The futility of mercantilist wars, starting from France and Hamburg

Elisa Tirindelli, Guillaume Daudin

2 September 2016


European nations were nations of eternal war (Jefferson, 1823).

- Indeed, from 1700 to 1825, 2 years out of 3 experienced conflict between major European powers.
- Rivalry between Great-Britain and France was central (2nd Hundred Years War 1688-1815).
- Especially after the death of Louis XIV, mercantile rivalry was an important motivation of Anglo-French wars. (Crouzet 2008, Wallerstein 1980).
- Each nation was jealous of the other's commercial success and the British believed war was a good way to curtail them.

European nations were nations of eternal war (Jefferson, 1823).

- War of the Polish Succession (1733-1738)
- War of the Austrian Succession (1740(44)-1748)
- Seven Years' War (1756–1763)
- War of American independence (1775(78)–83)
- French Revolutionary Wars (1792–1802)
- Napoleonic Wars (1803–1815)

Total silver trade Fance GB



Research question

- What is the precise effect of war?
- How come the pre-1792 wars did not have a lasting effect on French trade?
- Why is it relevant?
 - Important to understand the effect of wars in general
 - Important to understand (and contrast) the geopolitical history of the 18th and 19th century
 - Important to understand the globalization/deglobalization cycle from 1490s to 1840s

Literature

- Most of the works are on the 19th and 20th century
- No agreement on the exact effect, but most believe there are long-lasting effects of war (Blomberg & Hess (2004), Glick & Taylor (2005), but not Barbieri & Levy (1999)...
- The only one of 18th century: Rahman (2007)
- Juhasz (2014) analyses textile industry.
- The resilience of French trade has been remarked by historians (Riley (1984)).
- O'Rourke points out that the Continental Blockade.

Contribution to Literature

- We distinguish trade with neutrals, allies and foes
- First study at the sectoral level
- Focus on Hamburg, which was neutral during the period (double check)
- Conclusion:
 - Distinguishing between goods is very important
 - War was indeed (very) bad for entrepot trade... but not for so long and not much for the rest before the Napoleonic war (Trafalgar? Blockade? Continental system?)


Data

• French dataset:

- Data from the Bureau de la Balance du Commerce
- 3407 bilateral flows between 1713 and 1815
- 868 different goods are found in the dataset only 4 of which appear more than 31 times

German dataset:

- The data come from the Hamburg import toll register
- 1609 observations of aggregate exports flows between 1733 and 1798
- They appear as category of goods, not as single products



Total flows - (source type: Local) | X

Total flows - (source type: National par direction) | X


Total flows - (source type: Objet Général) X

Total flows - (source type: Résumé) | ×


Number of flows per year



Total value of flows per year



Total number of directions per year



	1,000	Cntrees			922/12	Cortics)
Marchandises.	Pais	Valour	Total.	Marchandises.	Pais.	Valeur-	Total.
		A			The state of the s	A	
(carabi-	Bottande	1671	100				
Garabe-	Bostande	81.85		1 / (
Ambire Jano	Portugal.	74.	15/	s Amore giro.	Bottande	and the same of th	55.
ampice 3	La Hemaone	875.		- miles			
Jaune	Sottande Nard	Si. 5 313	-)	1	-)
1	1	2,200	1	Amecons	-		
A. meçons	Gollande		125.	Ch inccons	Espagne	AND A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	560
	1 1000		183.7	1 1 1 1 1 1	Allemagne	th.	48.12
			1 2 2 3 1		Angtelerre	16	64.13
1	Danemark.	25)	5	160	Flandre	hon-	SL16
A midon	Grande	50271	16 195999.	1 Annidor	Bottande		So. So. 13
	(Suide	Ath:			Levant	š.t.	58.
	10.00		1 30		Samue	- A	5.11
	36.000			1	Sousse		52.)
1 - F			1 1 2 2	Amonum	Levant		71
Anabas	Bottande		120,250				
	1610			The same been	pe Atternague		
1	1		A STATE OF S	10-10 20 4 20	chuoisterre		(11 03
,	Espagne		2.5	1	Danwark	<u>A</u> !	27.4
Anxbois_	Stander		. d ibror	. Androis	Flandre		8.8 6.06
	Portnoal .	1232			Carone	150	1.4
1	1		17	The state of the s	Suede	168	7 10
	L Yours		Mr. Ja	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	· sure	LUS	0 10
	Lagreture				10000		1 1 1 1 1 3 3
1	Estione	33321		11	1. 78-1		1 3
Anoxes before	I State	1821	70011	8 Anxerestifin	Lovant		2,56
11'	Levent No.	800 5,201	1	1	1		
The same	Suide	3,661	1.7	1	12 - 13		- M. J 3
	1		16 17 17	7	Allemagne	Comment of the last of the las	44.
Axis	Dragne	13,03	5 1	1 A	Comanie	4,11	19.)
12 Mg 3	Stalie	480	16209	9 Amis	States		15.16 6.07
1			T	ale Larrie	State Portugal	3.	37.9)

Econometric Specification

 I first run a regression on the aggregate exports, distinguishing two wars:


$$\exp(Exports_{i,t}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Year + \beta_2 War$$

I then split exports into different products:


$$\exp(\textit{Exports}_{i,t}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \textit{Year} + \beta_2 \textit{WarCoffee} + \beta_3 \textit{WarEauDeVie} + \beta_4 \textit{WarSugar} + \beta_5 \textit{WarWine} + \beta_6 \textit{WarOther}$$

• I do so both on Hamburg trade and all French trade.


Impact of wars on Coffee




Impact of wars on Sugar



Impact of wars on Wine



Impact of wars on Eau de vie



Impact of Continental blockade vs Mercantilist War

	Predicted	No Predicted	
Mercantilist War Foes	-0.817 (-3.51)***	-0.791 (-3.06)***	
Blockade Foes	-1.159 (-3.60)***	-1.257 (-2.68)***	
Mercantilist War Neutral	1.62 (2.22)*	0.382 (2.22)*	
Blockade War Neutral	-1.047 (-3.28)***	-1.135 (-2.43)***	
Obs	5227	8977	

Conclusion

- We have known for some time that French trade was resilient to war.
 - Use of the neutral flag.
 - Re-routing of exports.
- This was becoming more and more difficult, as the treatment of neutrals was becoming stricter and stricter.
- What other questions?
 - When was the turning point? The 1790s or the 1800 (and the Continental System and the Blockade).
 - Probably not the loss of colonies by itself.
 - Maybe the loss of positive effects for some goods?
- All in all, the trading system seems to have been quite resilient.

Thank you!