CSC 450**, Senior Research**

**Selecting References**

A research article not only describes the research being carried out by the authors, but also puts the research in the context of related work, which includes work that provides background, demonstrates significance, supports or describes the methodology, describes similar studies, and describes supportive or contradictory findings.

Assignment: for each listed reference, include the letter below that best describes why the reference is included, and explain *why* the reference contributes to this purpose

1. describes background necessary to understand the research
2. supports the significance of the research, by helping explain why the research is important
3. references aspects of the methodology used to carry out the research
4. describes related studies that put the current research in the context of other work that has been done
5. highlights work that would address a limitation of the current research, and therefore would be useful in future work
6. **CA Evacuation article -** <https://gdancik.github.io/CSC-450/data/hw/Varas_CA_model_evacuation.pdf>
   1. [13] M. Isobe, D. Helbing, T. Nagatani, Experiment, theory, and simulation of the evacuation of a room without visibility, Phys. Rev. E 69 (2004) 066132. (Cited in the Introduction)
   2. [19] D. Helbing, M. Isobe, T. Nagatani, K. Takimoto, Lattice gas simulation of experimentally studied evacuation dynamics, Phys. Rev. E 67 (2003) 067101. (Cited in the Introduction)
   3. [21] Z. Daoliang, Y. Lizhong, L. Jian, Exit dynamics of occupant evacuation in an emergency, Physica A 363 (2005) 501–511. (Cited in the Introduction)
   4. [5] C. Burstedde, K. Klauck, A. Schadschneider, J. Zittartz, Simulation of pedestrian dynamics using a two-dimensional cellular automaton, Physica A 295 (2001) 507–525. (Cited in the summary, pg 640).
   5. [18] L.Z. Yang, D. Zhao, J. Li, T.Y. Fang, Simulation of the kin behavior in building occupant evacuation based on cellular automaton, Build. Environ. 40 (2005) 411–415. (Cited in the summary, pg 641)
7. **Experimental evidence of massive-scale emotional contagion through social networks** - <https://gdancik.github.io/CSC-450/data/hw/FB.pdf>
   1. [3] Fowler JH, Christakis NA (2008) Dynamic spread of happiness in a large social network: Longitudinal analysis over 20 years in the Framingham Heart Study. BMJ 337:a2338. (*Cited twice in the first 2 paragraphs*)
   2. [9] Pennebaker JW, Chung CK, Ireland M, Gonzales A, Booth RJ (2007) The development and psychological properties of LIWC2007. Available at http://liwc.net/howliwcworks. php. Accessed May 10, 2014. (*Cited on pg 8789*)
   3. [10] Golder SA, Macy MW (2011) Diurnal and seasonal mood vary with work, sleep, and daylength across diverse cultures. Science 333(6051):1878–1881. (*Cited in the last paragraph*)
   4. [8] Kramer ADI (2012) The spread of emotion via Facebook. Proc CHI (Association for Computing Machinery, New York), pp 767–770. (*Cited on the right hand side of the first page of the article*)
   5. [15]. Bond RM, et al. (2012) A 61-million-person experiment in social influence and political mobilization. Nature 489(7415):295–298. (*Cited in the last paragraph*)
8. **Measuring User Confidence in Smartphone Security and Privacy -** <https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~daw/papers/confidence-soups12.pdf>

Note: this article describes a survey designed to characterize the perception of issues around smartphone security, specifically with regard to carrying out certain activities and installation habits. This is a very- well written article that follows the format we will use in class. The discussion includes limitations, summarizes findings, and recommendations based on the survey results.

* 1. [5]  Pew: Smartphones overtake feature phones among adults in the U.S. http://www.bgr.com/2012/03/02/pew- smartphones-overtake-feature-phones- among-adults-in-the-u-s/. (*Cited on the first page of the article*)
  2. [23]  A. Felt, M. Finifter, E. Chin, S. Hanna, and D. Wagner. A survey of mobile malware in the wild. In *Proc. of the 1st ACM Workshop on Security and Privacy in Smartphones and Mobile Devices (SPSM)*, 2011. (*Cited on pg 2*)
  3. [39]  R. Wash. Folk models of home computer security. In *Proc. of the Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS)*, 2010. (*Cited in section 2.2*)
  4. [16]  R. Boehme and S. Kopsell. Trained to accept?: A field experiment on consent dialogs. In *Proc. of ACM SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, 2010. (*Cited right before section 5.3*)
  5. [37]  I. Traore and A. Ahmed. Continuous authentication using biometrics: Data, models, and metrics. http://my.safaribooksonline.com/book/- /9781613501290. (*Cited right before section 7.4*)