# Answers to Hubert Escaith

Guillaume Daudin\*

December 11, 2018

## 1 Why not based on VA trade?

Why don't we simply:

- 1. Compute the origin of the VA content of each good
- 2. Study how the price evolve following a shock on the price of VA in a country or another? Intuition:

That would not do because the price of, e.g. French VA does not change for everybody. Doubt: is that enough an argument? 1 sector, 2 countries

### 1.1 Evolution of VA price

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I - A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - a_{1,1} & -a_{1,2} \\ -a_{2,1} & 1 - a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(I - A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(1 - a_{1,1})(1 - a_{2,2}) - a_{2,1}a_{1,2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - a_{2,2} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & 1 - a_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} = z. \begin{pmatrix} 1 - a_{2,2} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & 1 - a_{1,1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix}$$
French demand shares 
$$= d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - f \\ f \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(I - A)^{-1} d = \begin{pmatrix} u - uf + vf \\ w - wf + xf \end{pmatrix}$$

Donc, en cas de choc c pour le prix de la va dans le pays étranger (en monnaie française), on peut écrire un vecteur de choc : C = (0, c). les prix varient tout d'abord de CA, puis  $CA^2$ , etc. Donc le vecteur de choc S (en monnaie française) est :

$$S = C + CA + CA^{2} \dots = C(I - A)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} cw & cx \end{pmatrix}$$

<sup>\*</sup>PSL, Université Paris-Dauphine, Sciences Po, OFCE. E-mail: guillaume.daudin@dauphine.psl.eu

To measure the effect on French consumption prices, we do a weighted sum of these effects.

$$\bar{s} = c. \left[ (1 - f) w + xf \right] = c. \frac{(1 - f) a_{2,1} + f (1 - a_{1,1})}{(1 - a_{1,1}) (1 - a_{2,2}) - a_{2,1} a_{1,2}}$$
(1)

If each nation's production only uses national inputs, we have a plausible:

$$\bar{s} = c. \frac{f}{1 - a_{2.2}}$$

#### 1.2 Exchange rate shock

Using the notations in the paper...

$$\hat{C}_{\$} = (-c, 0)$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$S = (0,c) + [(0,-c.a_{1,2}) + (c.a_{2,1},0)] * \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (0,c) + (c.a_{2,1},-c.a_{1,2}) * \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (0,c) + (u.c.a_{2,1} - w.c.a_{1,2}, v.c.a_{2,1} - x.c.a_{1,2})$$

$$= (u.c.a_{2,1} - w.c.a_{1,2}, c + v.c.a_{2,1} - x.c.a_{1,2})$$

and

$$\bar{s} = (u.c.a_{2,1} - w.c.a_{1,2}, c + v.c.a_{2,1} - x.c.a_{1,2}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 - f \\ f \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\bar{s} = c \left[ f \left( 1 + v.a_{2,1} - x.a_{1,2} \right) + \left( 1 - f \right) \left( u.a_{2,1} - w.a_{1,2} \right) \right]$$

If each nation's production only uses national inputs, we have a plausible

$$\bar{s} = c.f$$

This seems to confirm that the exchange rate shock is not the same as the VA price shock.

#### 1.3 Residual issue

Starting from 4.2 in the paper

$$E1 = C(i.e.C^{i}) = (0, c)$$

$$E2 = C.\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = (0, c) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (c.a_{2,1}, 0)$$

$$\begin{split} E1.HC &= (0,c) \cdot \binom{1-f}{f} = f.c \\ E2.HC &= (c.a_{2,1},0) \cdot \binom{1-f}{f} = c.a_{2,1} \cdot (1-f) \\ \bar{s} - E1.HC - E2.HC &= \\ c\left[f\left(1+v.a_{2,1}-x.a_{1,2}\right) + (1-f)\left(u.a_{2,1}-w.a_{1,2}\right)\right] - c\left(f+a_{2,1} \cdot (1-f)\right) \\ &= c\left[a_{2,1}\left((1-f)\left(1+u\right) + vf\right) + a_{1,2}\left((1-f)w-x\right)\right] \end{split}$$

Easy: we can normalize the shock c to 1.

$$residual = a_{2,1} ((1-f)(1+u) + vf) + a_{1,2} ((1-f)w - x)$$

How can we continue to show that this thing does not depend on the openness/size of the economy?

Idea: Hypothesis that (but it does not help)

$$a_{2,1} = a_{1,2}$$

and (does not help)

$$a_{1,1} = a_{2,2}$$

? More interesting

$$\frac{a_{1,1}}{a_{2,1}} = \frac{a_{2,2}}{a_{1,2}} = \frac{1-f}{f}$$

and

$$a_{1,1} + a_{2,1} = a$$

So...

$$a_{1,1} = (1 - f)a$$

$$a_{2,1} = fa$$

Then

$$residual = fa ((1 - f) (1 + u) + vf) + a_{1,2} ((1 - f) w - x)$$

$$= z. [fa ((1 - f) (1 + (1 - a_{2,2})) + a_{1,2}f) + a_{1,2} ((1 - f) af - (1 - f)a)]$$

$$= z [fa ((1 - f) (2 - a_{2,2})) + a_{1,2}f) - a_{1,2}a (1 - f)^{2}]$$

$$= \frac{fa ((1 - f) (2 - a_{2,2})) + a_{1,2}f) - a_{1,2}a (1 - f)^{2}}{(1 - a_{1,1}) (1 - a_{2,2}) - a_{2,1}a_{1,2}}$$

$$= \frac{fa ((1 - f) (2 - a_{2,2})) + a_{1,2}f) - a_{1,2}a (1 - f)^{2}}{(1 - a(1 - f)) (1 - a_{2,2}) - afa_{1,2}}$$

Pour dériver, je développe:

$$residual = \frac{f^2a(-2 + a_{2,2}) + fa(2 - a_{2,2} + 2a_{1,2}) - aa_{1,2}}{fa(1 - a_{2,2} - a_{1,2}) + 1 - a + a_{2,2}(1 + a)}$$

Le signe de cette dérivée est celui de :

$$\left(2fa\left(-2+a_{2,2}\right)+a\left(2-a_{2,2}+2a_{1,2}\right)\right)\left(fa\left(1-a_{2,2}-a_{1,2}\right)+1-a+a_{2,2}(1+a)\right)\\-a\left(1-a_{2,2}-a_{1,2}\right)\left(f^{2}a\left(-2+a_{2,2}\right)+fa\left(2-a_{2,2}+2a_{1,2}\right)-aa_{1,2}\right)$$

Et alors là... Aucune idée du signe de cette expression...

$$(fa (1 - a_{2,2} - a_{1,2}) + 1 - a + a_{2,2}(1 + a)) > 0$$
 
$$a (1 - a_{2,2} - a_{1,2}) > 0$$
 
$$(2fa (-2 + a_{2,2}) + a (2 - a_{2,2} + 2a_{1,2})) = a [(1 - a_{2,2}) (1 - 2f)] + 2a2, 1 > 0$$