work
  - Description of linguistic inquiry and word count tool and studies supporting its accuracy
  - FB content directly effects voter turnout

- Limitations

- Emotional content of FB posts do not necessarily reflect emotional status of the poster
- Effect sizes (changes) are small, but small effect sizes not negligible when social network is so large