

Grading rubric for presentations (18 points)

5 to 10 minutes video presentations about selected paper.

Deadline: April 25, 2017.

If posted on YouTube after April 25 but before May 02: 2 points will be subtracted.

If posted after May 02: the grade is ZERO, the video will not be considered.

Purpose/topic/focus/question(s) of paper		Not stated clearly or appropriately, vague, not focused on paper issues 1	Stated clearly, explicitly, focused on paper issues 2
Understanding of topic	Did not demonstrate 0	Some understanding, but does not make connections among ideas 1	Moves beyond surface level understanding 2
Connection of ideas	Inappropriate or insufficient details to support ideas 0	Some arguments have adequate support 1	Advances arguments with sound evidence and references 2
Oral explanations	The script was directly extracted from paper, no editing 0	Script partially extracted from paper, missing connecting explanations with his/her own words 1	Used his/her own words in writing the script & giving explanations 2
Conclusion	Offers no concluding remarks 0		Presents a conclusion 2
Presentation	Inadequate organization. Also: no diagrams or pictures or graphs or photographs were used 0	Partially organized: evident but inconsistent development. Also: visual aid was used, but some were irrelevant to the explanation 1	Organized. Also: Pictures and graphs were used that directly support arguments 2
At the end of video, after concluding remarks, give: Examples of how the paper is connected to material covered in PHY245	Inadequate 0	Adequate 1	Above adequate 2
At the end of video, after concluding remarks, discuss: What are social and ethical issues associated with this paper?	Inadequate 0	Adequate 1	Above adequate 2
At the end of video, after concluding remarks, answer: Why is this paper important, in your opinion?	Not mentioned 0	Briefly/superficially mentioned 1	Fully addressed 2